



# Multiple field inflation with additional heavy fields

#### **Yvette Welling**

Collaborators: Ana Achúcarro, Vicente Atal

[Ana Achúcarro, Vicente Atal, YW, JCAP 2015, 1503.07486] [YW, MSc Thesis 2014, 1502.04369]

# **Bottom line**

Stabilized heavy fields present during inflation can affect the dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom and leave their imprint on observables. Stabilized heavy fields present during inflation can affect the dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom and leave their imprint on observables.





3

Stabilized heavy fields present during inflation can affect the dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom and leave their imprint on observables.

#### E.g. the primordial power spectrum



Stabilized heavy fields present during inflation can affect the dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom and leave their imprint on observables.

#### E.g. features in the bispectrum

Plot from [Achúcarro, Atal, Ortiz, Torrado, PRD 2013, 1311.2552]



### Motivation: signatures of new physics

Single field inflation fits data well. However there could be more fields present during inflation..

### Motivation: signatures of new physics

Single field inflation fits data well. However there could be more fields present during inflation..

[Cheung, Creminelli, Fitzpatrick, Kaplan, Senatore, JHEP 2007, 0709.0293] Consider single field inflation as EFT from multi-field inflation with heavy fields.

### Motivation: signatures of new physics

Single field inflation fits data well. However there could be more fields present during inflation..

[Cheung, Creminelli, Fitzpatrick, Kaplan, Senatore, JHEP 2007, 0709.0293] Consider single field inflation as EFT from multi-field inflation with heavy fields.

Do heavy fields influence the observables?

We mean stabilized heavy fields  $M^2 >> H^2$ 

We mean stabilized heavy fields  $M^2 >> H^2$ 

Ok, their effects in the low energy EFT should be suppressed by  $H^2/M^2$ , right..?

- We mean stabilized heavy fields  $M^2 >> H^2$ 
  - Ok, their effects in the low energy EFT should be suppressed by  $H^2/M^2$ , right..?
- Yes, but.. prefactor can be large!
- There are three scales of importance:
  - 1. mass heavy field
  - 2. curvature target space
  - 3. curvature inflationary trajectory

[Achúcarro, Gong, Hardeman, Palma, Patil, JCAP 2011, 1005.3848] [Burgess, Horbatch, Patil, JHEP 2013, 1209.5701]

We mean stabilized heavy fields  $M^2 >> H^2$ 

Ok, their effects in the low energy EFT should be suppressed by  $H^2/M^2$ , right..?

Yes, but.. prefactor can be large!

In particular inflationary trajectory can be curved. This couples perturbations.

 $\rightarrow$  Integrate out heavy modes with care.

[Achúcarro, Gong, Hardeman, Palma, Patil, JCAP 2011, 1005.3848 / PRD 2011, 1010.3693 / JHEP 2012, 1201.6342 ] [Achúcarro, Atal, Cespedes, Gong, Palma, Patil, PRD 2012, 1205.0710] [Cespedes, Atal, Palma., JCAP 2012, 1201.4848] [Burgess, Horbatch, Patil, JHEP 2013, 1209.5701] For a recent review see [Chluba, Hamann, Patil, 1505.01834]

# Intuitive example: a sudden turn



A sudden turn in the inflationary trajectory induced by a bend in the potential.

[Achúcarro, Gong, Hardeman, Palma, Patil, PRD 2011, 1010.3693] [Cespedes, Atal, Palma., JCAP 2012, 1201.4848]

# Intuitive example: a sudden turn



A sudden turn gives rise to oscillations in the power spectrum (and bispectrum).

Effects of heavy fields cannot be ignored!

#### Search for features:

[Achúcarro, Atal, Ortiz, Torrado, PRD 2013, 1311.2552] See recent review [Chluba, Hamann, Patil, 1505.01834]



[Cespedes, Atal, Palma., JCAP 2012, 1201.4848]

# Turn = Not Geodesic

There could be "hidden" turns if field metric is non-trivial

$$S_{\phi} = -\int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} G_{ab}(\phi) \partial_{\mu} \phi^a \partial^{\mu} \phi^b + V(\phi) \right]$$

# Turn = Not Geodesic

There could be "hidden" turns if field metric is non-trivial

$$S_{\phi} = -\int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} G_{ab}(\phi) \partial_{\mu} \phi^a \partial^{\mu} \phi^b + V(\phi) \right]$$

Mismatch between geodesics field space and valley potential?

→ Curved trajectory

### Tangent and normal decomposition

[Gordon, Wands, Bassett, Maartens, PRD 2001, hep-ph/0107272], [Groot Nibbelink, van Tent, CQG 2002, hep-ph/0107272], for a recent review see [YW, MSc Thesis 2014, 1502.04369]

$$\ddot{\mathcal{R}} + (3+2\epsilon - 2\eta)H\dot{\mathcal{R}} + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\mathcal{R} = -2\frac{H\dot{\theta}}{\dot{\sigma}}\left[\dot{\mathcal{F}} + (3-\eta-\xi)H\mathcal{F}\right]$$
$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}} + 3H\dot{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\mathcal{F} + (M^2 - \dot{\theta}^2)\mathcal{F} = 2\dot{\sigma}\frac{\dot{\theta}}{H}\dot{\mathcal{R}}$$
$$\phi^2 \qquad \text{Tangent} + r$$

Field speed  $\dot{\sigma}$ Radius of curvature K



Turn rate

 $\dot{\theta} = \pm \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\kappa}$ 

Measures deviation from geodesic

Curvature perturbation

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{H}{\dot{\sigma}} T_a \delta \phi^a + \psi$$

Isocurvature perturbation

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv N_a \delta \phi^a$$

Following [Achúcarro, Atal, Cespedes, Gong, Palma, Patil, PRD 2012, 1205.0710] See also [Achucarro et al., JCAP 2011, 1005.3848], [Baumann, Green, JCAP 2011, 1102.5343], [Shiu, Xu, PRD 2011, 1108. 0981], [Cespedes et al., JCAP 2012, 1201.4848], [Gwyn, Palma, Sakellariadou, Sypsas, JCAP 2013, 1210.3020]

#### Coupled oscillators with derivative coupling

$$\ddot{\mathcal{R}}_{c} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}\mathcal{R}_{c} = 2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{F}}$$
$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}\mathcal{F} + \left(M^{2} - \dot{\theta}^{2}\right)\mathcal{F} = -2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{c}$$

**Turn rate** 

$$\dot{\theta} = \pm \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\kappa}$$

Measures deviation from geodesic

Curvature perturbation

$$\mathcal{R}_{c} \equiv \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{H} \mathcal{R}$$

Isocurvature perturbation

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv N_a \delta \phi^a$$

18

Following [Achúcarro, Atal, Cespedes, Gong, Palma, Patil, PRD 2012, 1205.0710] See also [Achucarro et al., JCAP 2011, 1005.3848], [Baumann, Green, JCAP 2011, 1102.5343], [Shiu, Xu, PRD 2011, 1108. 0981], [Cespedes et al., JCAP 2012, 1201.4848], [Gwyn, Palma, Sakellariadou, Sypsas, JCAP 2013, 1210.3020]

#### Coupled oscillators with derivative coupling

$$\ddot{\mathcal{R}}_{c} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{R}_{c} = 2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{F}}$$

$$Turn rate$$

$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{F} + \left(M^{2} - \dot{\theta}^{2}\right)\mathcal{F} = -2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{c}$$

#### High and low frequency solutions

Following [Achúcarro, Atal, Cespedes, Gong, Palma, Patil, PRD 2012, 1205.0710] See also [Achucarro et al., JCAP 2011, 1005.3848], [Baumann, Green, JCAP 2011, 1102.5343], [Shiu, Xu, PRD 2011, 1108. 0981], [Cespedes et al., JCAP 2012, 1201.4848], [Gwyn, Palma, Sakellariadou, Sypsas, JCAP 2013, 1210.3020]

#### Coupled oscillators with derivative coupling

$$\ddot{\mathcal{R}}_{c} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{R}_{c} = 2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{F}}$$

$$Turn rate$$

$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{F} + \left(M^{2} - \dot{\theta}^{2}\right)\mathcal{F} = -2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{c}$$

#### Integrate out heavy modes

Following [Achúcarro, Atal, Cespedes, Gong, Palma, Patil, PRD 2012, 1205.0710] See also [Achucarro et al., JCAP 2011, 1005.3848], [Baumann, Green, JCAP 2011, 1102.5343], [Shiu, Xu, PRD 2011, 1108. 0981], [Cespedes et al., JCAP 2012, 1201.4848], [Gwyn, Palma, Sakellariadou, Sypsas, JCAP 2013, 1210.3020]

