On the physical realization of Seiberg dual phases in branes at singularities

Mikel Berasaluce-González

Johannes Gutenberg Universität

Based on: Ongoing work with Iñaki García-Etxebarria and Ben Heidenreich

String Phenomenology 2015, Madrid, June 10, 2015







Seiberg duality is an important aspect of $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric gauge theory.

In string theory, Seiberg duality arises because a configuration of gauge theory can be realized as a bound state of a collection of branes in more than one way.

In the context of quiver theories, the branes and antibranes involved can be defined algebraically without presupposing that the theory can be realized in terms of D-branes. [Berenstein, Douglas; hep-th/0207027] Therefore, one interesting question is which quiver gauge theories can be realized by D-branes in a CY 3-fold, and which do not.

In particular, we will be interested in the case of D-branes sitting on a singularity inside a Calabi-Yau manifold.

The quiver gauge theory can be thought of as describing a marginal binding of the associated D-branes.

This happens when the periods characterizing the central charges for the associated fractional branes are aligned. [e.g. hep-th/0405134]

Note: we will only consider the Kähler moduli space at weak coupling.

• • = • • = •

Let us consider \mathbb{P}^2 [García-Etxebarria, Heidenreich, Wrase; 1307.1701].

There is a phase (phase I) with $B_2 = 0$ which corresponds to the "orbifold" phase.

The Seiberg dual phase (phase II) corresponds to $B_2 = 1/2$.



Figure from 1307.1701

Let us consider \mathbb{P}^2 [García-Etxebarria, Heidenreich, Wrase; 1307.1701].

There is a phase (phase I) with $B_2 = 0$ which corresponds to the "orbifold" phase.

The Seiberg dual phase (phase II) corresponds to $B_2 = 1/2$.

Phase I is supersymmetric at the orbifold point.





< 注入 < 注

Figures from 1307.1701

Let us consider \mathbb{P}^2 [García-Etxebarria, Heidenreich, Wrase; 1307.1701].

There is a phase (phase I) with $B_2 = 0$ which corresponds to the "orbifold" phase.

The Seiberg dual phase (phase II) corresponds to $B_2 = 1/2$.

Phase I is supersymmetric at the orbifold point.

Phase II is not supersymmetric.





3 1 4

Figures from 1307.1701

Let us consider \mathbb{P}^2 [García-Etxebarria, Heidenreich, Wrase; 1307.1701].

There is a phase (phase I) with $B_2 = 0$ which corresponds to the "orbifold" phase.

The Seiberg dual phase (phase II) corresponds to $B_2 = 1/2$.

Phase I is supersymmetric at the orbifold point.

Phase II is not supersymmetric.

Therefore, only phase I is physically realized.

Could toric vs. non-toric be the problem?





Figures from 1307.1701

Generalities of toric varieties

A toric CY 3-fold X is described by a GLSM

The Newton polynomial is constructed by

$$P(x,y) = \sum_{d \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)} c_d x^{a(d)} y^{b(d)}$$

The Kähler moduli of X are related to the z_i variables parametrizing the complex structure moduli of the mirror manifold Y

$$t_i = \Phi_i(z) \simeq rac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z_i) + \dots$$
 $z_i = \prod_{d \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)} c_d^{q_i^d}$

The periods Φ satisfy the Picard-Fuchs equations

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k \Phi &= 0 \ \mathcal{L}_k &= \prod_{m{q}_k^i > 0} \left(rac{\partial}{\partial c_k}
ight)^{m{q}_k^i} - \prod_{m{q}_k^i < 0} \left(rac{\partial}{\partial c_k}
ight)^{-m{q}_k^i} \end{aligned}$$

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The mirror manifold is given by a hypersurface

 $uv = P(x, y), \qquad u, v \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{C}$

It is useful to write it as a double fibration

$$W = uv$$

 $W = P(x, y)$



Figure taken from hep-th/0110028.

Toric diagram:



Newton polynomial:

$$P(x,y) = \frac{a}{x} + \frac{b}{y} + cx + dy + e$$

Mori cone:

	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	t
\mathcal{C}_1	0	1	0	1	-2
\mathcal{C}_2	1	0	1	0	-2
$z_1=\frac{ac}{e^2},$			z	2 =	$\frac{bd}{e^2}$

\mathbb{F}_0 phase l

Quiver



Superpotential

 $\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon_{kl}X_{i12}X_{k23}X_{j34}X_{l41}$

Mirror geometry



- N

Dimer



Figure taken from hep-th/0110028.

\mathbb{F}_0 phase II

Quiver



Superpotential

$$\begin{array}{l} M_{11}(Y_1X_1 - X_2'Y_2') \\ + M_{12}(X_2'Y_1' - Y_2X_1) \\ + M_{21}(X_1'Y_2' - Y_1X_2) \\ + M_{22}(Y_2X_2 - X_1'Y_2') \end{array}$$

Dimer







Figure taken from hep-th/0110028.

