

We know (from SSP approach) the field content of the

"new" min SF : $e^a_\mu, \psi^a_\mu, A_\mu, B_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow H_{\mu\nu\rho} \leftrightarrow \epsilon^{abcd} R^d$
 \mathcal{D} - U(1) covariant $\mathcal{D}_a \zeta^a = 0$

and SUSY, including

$$\delta e^a_\mu = -2i \psi^a_\mu \bar{\epsilon} + \text{c.c.}$$

$$\delta \psi^a_\mu = \mathcal{D} \epsilon^a_\mu + \frac{1}{8} (\epsilon^b_\nu \epsilon^c_\rho \hat{G}^{\nu\rho}) \gamma^a \epsilon^b + \gamma^a \delta \zeta^a$$

like in "on-shell" SUGRA, but with (R-symm.) U(1) - covariant derivative

To find the complete "off-shell" action, let us start from

$\mathcal{L}_{EH} + \mathcal{L}_{RS} |_{\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}}$ with U(1) covariant derivatives : $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}\psi^a_\mu = -\psi^a_\mu R^a_\mu - \psi^a_\mu F$
 $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}^a_\mu = -\bar{\psi}^a_\mu R^a_\mu + \bar{\psi}^a_\mu F$

$\mathcal{L}_{EH} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abcd} R^d \wedge e^c \wedge e^b \wedge e^a \Rightarrow d^4x \cdot e R(e, \omega)$

$\mathcal{L}'_{RS} = -4 \mathcal{D}\psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \bar{\psi}^b_\mu + 4 \psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}^b_\mu \Rightarrow 8 d^4x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\rho^c e_\sigma^d \bar{\psi}^a_\mu \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}^b_\nu$

$d(\mathcal{L}'_{EH} + \mathcal{L}'_{RS}) = - \int \epsilon^{abcd} R^d \wedge e^c \wedge e^b \wedge e^a - 4 \mathcal{D}\psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \bar{\psi}^b_\mu + 4 \psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}^b_\mu$
 $\Rightarrow - G_a \wedge (T^a + 2i \psi^a \bar{\psi}) - 8 \mathcal{D}\psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \bar{\psi}^b_\mu + 8 \psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}^b_\mu$

1,5 formalism

new term ($F = dA = -F^*$)

which produces the variation $8 \psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}^b_\mu \wedge \delta A$

comes from superspace $-E^a_\mu E^b_\nu \bar{E}^c \wedge G_{ab} \wedge dx^d$

which was present in the constraint for H_3 , the spacetime restrictions of which reads

$$dB_2 + i \psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \bar{\psi}^b_\mu = - \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c \wedge e^d \wedge \epsilon^{abcd} G^a$$

 $= - \frac{1}{4} e^{a123} G^a$ (*)

TO REPRODUCE THE EQUATION $G_a = 0$ FROM δA WE NOW NEED TO ADD

TO THE LAGRANGIAN FORM $\int_4^{BF} = -8i B_2 \wedge dA$ (TYPICAL B-F TERM)

THEN $\delta A \Rightarrow dB_2 + i \psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \bar{\psi}^b_\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow G_a = 0$.

One can also guess that we can add a kind of

fake kinetic term for B_2 : $\sim H_{abc} \wedge e^{abc} = c d^4x e G_a G^a$

[Why? $\delta(SUSY)$ of the sum of the previous terms give contribution $\sim G_a$

Thus

$$\mathcal{L}'_{new\ min} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abcd} R^d \wedge e^c \wedge e^b \wedge e^a - 4 \mathcal{D}\psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \bar{\psi}^b_\mu + 4 \psi^a_\mu \wedge \sigma^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}^b_\mu - 8i B_2 \wedge dA + c d^4x e G_a G^a$$

USING SSP RULES FOR SUSY: $c = \frac{3}{8}$ for $\gamma = 1$

constant to be fixed

by SUSY OR :