#### Coupled oscillators with derivative coupling

$$\ddot{\mathcal{R}}_{c} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{R}_{c} = 2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{F}}$$

$$Turn rate$$

$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}} \mathcal{F} + \left(M^{2} - \dot{\theta}^{2}\right)\mathcal{F} = -2\dot{\theta}\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{c}$$

#### **Constraints on validity**

 $M^2/H^2 >> 1$ 

Massive enough

 $\left| \frac{\dot{\omega}_{+}}{\dot{\omega}_{+}} \right| << 1$  $\left| \frac{\ddot{\theta}}{\dot{\theta}} \right| << \sqrt{\left| M^{2} - \dot{\theta}^{2} \right|}$ 

Adiabatic condition: Turn not too sharp

 $\frac{M^2 - \dot{\theta}^2}{H^2} > 0$ 

Stability condition: Turn not too strong

Low energy EFT See recent review [Chluba, Hamann, Patil, 1505.01834]

Integrating out heavy modes yields EFT for  ${\cal R}$ 

$$S_{eff} = M_P^2 \int d^4 x a^3 \varepsilon \left[ \frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}^2}{c_s^2(t)} - \frac{(\nabla \mathcal{R})^2}{a^2} + O(\mathcal{R}^3 / a^3) \right]$$
  
$$\int c_s^{-2} = 1 + \frac{4\dot{\theta}^2}{M^2 - \dot{\theta}^2}$$
Turn rate

From here compute power spectrum and higher order correlation functions

Low energy EFT See recent review [Chluba, Hamann, Patil, 1505.01834]

Integrating out heavy modes yields EFT for  $\mathcal{R}$ 

$$S_{eff} = M_P^2 \int d^4 x a^3 \varepsilon \left[ \frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}^2}{c_s^2(t)} - \frac{(\nabla \mathcal{R})^2}{a^2} + O(\mathcal{R}^3 / a^3) \right]$$
  
$$\int c_s^{-2} = 1 + \frac{4\dot{\theta}^2}{M^2 - \dot{\theta}^2}$$
Turn rate

#### Example: transient reduced speed of sound



Low energy EFT See recent review [Chluba, Hamann, Patil, 1505.01834]

Integrating out heavy modes yields EFT for  ${\cal R}$ 

$$S_{eff} = M_P^2 \int d^4 x a^3 \varepsilon \left[ \frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}^2}{c_s^2(t)} - \frac{(\nabla \mathcal{R})^2}{a^2} + O(\mathcal{R}^3 / a^3) \right]$$
  
$$\int c_s^{-2} = 1 + \frac{4\dot{\theta}^2}{M^2 - \dot{\theta}^2}$$
 Turn rate

→ Always compute turn rate to find how observables are affected

 Stabilized heavy fields can influence dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom

- Stabilized heavy fields can influence dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom
- This happens whenever the inflationary trajectory is curved (= non-geodesic)

- Stabilized heavy fields can influence dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom
- This happens whenever the inflationary trajectory is curved (= non-geodesic)
- Integrate out heavy modes with care

- Stabilized heavy fields can influence dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom
- This happens whenever the inflationary trajectory is curved (= non-geodesic)
- Integrate out heavy modes with care
- This could result in reduced speed of sound

- Stabilized heavy fields can influence dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom
- This happens whenever the inflationary trajectory is curved (= non-geodesic)
- Integrate out heavy modes with care
- This could result in reduced speed of sound
- Check how observables are affected

[YW, MSc Thesis 2014, 1502.04369], [Ana Achúcarro, Vicente Atal, YW, JCAP 2015, 1503.07486]

- Stabilized heavy fields can influence dynamics of low energy degrees of freedom
- This happens whenever the inflationary trajectory is curved (= non-geodesic)
- Integrate out heavy modes with care
- This could result in reduced speed of sound
- Check how observables are affected
- Vicente's talk (next one)

[YW, MSc Thesis 2014, 1502.04369], [Ana Achúcarro, Vicente Atal, YW, JCAP 2015, 1503.07486]