The correspondence between free fermionic models and orbifolds

Panos Athanasopoulos

based on arXiv 1506.xxxxx
with A. Faraggi, S. Groot Nibbelink and V. Mehta

Motivation

- String theory provides the most promising framework for a fundamental theory of physics.
- Many semi-realistic heterotic string models have been constructed.

Motivation

- String theory provides the most promising framework for a fundamental theory of physics.
- Many semi-realistic heterotic string models have been constructed.

The landscape problem

Motivation

- We would like to understand how many models exist that closely resemble the SM (MSSM) and ultimately find a dynamical way to select among them...
- There have been extensive computer scans towards that goal, both in the (bosonic) orbifold and in the free fermionic formulation.
  Fischer, Ratz, Torrado, Vaudrevange 2013, Faraggi, Rizos, Sonmez 2014, ...

  And of course there are other approaches as well...
  Maio, Schellekens 2011, Anderson, Constantin, Gray, Lukas, Palti 2013,...

Motivation

- We would like to understand how many models exist that closely resemble the SM (MSSM) and ultimately find a dynamical way to select among them...
- There have been extensive computer scans towards that goal, both in the (bosonic) orbifold and in the free fermionic formulation.
  Fischer, Ratz, Torrado, Vaudrevange 2013, Faraggi, Rizos, Sonmez 2014, ...

  And of course there are other approaches as well...
  Maio, Schellekens 2011, Anderson, Constantin, Gray, Lukas, Palti 2013,...
- –Which formalism is better?

Motivation

- We would like to understand how many models exist that closely resemble the SM (MSSM) and ultimately find a dynamical way to select among them...
- There have been extensive computer scans towards that goal, both in the (bosonic) orbifold and in the free fermionic formulation.
  Fischer, Ratz, Torrado, Vaudrevange 2013, Faraggi, Rizos, Sonmez 2014, ...

  And of course there are other approaches as well...
  Maio, Schellekens 2011, Anderson, Constantin, Gray, Lukas, Palti 2013,...
- –Which formalism is better?
  –"Ours!"

Motivation

- It would be very useful to have a dictionary from the orbifold formalism (OF) to the free fermionic formalism (FFF) that would allow us to compare the previous results.

Bonus:

- Equivalent formulations of particular models allow us to use tools from one formalism to solve difficult problems in the other. For example:
  - It is much easier to construct asymmetric orbifold actions in the FFF than in the OF.
  - It is much easier to move in the Narain moduli space in the OF but not in the FFF.
  - and more...

Converting from one to the other

To convert a free fermionic model to an orbifold we must know how to implement the following steps:

1) Choose how to bosonize, *ie.* which fermions to combine.
2) Extract the **Narain lattice** from the basis vectors.
3) Extract the **orbifold action** from the basis vectors.
4) Extract the orbifold phases (**discrete torsion**) from the free fermionic phases.

In this talk, I will focus on steps 2 and 4.

2) Extracting the Narain lattice

In a 2d CFT bosons and fermions are equivalent and we can convert from one to the other using

$$y + iw = \,: e^{iX} :$$

which is know as the **bosonization/fermionization formula**.

The relation above assumes that the bosons are compactified on a circle with a specific radius (or on a specific lattice in the general case). This is known as the **fermionic point** in the moduli space of lattice compactifications.

2) Extracting the Narain lattice

The geometric data of the orbifold model can be read from the untwisted part of the partition functions in the two formalisms, *i.e.*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{FFF}} = \underbrace{\sum_{\alpha,\beta} C[^{\alpha}_{\beta}] Z[^{\alpha}_{\beta}]}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{orbi}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{untwisted}}(G,B,A,g) + \mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{twisted}}$$

$$\|$$

$$\sum_{p_L,p_R} q^{\frac{1}{2}p_L(G,B,A,g)^2}\,\bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}p_R(G,B,A,g)^2}$$

2) Extracting the Narain lattice

As an example, the free fermionic model with basis vectors $\{\mathbb{1}, \mathbf{S}\}$ corresponds to a bosonic model with:

$$G = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_6$$

$$B = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & -1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{6\times 6} \quad , \quad A = -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 13 & \cdots & 13 \\ 13/2 & \cdots & 13/2 \\ 15/2 & \cdots & 15/2 \\ 2 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{16\times 6}$$

2) Extracting the Narain lattice

$$
g = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4
\end{pmatrix}
$$

3) orbifold action from the basis vectors

Using

$$y + iw = :e^{iX}:$$

we see that:

- When
$$y + iw \to -(y + iw) \quad \Rightarrow \quad X \to X + \pi$$
(shift action)
- When
$$y + iw \to y - iw \quad \Rightarrow \quad X \to -X$$
(twist action)
- When
$$y + iw \to -y + iw \quad \Rightarrow \quad X \to -X + \pi$$
(roto-translational action)

4) Extracting the discrete torsion

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{FFF}} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \quad C\big[{}^{\alpha}_{\beta}\big] \quad Z\big[{}^{\alpha}_{\beta}\big]$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{orbi}} = \sum_{h,h':[h,h']=0} \quad C\big[{}^{h}_{h'}\big] \quad Z\big[{}^{h}_{h'}\big]$$

This should be a straightforward task...

4) Extracting the discrete torsion

Points to consider:

- There are phases that in one formalism are included in the C part and in the other in the Z part!
- The identification of phases depends on the exact algorithm for the identification of the orbifold action (step 3).
  We can see **mirage torsion** Ploger, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange '07 on both sides:



4) Extracting the discrete torsion

Points to consider:

- There are phases that in one formalism are included in the C part and in the other in the Z part!
- The identification of phases depends on the exact algorithm for the identification of the orbifold action (step 3).

  We can see **mirage torsion** Ploger, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange '07 on both sides:



4) Extracting the discrete torsion

Points to consider:

- There are phases that in one formalism are included in the C part and in the other in the Z part!
- The identification of phases depends on the exact algorithm for the identification of the orbifold action (step 3).

  We can see **mirage torsion** Ploger, Ramos-Sanchez, Ratz, Vaudrevange '07 on both sides:



Summary and outlook

1. The heterotic string provides a nice framework to construct (semi-)realistic models. Understanding the **moduli space** of heterotic models is of great importance.
2. Free fermionic and orbifold models are related and we can translate from one to the other.
3. Such a dictionary also allows us to address difficult problems in one formalism using tools from the other.

Summary and outlook

1. The heterotic string provides a nice framework to construct (semi-)realistic models. Understanding the **moduli space** of heterotic models is of great importance.
2. Free fermionic and orbifold models are related and we can translate from one to the other.
3. Such a dictionary also allows us to address difficult problems in one formalism using tools from the other.

Thank you very much!