For the Mori cone

	<i>x</i> 1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>X</i> 3	<i>X</i> 4	t
\mathcal{C}_1	0	1	0	1	-2
\mathcal{C}_2	1	0	1	0	-2

the Picard-Fuchs equations we need to solve are

$$\mathcal{L}_1\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\theta_1^2 - z_1(2\theta_1 + 2\theta_2)(2\theta_1 + 2\theta_2 + 1))\Phi(z_1, z_2) = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2\Phi(z_1, z_2) = (\theta_2^2 - z_2(2\theta_1 + 2\theta_2)(2\theta_1 + 2\theta_2 + 1))\Phi(z_1, z_2) = 0,$$

where

$$\theta_i = z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \quad z_1 = \frac{ac}{e^2}, \quad z_2 = \frac{bd}{e^2}.$$

The periods are:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(z_1, z_2) &= 1, \\ \Phi_1(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(-z_1) + \frac{1}{\pi i} \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\Gamma(2(m+n))}{\Gamma(m+1)^2 \Gamma(n+1)^2} z_1^m z_2^n, \\ \Phi_2(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(-z_2) + \frac{1}{\pi i} \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\Gamma(2(m+n))}{\Gamma(m+1)^2 \Gamma(n+1)^2} z_1^m z_2^n, \\ \Phi_{12}(z_1, z_2) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \bigg[\log(-z_1) \log(-z_2) + 2 \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\Gamma(2(m+n))}{\Gamma(m+1)^2 \Gamma(n+1)^2} z_1^m z_2^n \\ &\times \big(\log(z_1) + \log(z_2) + 4\psi(2(m+n)) - 2\psi(m+1) - 2\psi(n-1) \big) \bigg]. \end{split}$$

These series converge for $|z_i| < \frac{1}{4}$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The analitic continuation of the periods are:

 $\Phi \ \rightarrow \ 1,$

$$\Phi_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log(-v_1) - \pi i] - \frac{1}{\pi i} (-v_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m+n+1/2)^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(n+1)^2\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+3/2)} v_2^m v_1^n,$$

$$\Phi_2 \quad \to \quad -\frac{1}{\pi i} (-v_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m+n+1/2)^2}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n+1)^2 \Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(m+3/2)} v_2^m v_1^n,$$

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{12} &\to -\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} (-v_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m+n+1/2)^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(n+1)^2\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(m+3/2)} v_2^m v_1^n, \\ &\times [\pi i + \log(-v_1) + 2\psi(m+n+1/2) - 2\psi(n+1)], \end{split}$$

where

$$v_1 = \frac{z_1}{z_2}, \qquad v_2 = \frac{1}{z_2}.$$

æ

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨトー

In the plane $v_2 = 0$

$$\Phi = 1,
\Phi_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log v_1,
\Phi_2 = 0.
\Phi_{12} = -\frac{1}{12},$$

up to monodromy.

In the plane $v_2 = 0$

$$\Phi = 1,
\Phi_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log v_1,
\Phi_2 = 0.
\Phi_{12} = -\frac{1}{12},$$

We obtain all real periods for $v_1 = e^{e\pi i\theta}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi & = & 1, \\ \Phi_1 & = & \theta, \\ \Phi_2 & = & 0. \\ \Phi_{12} & = & -\frac{1}{12}, \end{array}$$

A D A D A D A

up to monodromy.

Quiver locus

$$z_1, z_2
ightarrow \infty$$
 and $rac{z_1}{z_2} = e^{2\pi i heta}$

Phase I is physically realized.

Take e.g. b = c = d = 1, a = i and e = 0 in the Newton polynomial. The mirror is



For the phase II, the situation is different.

We can obtain the phase II if one of the singularities in the mirror goes through the origin.

We need to know the intersection between the quiver locus and the conifold locus.

The singularities of the torus

$$W = \frac{a}{x} + \frac{b}{y} + cx + dy + e$$

are given by

$$w = 4(z_1+z_2)\pm 8\sqrt{z_1z_2}, \qquad w = \left(1-\frac{W}{e}\right)^2$$

For $z_1 = z_2$ there is a double root at W = e.

In the $e \to 0$ limit $(z_1, z_2 \to \infty)$, there is a double root at W = 0, so there are precisely *two* branes becomming massless simultaneously.

Therefore, the phase II will never be realized at weak gauge coupling.

Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.5\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.45\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.4\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.35\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.3\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.25\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.2\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.15\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.10\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{-0.05\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, a = 1.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.05\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.1\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.15\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.2\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.25\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.3\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.35\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.4\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.45\pi i}$.



Mirror geometry for e = 0, b = c = d = 1, $a = e^{0.5\pi i}$.



Conclusions

- We have studied the physical realization of Seiberg dual theories in branes at singularities.
- In the \mathbb{F}_0 case, only phase I can be realized at weak gauge coupling.

Future directions

• Extend the analysis to other cases with Seiberg duals phases which are also toric duals like the complex cones over dP_2 and dP_3 .

Thank you!

æ

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト