





Heavy Quarkonium with Effective Field Theories



PHYSIK DEPARTMENT TUM T30F the physics of quarkonium and its relevance to the physics of Standard Model and beyond

• the state of the art theory tools confronted to experimental data

 experimental/theoretical challenges and opportunities

QCD and strongly coupled gauge theories: challenges and perspectives

N. Brambilla^{*†},¹ S. Eidelman[†],^{2,3} P. Foka^{†‡},⁴ S. Gardner^{†‡},⁵ A.S. Kronfeld[†],⁶ M.G. Alford[‡],⁷ R. Alkofer[‡],⁸ M. Butenschön[‡],⁹ T.D. Cohen[‡],¹⁰ J. Erdmenger[‡],¹¹ L. Fabbietti[‡],¹² P. Arnold,²⁸ P. Christakoglou,²⁹ P. Di Nezza,³⁰ Z. Fodor,^{31, 32, 33} X. Garcia i Tormo,³⁴ F. Hölwieser,¹³

We highlight the progress, current status, and open challenges of QCD-driven physics, in theory and in experiment. We discuss how the strong interaction is intimately connected to a broad sweep of physical problems, in settings ranging from astrophysics and cosmology to strongly-coupled, complex systems in particle and condensed-matter physics, as well as to searches for physics beyond the Standard Model. We also discuss how success in describing the strong interaction impacts other fields, and, in turn, how such subjects can impact studies of the strong interaction. In the course of the work we offer a perspective on the many research streams which flow into and out of QCD, as well as a vision for future developments.

1. Overview

1.1. Readers' guide

2. The nature of QCD

- 2.1. Broader themes in QCD
- 2.2. Experiments addressing QCD
- 2.3. Theoretical tools for QCD
- 2.4. Fundamental parameters of QCD

9. Strongly coupled theories and conformal symmetry

- 9.1. New exact results in quantum field theory
 - 9.1.1. Integrability of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
 - 9.1.2. Scattering amplitudes
 - 9.1.3. Generalized unitarity and its consequences 6.4. Hard processes and medium induced effects
 - 9.1.4. Supersymmetric gauge theories
 - 9.1.5. Conformal field theories
 - 9.1.6. 3d CFTs and higher spin symmetry
- 9.2. Conformal symmetry, strongly coupled theories and new physics
 - 9.2.1. Theory of the conformal window
 - 9.2.2. Lattice, AdS/CFT, and the electroweak symmetry breaking
- 9.3. Electroweak symmetry breaking
 - 9.3.1. Strongly coupled scenarios for EWSB
 - 9.3.2. Conformal symmetry, the Planck scale, and 5. Reference for heavy-ion collisions naturalness
- 9.4. Methods from high-energy physics for strongly coupled, condensed matter systems
 - 9.4.1. Lattice gauge theory results
 - 9.4.2. Gauge-gravity duality results
- 9.5. Summary and future prospects

3. Light quarks

- 3.1. Introduction
- 3.2. Hadron structure
 - 3.2.1. Parton distribution functions in QCD
 - 3.2.2. PDFs in the DGLAP approach
 - 3.2.3. PDFs and nonlinear evolution equations
 - 3.2.4. GPDs and tomography of the nucleon
 - 3.2.5. Hadron form factors
 - 3.2.6. The proton radius puzzle
 - 3.2.7. The pion and other pseudoscalar mesons
- 3.3. Hadron spectroscopy
 - 3.3.1. Lattice QCD 222 Continuum mothoda

6. Deconfinement

- 6.1. Mapping the QCD phase diagram
 - 6.1.1. Precision lattice QCD calculations at finite-temperature
 - 6.1.2. A critical point in the QCD phase diagram?
 - 6.1.3. Experimental exploration of the QCD phase diagram
- 6.2. Near-equilibrium properties of the QGP
 - 6.2.1. Global event characterization
 - 6.2.2. Azimuthal anisotropies
 - 6.2.3. Transport coefficients & spectral functions: theory
- 6.3. Approach to equilibrium
 - 6.3.1. Thermalization at weak and strong coupling
 - 6.3.2. Multiplicities and entropy production
 - - 6.4.1. Introduction
 - 6.4.2. Theory of hard probes
 - Nuclear matter effects in pA collisions Energy loss theory
 - Quarkonium interaction at finite
 - temperature and quarkonium suppression
 - 6.4.3. Experimental results on hard probes High p_T observables Heavy flavors
- 6.6. Lattice QCD, AdS/CFT and perturbative QCD 6.6.1. Weakly and strongly coupled (Super) Yang-Mills theories
 - 6.6.2. Holographic breaking of scale invariance and IHQCD

