String Phenomenology 2015

Evading The Weak Gravity Conjecture With F-Term Winding Inflation?

Lukas Witkowski



based on arXiv:1503.07912 with Arthur Hebecker, Patrick Mangat and Fabrizio Rompineve

- Realising **large-field inflation** in string theory is a theoretical challenge.
- Take axion(-like particle) as inflaton candidate. The inflaton potential can then be generated by

Non-perturbative effects

$$V = \Lambda^4 \left(1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f} \right)$$

 \bigoplus inflaton potential is naturally small

- ← to realise large-field inflation need at least 2 axions [Kim, Nilles, Peoloso 2004]
- \ominus further constrained / ruled out by recent no-go theorems

- Realising large-field inflation in string theory is a theoretical challenge.
- Take axion(-like particle) as inflaton candidate. The inflaton potential can then be generated by

Non-perturbative effects

$$V = \Lambda^4 \left(1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f} \right)$$

 \bigoplus inflaton potential is naturally small

- ← to realise large-field inflation need at least 2 axions [Kim, Nilles, Peoloso 2004]
- \ominus further constrained / ruled out by recent no-go theorems
- 2) Fluxes / Branes: Axion Monodromy
 [Silverstein, Westphal 2008; McAllister, Silverstein, Westphal 2008]
 [Marchesano, Shiu, Uranga 2014; Blumenhagen, Plauschinn 2014; Hebecker, Kraus, LW 2014]
 ① not affected by recent no-go theorems
 ① need to tune to be consistent with data / moduli stabilisation

[Blumenhagen, Herschmann, Plauschinn 14; Hebecker, Mangat, Rompineve, LW 14]

• Enter F-term Winding Inflation:

employs fluxes and non-perturbative effects

- use fluxes to generate long transplanckian trajectory (= alignment)
- inflaton potential arises from non-perturbative effects: potential is small without further tuning

• Enter F-term Winding Inflation:

employs fluxes and non-perturbative effects

- use fluxes to generate long transplanckian trajectory (= alignment)
- inflaton potential arises from non-perturbative effects: potential is small without further tuning

Outline

I. The model

2. Relation to No-Go-Theorems based on Weak-Gravity-Conjecture

Consider complex structure (CS) moduli sector with Kähler- and superpotential:

$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$$

Kähler potential:

- Denote 2 CS moduli by u,v .The remaining CS moduli are labelled by z .
- The moduli u, v will be stabilised at Large Complex Structure: Im(u, v) > 1. Kähler potential is shift-symmetric in u, v with corrections of type $e^{2\pi i u}, e^{2\pi i v}$.
- We also require $|e^{2\pi i u}| \ll |e^{2\pi i v}| \ll 1$.

Consider complex structure (CS) moduli sector with Kähler- and superpotential:

$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$$

Kähler potential:

- Denote 2 CS moduli by u,v .The remaining CS moduli are labelled by z .
- The moduli u, v will be stabilised at Large Complex Structure: Im(u, v) > 1. Kähler potential is shift-symmetric in u, v with corrections of type $e^{2\pi i u}, e^{2\pi i v}$.
- We also require $|e^{2\pi i u}| \ll |e^{2\pi i v}| \ll 1$.

Consider complex structure (CS) moduli sector with Kähler- and superpotential:

$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$$

Superpotential:
$$W = W_{GVW} = \int G_3 \wedge \Omega$$

• Tree-level:

fluxes generate (GVW) superpotential for CS moduli.

- Need to ensure that u, v only enter the superpotential as above.
- By choosing appropriate flux numbers large can ensure that $N \gg 1$.

Consider complex structure (CS) moduli sector with Kähler- and superpotential:

$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$$

Moduli stabilisation:

- K and w(z) + f(z)(u Nv) stabilise all z and $\mathrm{Im}(u), \mathrm{Im}(v)$. Stabilise such that $|e^{2\pi i u}| \ll |e^{2\pi i v}| \ll 1$.
- f(z)(u-Nv) stabilises the direction $\operatorname{Re}(u-Nv)$.

see also [Ben-Dayan, Pedro, Westphal I 4; Shiu, Staessens, Ye 15]

• terms $\sim e^{2\pi i v}$ gives rise to potential along orthogonal (inflaton) direction.

Consider complex structure (CS) moduli sector with Kähler- and superpotential:

$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$$

Moduli stabilisation:

- K and w(z) + f(z)(u Nv) stabilise all z and $\mathrm{Im}(u), \mathrm{Im}(v)$. Stabilise such that $|e^{2\pi i u}| \ll |e^{2\pi i v}| \ll 1$.
- f(z)(u Nv) stabilises the direction $\operatorname{Re}(u Nv)$.

see also [Ben-Dayan, Pedro, Westphal I 4; Shiu, Staessens, Ye 15]

• terms $\sim e^{2\pi i v}$ gives rise to potential along orthogonal (inflaton) direction.

Consider complex structure (CS) moduli sector with Kähler- and superpotential:

$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$$

Moduli stabilisation:

- K and w(z) + f(z)(u Nv) stabilise all z and $\mathrm{Im}(u), \mathrm{Im}(v)$. Stabilise such that $|e^{2\pi i u}| \ll |e^{2\pi i v}| \ll 1$.
- f(z)(u-Nv) stabilises the direction $\operatorname{Re}(u-Nv)$.

see also [Ben-Dayan, Pedro, Westphal I 4; Shiu, Staessens, Ye 15]

• terms $\sim e^{2\pi i v}$ gives rise to potential along orthogonal (inflaton) direction.

Consider complex structure (CS) moduli sector with Kähler- and superpotential:

$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$$

Inflaton potential:

- need to include the backreaction of other moduli.
- Including the backreaction of complex structure moduli obtain:

$$V = e^K \lambda \ e^{-4\pi \operatorname{Im}(v)} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi \phi}{f} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad f = \frac{\sqrt{K_{u\bar{u}}}N}{2} \sim \frac{N}{2 \ \operatorname{Im}(u)} \ .$$

Obtain superplanckian field range for large enough $\boldsymbol{N}.$

Weak gravity conjecture for axions:

[Arkani-Hamed, Motl, Nicolis, Vafa 2006; Rudelius 2015; Brown, Cottrell, Shiu, Soler 2015]

- consider potential for multiple axions:
- $V = \sum \Lambda^4 e^{-m_i} \left(1 \cos \vec{q_i} \cdot \vec{a} \right)$ \vec{z}_2 \vec{z}_1 $-\vec{z_1}$ \vec{z}_{2}

• define ''charge-tomass-ratio'' vectors:

$$\vec{z_i} = \frac{\vec{q_i}}{m_i}$$

• WGC satisfied if convex hull spanned by $\{\pm \vec{z_i}\}$ contains the unit sphere. [Cheung, Remmen 2014]

C2 axion in type IIB: $r=2/\sqrt{3}$ [Brown, Cottrell, Shiu, Soler 2015]

Our model:
$$K = K(z, \overline{z}; u - \overline{u}, v - \overline{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$

 $W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$

- Turn off term f(z)(u Nv) at first.
- For now assume that u, v already have diagonal kinetic terms. (Straightforwardly generalised for general kinetic terms.)

Our model:
$$K = K(z, \bar{z}; u - \bar{u}, v - \bar{v}; e^{2\pi i v}, e^{2\pi i u})$$

 $W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi i v} + h(z)e^{2\pi i u}$

- Turn off term f(z)(u Nv) at first.
- For now assume that u, v already have diagonal kinetic terms. (Straightforwardly generalised for general kinetic terms.)

Schematically:
$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{v}_1}{f_v} \right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{f_u} \right)$$

- Here we defined $m\equiv 2\pi v_2$ and $M\equiv 2\pi u_2$
- and canonically normalised the axions u_1, v_1 .

$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos\frac{\tilde{v}_1}{f_v}\right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos\frac{\tilde{u}_1}{f_u}\right)$$
• Start with setup which \tilde{v}_1

 E.g., without alignment cannot obtain parametrically large axion field ranges.

satisfies the WGC.



$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{v}_1}{f_v} \right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{f_u} \right)$$

- Start with setup which satisfies the WGC.
- E.g., without alignment cannot obtain parametrically large axion field ranges.



$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{v}_1}{f_v} \right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{f_u} \right)$$



$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{v}_1}{f_v} \right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{f_u} \right)$$



$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{v}_1}{f_v} \right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{f_u} \right)$$



$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos \left[\frac{\tilde{u}_1}{N f_u} + \alpha \right] \right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\tilde{u}_1}{f_u} \right)$$



$$V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos \left[\frac{\phi}{N f_u} + \alpha \right] \right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f_u} \right)$$















Our model:
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi iv} + h(z)e^{2\pi iu}$$

fixes inflaton
trajectory
 $V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos\left[\frac{\phi}{Nf_u} + \alpha\right]\right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos\frac{\phi}{f_u}\right)$
gives rise to inflaton potential
Hierarchical axion inflation:
[Ben-Dayan, Pedro, Westphall 4]
Minimal setup: $W = A_1 e^{-a_1(T_u - NT_v)} + A_2 e^{-a_2T_v}$
fixes inflaton trajectory



Our model:
$$W = w(z) + f(z)(u - Nv) + g(z)e^{2\pi iv} + h(z)e^{2\pi iu}$$

fixes inflaton
trajectory
 $V \sim \Lambda^4 e^{-m} \left(1 - \cos\left[\frac{\phi}{Nf_u} + \alpha\right]\right) + \Lambda^4 e^{-M} \left(1 - \cos\frac{\phi}{f_u}\right)$
gives rise to inflaton potential
Hierarchical axion inflation:
[Ben-Dayan, Pedro, Westphall 4]
Extend: $W = A_1 e^{-a_1(T_u - NT_v)} + A_2 e^{-a_2T_v} + A_3 e^{-a_3T_u}$
fixes inflaton trajectory

Need to stabilise moduli such that $|A_1e^{-a_1(T_u - NT_v)}| > |A_2e^{-a_2T_v}| > |A_3e^{-a_3T_u}|$.

Conclusions

- We constructed a model of "natural inflation" in the complex structure moduli sector of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold.
- The generation of the flat direction (alignment), the stabilisation of saxions and the generation of the inflaton potential are to a certain degree independent of one another.
- Can be combined with Kähler moduli stabilisation according to the Large Volume Scenario. Backreaction? [Buchmüller, Dudas, Heurtier, Westphal, Wieck, Winkler 2014; Dudas, Wick 2015]
- The model is sufficiently explicit such that detailed quantitative questions can be addressed.
- Demonstrating the possibility of moduli stabilisation as required in an explicit model highly desirable.
- The model is consistent with the **mild form** of the WGC.