





European Research Council

SPLE Advanced Grant

D6-branes and axion monodromy inflation

Based on: D. Escobar, A.L., F. Marchesano, D. Regalado [1505.07871] and [1511.XXXXX]

> IV Postgraduate Meeting, Madrid November, 19th

Aitor Landete

Overview

- Introduction
 - Inflation
 - String Theory
 - String Theory & Inflation
- Inflation from D-branes
 - Setup of our model
 - Moduli stabilization
 - Masses analysis
- Results
 - Single-field inflation scenario
 - Consistency with Planck bounds

Pointing in what field I am working on

Presenting my model... a little bit technical (you can sleep here)

Wake up! After all the details we see that our model is correct after all.

Motivation





Motivation









- As a result, trying to solve all the above problems arose lots of different inflationary models
 - $V(\phi) = V_0 e^{-\beta \kappa \phi}$ Power law
 - Cahotic
- Natural
- $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \qquad V(\phi) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi^4$ $V(\phi) = M^2f^2\left(1 \cos\frac{\phi}{f}\right)$
 - Starobinsky

- $V(\phi) = \frac{R^2}{12^2 M^2} \left(1 \frac{R}{2M^2}\right)^{-2}$
- $V(\phi,\chi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\chi^2 v^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}g^2\phi^2\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$ • Hybrid



Data & Constraints

Can we embed all this different theories in string theory?



Fig. 1. Marginalized joint 68% and 95% CL regions for n_s and $r_{0.002}$ from *Planck* in combination with other data sets compared to the theoretical predictions of selected inflationary models.

$$n_s = 0.9603 \pm .0073$$
 $\ln 10^{10} A_s^2 = 3.089 \pm 0.027$

String Theory

What is a String



String Theory



- In superstring theory appear different theories that apparently are different...
- Type IIA
 - Closed strings
 - Lev. and Dex. modes are differente
- Type IIB
 - Closed strings
 - Lev. and Dex. modes are the same
- Type I
 - Open and closed strings (non orientable)

Heterotic

- Closed strings
- One mode is fermionic and the other bosonic







 ψ_{s}

 $oldsymbol{\psi}_{ extsf{s}}$











Х







In superstring theory appear different theories that apparently are different...



Contains gauge interactions SO(N)





In superstring theory appear different theories that apparently are different...





Compactification

In order to achieve a 4d theory we have to compatify the extra dimensions





Compactification

In order to achieve a 4d theory we have to compatify the extra dimensions







In order to achieve a 4d theory we have to compatify the extra dimensions

Calabi-Yau **Manifolds**

Moreover the process of compactification gives us moduli, which we have to fix

modulus	name	
S = s + ic	axio-dilaton	
$U^i=v^i+iu^i$	complex structure	\rightarrow shape deformations
$T_{\alpha} = \tau_{\alpha} + i \rho_{\alpha} + \dots$	Kähler	\rightarrow size deformations
$G^a\!=Sb^a+ic^a$	axionic odd	





We should stablish a mass hierarchy to the moduli in order to inflate with one scalar field



ST & Inflation



We should stablish a mass hierarchy to the moduli in order to inflate with one scalar field



ST & Inflation

Axion monodromy

String axions are promising inflaton candidates. Equipped with **a continuous shift symmetry to all orders in perturbation theory,** the axion potential is stable against radiative corrections

The basic idea of monodromy Inflation is that inflation can persist through many cycles around the configuration space. **The effective field range is then much larger than the fundamental period**, but the axion shift symmetry protects the structure of the potential over each individual cycle

Silverstein & Westphal '08



ST & Inflation F-term axion monodromy









4d **type IIA** compactifications with O6planes and background fluxes





4d **type IIA** compactifications with O6planes and background fluxes







$$V = e^{K} \left(K^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} D_{\alpha} W D_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{W} - 3|W|^{2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} K_{K} &= -\log \left(\frac{i}{6} K_{abc} (T^{a} - \bar{T}^{a}) (T^{b} - \bar{T}^{b}) (T^{c} - \bar{T}^{c}) \right) \\ K_{Q} &= -2\log \left(\frac{1}{2i} F_{\bar{K}L} \left[N^{\bar{K}} - \bar{N}^{\bar{K}} + \frac{i}{4} Q^{\bar{K}} \Phi \bar{\Phi} \right] \cdot \left[N^{\bar{L}} - \bar{N}^{\bar{L}} + \frac{i}{4} Q^{\bar{L}} \Phi \bar{\Phi} \right] \right) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W_{mod} = W_{flux} + W_{D2} + W_{WS}$$

$$W = W_{mod} + \Phi T$$

$$W = M_{mod} + \Phi T$$

$$W = Sf(X)$$

$$W = dentify$$

$$R = T$$

$$T \to T + a \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$J_{c} = B + iJ$$

$$\int_{\Pi_{2}} J_{c} = \sum_{a} n_{a} T^{a} = T$$

$$b = \int_{\Pi_{2}} B$$





$$V = e^{K} \left(K^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} D_{\alpha} W D_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{W} - 3|W|^{2} \right)$$

$$K_{K} = -\log \left(\frac{i}{6} \mathcal{K}_{abc} (T^{a} - \bar{T}^{a}) (T^{b} - \bar{T}^{b}) (T^{c} - \bar{T}^{c}) \right)$$

$$K_{Q} = -2 \log \left(\frac{1}{2i} \mathcal{F}_{\hat{K}\hat{L}} \left[N^{\hat{K}} - \bar{N}^{\hat{K}} + \frac{i}{4} Q^{\hat{K}} \Phi \bar{\Phi} \right] \cdot \left[N^{\hat{L}} - \bar{N}^{\hat{L}} + \frac{i}{4} Q^{\hat{L}} \Phi \bar{\Phi} \right] \right)$$

$$K_{Q} = -2 \log \left(\frac{1}{16i} \mathcal{F}_{KL} \left[N^{K} - \bar{N}^{K} - \frac{i}{8} Q^{K} (\Phi - \bar{\Phi})^{2} \right] \cdot \left[N^{L} - \bar{N}^{L} - \frac{i}{8} Q^{L} (\Phi - \bar{\Phi})^{2} \right] \right)$$









Mod. Stabil

 $V = e^{K} \left(K^{\Phi \bar{\Phi}} |\partial_{\Phi} W_{\text{inf}}|^{2} + K^{T\bar{T}} |\partial_{T} W_{\text{inf}} + 2TW_{2}^{0}|^{2} + 4|a|^{2} (\operatorname{Re} T)^{2} (\operatorname{Re} \Phi)^{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(W_{\text{mod}}^{0})$

Inflation from D-branes Mass Hierarc.



Inflation from D-branes Mass Hierarc.



Inflation from D-branes DBI reduction











Inflation from D-branes DBI reduction

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \sim 10^{-2} g_s^3 \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\Pi_3}}{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}_6}} \\ a^{-1} \sim g_s^{-1} K_{\Phi \bar{\Phi}} K_{T\bar{T}} \mathcal{V}_{\Pi_3} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}_6} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V = c \left(\sqrt{1 + a \left(\frac{\phi_b}{M_{\rm pl}} \right)^2} - 1 \right) M_{\rm pl}^4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {\rm Single-Field} \\ {\rm Field} \\ {\rm case} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} a \sim 10^{-1} - 10^{-3} \\ \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{M}_6} \sim 10^3 \\ \mathcal{V}_{\Pi_3} \sim 10 \\ g_s^2 \sim 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.15 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.13 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.99 \end{bmatrix}$$

0.02

0.04

0.08

Typical mass of the inflaton with these scales and also we satisfy Planck bounds

Our model interpolates between quadratic chaotic inflation ($a \approx 10^{-3}$) and linear chaotic inflation ($a \approx 10^{-1}$)

0.06

0.08

0.10 a





Escobar, A.L., Marchesano & Regalado '15





Conclusions

- We have been able to develop a model in type IIA with O6-planes and background fluxes which interpolates between quadratic chaotic inflation and linear chaotic inflation.
- We see that our model satisfies, in the single-field scenario, all the bounds stablished by the Planck collaboration.
- Our model matches with well-stablished models of inflation in N=1 SUGRA in the small field regime.
- We have been able to carry out the building of a mass hierarchy through flux compactification which is consistent with a single field inflationary secenario.

Thank you for your attention!

Inflation





HorizonProblem





Regions separated today by more than 1 degree in the sky were in causal contact before inflation, but were stretched to cosmological distances by the exponential expansion

Inflation Radiation and matter origin



During inflation the universe was empty



When the accelerated expansion ended all the potential energy of the inflaton turned into matter and kinetic energy





Gravitational Wave background

Inflation

-500

- 500 μK_{cMB}

Origin of Structure

Any inhomogeneities present before the tremendous expansion would be washed out



so it has its own inhomogeneities. These inhomogeneities growed with the expansion and were the seeds for the great structures that we observe today.