

# Tuned Large Field Inflation Models in the Flux Landscape

Patrick Mangat

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Heidelberg

10. June 2015

String Pheno 2015, Madrid

- 1 Motivation: Limits of fine-tuning?
- 2 Reminder: How many F-Theory flux vacua are there?
- 3 Constraining the flux landscape by fine-tuning
- 4 Summary and Prospect

# Motivation: Limits of fine-tuning?

- Idea: Landscape of flux vacua can in principle accommodate models with highly fine-tuned parameters; see e.g. applications to the cosmological constant [[Bousso, Polchinski, 2000](#)].
- The numbers of supersymmetric flux vacua in type IIB and F-theory have already been estimated, e.g. in [[Denef, Douglas, 2004](#), [Denef, 2008](#),...].  
Results often briefly summarised:  $10^{500}$  (type IIB) flux vacua.
- Suppose we want to realise a model with 100 fine-tuned parameters in a landscape of  $10^{500}$  vacua. Naive expectation: fine-tuning of at most  $1 : 10^5$  per parameter.
- *F*-term axion monodromy inflation models with complex structure moduli seem to go along with potentially very many fine-tuned parameters. What is the price to pay?  
[[A. Hebecker, PM, F. Rompineve, L.T. Witkowski, 2014](#)]

# Motivation: Limits of fine-tuning?

Summary of  $F$ -Term Axion Monodromy Inflation with complex structure moduli

Steps towards an inflation model with  $F$ -term axion monodromy, see [A. Hebecker, PM, F. Rompineve, L.T. Witkowski, 2014]:

- 1 Choose a CY 3- or CY 4-fold.
- 2 Compute the Kähler potential  $K$ , the Kähler metric  $K_{i\bar{j}}$  from the period vectors.
- 3 Identify one complex structure modulus  $u$  in the large complex structure limit, s.t. it occurs shift-symmetric in  $K$ . Inflaton  $y \equiv \text{Re}(u)$ .
- 4 By flux choice, ensure that the superpotential looks like

$$W = W_0 + a(z)u.$$

- 5  $F$ -term scalar potential contains terms

$$V \sim |K_u a|^2 y^2 + |\partial_z a + K_z a|^2 y^2 + \dots$$

- 6 Thus, have to **tune small**  $|a(z)|$  and all the  $|\partial_z a(z)|$ , i.e. **potentially many terms!** No-Go theorem for CY 3-folds!

# Counting susy F-Theory flux vacua

[Denef, Douglas, 2004, Denef, 2008]

- $G_4$ -flux numbers  $N^I$  can't be chosen arbitrarily. Let  $X$  be a CY 4-fold, we have to satisfy the D3-tadpole condition

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_X G_4 \wedge G_4 = \frac{\chi(X)}{24} - N_{D3} \leq \frac{\chi(X)}{24} \equiv L_*$$

if  $N_{D3} \geq 0$ . (Anti-D3-branes decay in flux-background, so  $N_{D3} \ll 0$  is no option.)

- In the case of SUSY we have  $G_4 = \star G_4$ , hence:

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_X G_4 \wedge G_4 \leq L_*$$

- By  $[G_4] = N^I \Sigma_I$ , with 4-cycles  $\Sigma_I$ , we can rewrite the inequality as:

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} N^I Q_{IJ} N^J \leq L_*,$$

with positive definite matrix  $Q$ . Hence: **finitely many choices of flux numbers!**

# Counting susy F-Theory flux vacua

[Denef, Douglas, 2004, Denef, 2008]

- Thus, we need to determine how many sets of flux vectors satisfy

$$0 \leq L \equiv \frac{1}{2} N^I Q_{IJ} N^J \leq L_*$$

- Let  $b$  be the dimension of the flux space. Approximate the number of solutions by the **volume of a  $b$ -dimensional ball with radius  $\sqrt{2L_*}$** .

Analogy:  $b$ -dimensional ball described by  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_b^2 \leq R^2$ .

- Actual computation rather technical. Result:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{vac}}(L \leq L_*) = \frac{(2\pi L_*)^{b/2}}{\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)! \sqrt{\det Q_{IJ}}} \times \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{M}} e(\nabla)}_{\text{integral of Euler density over compl. str. moduli space } \mathcal{M}}$$

- $\mathbb{CP}^3$ -fibration:  $b = 23320, L_* = 972 \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\text{vac}} \sim 10^{1700}$ .
- Caution: Formula underestimates true  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{vac}}$  for large  $b$ .

# Constraining the flux landscape by fine-tuning conditions

[A. Hebecker, PM, F. Rompineve, L.T. Witkowski, 2014]

- Starting point of more correct computation of  $\mathcal{N}_{\text{vac}}(L \leq L_*)$ :

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{vac}}(L \leq L_*) = \sum_{\text{susy vacua}} \theta(L_* - L),$$

rewrite  $\theta$ -fct. as contour integral over auxiliary parameter, see [Denef, Douglas, 2004].

- Include  $J_t$  real tuning constraints  $|a_i| < \epsilon$  of complex parameters  $a_i$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{vac}}(L \leq L_*, \{|a_i| < \epsilon\}) = \sum_{\text{susy vacua}} \theta(L_* - L) \prod_{i=1}^{J_t/2} \theta(\epsilon - |a_i|)$$

- $F$ -term AFI: Make  $J_f$  flux choices.  $J_t/2 - 1$  counts number of  $z$  that enter  $a(z)$ .

# Constraining the flux landscape by fine-tuning conditions

[A. Hebecker, PM, F. Rompineve, L.T. Witkowski, 2014]

- Each flux choice and each (real) tuning condition lower the dimension of flux space by one, respectively.
- Each  $\theta(\epsilon - |a_i|)$  contributes a factor  $\pi\epsilon^2$ .
- Result:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{vac}}(L \leq L_*, |a_i| < \epsilon) = \frac{(2\pi L_*)^{(b-J_f-J_t)/2}}{\left(\frac{b-J_f-J_t}{2}\right)! \sqrt{\det Q_{IJ}}} \times (\pi\epsilon^2)^{J_t/2} \times \int_{\mathcal{M}} \dots$$

- Consequences:  $\epsilon \lesssim 0.04$  for  $\phi^2$ -inflation. Take  $\epsilon = 0.04$ : Consider again  $\mathbb{CP}^3$ -fibration and allow only 300 of the 3878 compl. str. moduli to enter  $a$ . Hence:  $J_t = 600$ . Assume  $J_f = 0$ .

Result:

$$\sim 10^{300}$$

out of originally  $10^{1700}$  vacua remain!



# Summary and Prospect

- $F$ -Term Axion Monodromy inflation with breaking of the shift-symmetry via  $W \supset a(z)u$  can only be achieved by accepting a **high amount of fine-tuning**.
- Even if only very few complex structure moduli backreact with the inflaton, the **flux landscape will be strongly reduced**.
- It would be interesting to construct concrete  $F$ -term axion monodromy inflation models on **concrete geometries** and work out the required **flux choices** and **tuning conditions** in detail.
- **Quantifying how many vacua are appropriate for various stringy inflation models can tell us how generic they are in the string landscape.**

# Summary and Prospect

- $F$ -Term Axion Monodromy inflation with breaking of the shift-symmetry via  $W \supset a(z)u$  can only be achieved by accepting a **high amount of fine-tuning**.
- Even if only very few complex structure moduli backreact with the inflaton, the **flux landscape will be strongly reduced**.
- It would be interesting to construct concrete  $F$ -term axion monodromy inflation models on **concrete geometries** and work out the required **flux choices** and **tuning conditions** in detail.
- **Quantifying how many vacua are appropriate for various stringy inflation models can tell us how generic they are in the string landscape.**

Thank you!