Indeed, using the formulae of "on-shell" SUSY action
 $+ D \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}\varphi^a = -\varphi^a R^a - \varphi^a F$

$$\mathcal{L}_{e^4}^{\text{new min}} = -8 \mathcal{D}\varphi^a \sigma_{ab}^{(1)} (\mathcal{E}\varphi^b - \mathcal{D}\bar{\mathcal{E}}^b) + 8 (\mathcal{E}\varphi^a - \mathcal{D}\bar{\mathcal{E}}^a) \sigma_{ab}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}\bar{\mathcal{F}}^b +$$

$$\frac{1}{2} d^4x e \left((\Psi_a^b \sigma_a^b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \sigma_{ba} \hat{\Psi}^b) G^a + \gamma G^a (\Psi_a^b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \hat{\Psi}_a) \right)$$

$$+ 8 (\varphi_a \sigma^{(1)} \bar{\mathcal{E}} + \mathcal{E} \sigma^{(1)} \varphi) \wedge dA + 8 \varphi_a \sigma^{(1)} \varphi^a \delta_c A - 8i B_2 \wedge d\delta_c A -$$

$$- 8i \delta_c B_2 \wedge dA + c d^4x (\delta_c e G_a G^a + 2e G^a \delta_c G_a)$$

$$- 4ie (\Psi_a^b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \hat{\Psi}_a) G^a + 4ie G_a G^{ab} (\Psi_b \sigma^b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \sigma^b \hat{\Psi}_b)$$

$$- 4ic d^4x e (\Psi_a^b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \hat{\Psi}_a) - 2ic d^4x e G^a G^b (\varphi_a \sigma_b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \sigma_b \varphi_a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} d^4x e \left((\mathcal{E} \sigma_a^b \hat{\Psi}^b - \Psi_a^b \sigma_b \bar{\mathcal{E}}) G^a + (\gamma+2) G^a (\Psi_a^b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \hat{\Psi}_a) \right)$$

$$e_a^{13} := \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{abcd} e^b e^c e^d$$

$$e_a^{13} \wedge e^b = \delta_a^b d^4x e$$

from SSP

FOR SIMPLEST CASE
 $\gamma = 1$

FOR THE SIMPLEST CASE OR $\gamma = 1 \Rightarrow$ ONLY 2 TYPES OF CONTRIBUTIONS, SETTING COEFFS FOR THEM = 0:

$$\sim G^a (\Psi_a^b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \hat{\Psi}_a): \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma+2) - 4ic \Rightarrow$$

$$\sim G^a G^b (\varphi_a \sigma_b \bar{\mathcal{E}} - \mathcal{E} \sigma_b \varphi_a): \quad 0 = \frac{3c}{4} - 2ic \Rightarrow$$

$$C = \frac{3}{8}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4^{\text{new min}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} R^{ab} \wedge e^c \wedge e^d - 4 \mathcal{D}\varphi^a \sigma_{ab}^{(1)} \varphi^b + 4 \varphi_a \sigma_{ab}^{(1)} \mathcal{D}\bar{\mathcal{F}}^b - 8i B_2 \wedge dA + \frac{3}{8} d^4x e G_a G^a$$

New min SG action and Ectoplasm method

IN THE U(1) SSP of new min SG we can also define a closed 4 form.

$$T^a = -2i E^a_\alpha \bar{E}^{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma^a_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$T^\alpha = \frac{i}{8} E^\alpha_\lambda E^\beta_\rho (\sigma_c \hat{\sigma}_d)_\rho{}^\alpha G^d + G_c \delta_\rho^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} E^\alpha_\lambda E^\beta_\rho \hat{T}^{\lambda\rho}$$

$$\bar{T}^{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{i}{8} E^\alpha_\lambda E^\beta_\rho (\hat{\sigma}_d \sigma_c)_\rho{}^{\dot{\alpha}} G^d + G_c \delta_\rho^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} E^\alpha_\lambda E^\beta_\rho \hat{T}^{\lambda\rho}$$

$dd_4 = 0$

$$L_4 = \frac{1}{4} E^b_\lambda E^c_\mu E^d_\nu E^e_\rho (\sigma_{ab})_{\rho\lambda} \bar{L} + \frac{1}{4} E^b_\lambda E^c_\mu E^d_\nu E^e_\rho (\sigma_{ab})_{\rho\lambda} L + \frac{1}{3!} E^\alpha_\lambda E^\beta_\mu E^\gamma_\nu (E^d \hat{L}_{\lambda\mu\nu} + \bar{E}^{\dot{d}} \hat{L}_{\lambda\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{4!} E^\alpha_\lambda E^\beta_\mu E^\gamma_\nu E^\delta_\rho L_{abcd}$$

with $D_\alpha \bar{L} = 0, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} L = 0$

$$L_{\alpha abc} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abcd} \sigma^d_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{L}$$

$$L_{\dot{\alpha} abc} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{abcd} \sigma^d_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} D^\alpha L$$

$$L_{abcd} = \frac{i}{16} \epsilon_{abcd} \sigma^d_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} (D D L - \bar{D} \bar{D} \bar{L})$$

As in min SG, but with U(1) cov. derivatives & R=0.

(see p 517 - (3) -)

Now the question is what should be chiral superfields

L ; $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} L = 0$, and \bar{L} ; $D_\alpha \bar{L} = 0$, for the case of min SG?

IN THIS CASE WE HAVE U(1) ^(R-sym) SSP CONNECTION.

$$A = E^a A_a + E^\alpha A_\alpha + \bar{E}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -(A)^*$$

WITH (SUPER)FIELD STRENGTH 2-FORM

$$F = dA = i E^b_\lambda E^\alpha_\mu (\sigma_b \bar{W})_\mu{}^\alpha - i E^b_\lambda E^{\dot{\alpha}}_\mu (W \sigma_b)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} E^\alpha_\lambda E^\beta_\rho F_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$D_\alpha A_{\beta 1} = 0, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{A}_{\dot{\beta} 1} = 0$$

EX: TO SHOW

$$D_\alpha D^\beta A_\beta = 0, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{A}_{\dot{\beta}} = 0$$

Thus the 4-form of the new min SG action is defined by chiral superfields

$$\bar{L} = a D^\alpha A_\alpha, \quad L = \bar{a} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$a = \text{const} = (\bar{a})^*$

As $D_\alpha A_{\beta 1} = 0 \Rightarrow A_\alpha = D_\alpha T, \quad \bar{A}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{T}$

so that

$$\bar{L} = a D D T, \quad L = \bar{a} \bar{D} \bar{D} \bar{T}$$

This is in agreement with

$$S^{\text{new min}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2z \, E(T+\bar{T}) + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2z \, \epsilon \bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{\mathcal{D}}T + \frac{1}{4} \int d^2z \, \epsilon \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}\bar{T}$$

pre-gauge inv: $\begin{cases} T \rightarrow T + \bar{\alpha}(P_L) \\ \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{T} + \alpha(P_R) \end{cases}$ $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{pre-potential of } A_\mu = \bar{\alpha} D_\mu T \\ \bar{A}_\mu = \alpha (\mathcal{D}_\mu \bar{T}) \end{array} \right]$ $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Gauge transf. can be} \\ \text{used to reach} \\ T = \bar{T} \end{array} \right]$
 fixes the correct chiral measure

ALSO COMPARISON WITH \hat{L}_4 ALLOWS (without working out $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{T}$, but just using $L = L_0 + \Theta^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha L + \frac{1}{2} \Theta\Theta (-\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}L)$)
 to find

$$\mathcal{G} = e \left(1 + 2i \Theta^\alpha (\partial_\alpha \bar{\Psi})_a - 2 \Theta\Theta \bar{\Psi}_a \bar{\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} \Psi_b \right)$$

EVEN SIMPLER THAN IN MIN SG
 (DUE TO RCD)