8. Vacuum structure and infrared QCD: confinement and chiral symmetry breaking

- 8.1. Confinement
- 8.2. Functional methods
- 8.3. Mechanism of chiral symmetry breaking
- 8.4. Future Directions

- 5. Searching for new physics with precision measurements and computations
 - 5.1. Introduction
 - 5.2. QCD for collider-based BSM searches
 - 5.2.1. Theoretical overview: factorization
 - 5.2.2. Outcomes for a few sample processes
 - 5.2.3. LHC results: Higgs and top physics
 - 5.2.4. Uncertainties from nucleon structure a PDFs
 - 5.2.5. Complementarity with low-energy prol
 - 5.3. Low-energy framework for the analysis of BS effects
 - 5.4. Permanent EDMs
 - 5.4.1. Overview
 - 5.4.2. Experiments, and their interpretation implications
 - 5.4.3. EFTs for EDMs: the neutron case
 - 5.4.4. Lattice-QCD matrix elements
 - 5.5. Probing non-(V A) interactions in beta dec 5.5.1. The role of the neutron lifetime
 - 5.6. Broader applications of QCD
 - 5.6.1. Determination of the proton radius
 - 5.6.2. Dark-matter searches
 - 5.6.3. Neutrino physics
 - 5.6.4. Cold nuclear medium effects
 - 5.6.5. Gluonic structure
 - 5.7. Quark flavor physics
 - 5.7.1. Quark masses and charges
 - 5.7.2. Testing the CKM paradigm
 - 5.7.3. New windows on CP and T violation
 - 5.7.4. Rare decays
 - 5.8. Future Directions

4. Heavy quarks

4.1. Methods

4.3. Spectroscopy

- 4.1.1. Nonrelativistic effective field th
- 4.1.2. The progress on NRQCD facto
- 4.1.3. Lattice gauge theory
- 4.2. Heavy semileptonic decays
 - 4.2.1. Exclusive and inclusive D deca

4.3.2. Heavy quarkonia below open fl

- 4.2.2. Exclusive B decays
- 4.2.3. Inclusive *B* decays 4.2.4. Rare charm decays

4.3.1. Experimental tools

threadedda

Quarkoniumtodayisagoldensystemtostudystronginteractions

many experimental data and opportunities

Quarkonium today is a golden system to study strong interactions

new theoretical tools: Effective Field Theories (EFTs) of QCD and progress in lattice QCD In the near past data came from: B-FACTORIES (Belle, BABAR): Heavy Mesons Factories CLEO-c BES tau charm factories CLEO-III bottomonium factory Fermilab CDF, D0, E835 Hera RHIC (Star, Phenix), NA60 In the near past data came from: B-FACTORIES (Belle, BABAR): Heavy Mesons Factories CLEO-c BES tau charm factories CLEO-III bottomonium factory Fermilab CDF, D0, E835 Hera RHIC (Star, Phenix), NA60

Now they come from: BESIII at IHEP CMS ATLAS LHCb ALICE at CERN In the near past data came from: B-FACTORIES (Belle, BABAR): Heavy Mesons Factories CLEO-c BES tau charm factories CLEO-III bottomonium factory Fermilab CDF, D0, E835 Hera RHIC (Star, Phenix), NA60

Now they come from: BESIII at IHEP CMS ATLAS LHCb ALICE at CERN

and in the future Belle II at SuperKEKB, PANDA at FAIR

In the near past data came from: B-FACTORIES (Belle, BABAR): Heavy Mesons Factories CLEO-c BES tau charm factories CLEO-III bottomonium factory Hera RHIC (Star, Phenix), NA60 Discovery of New States, New Discovery of New States, New Fermilab CDF, D0, E835 Production Mechanisms, Exotics, New Production Mechanisms, Precision and Now they come from: decays and transitions, Precision and BESIII at IUCD CMS ATLAS LHCb ALICE at CERN

and in the future Belle II at SuperKEKB, PANDA at FAIR













Heavy quarkonia are nonrelativistic bound systems: multiscale systems

many scales: a challenge and an opportunity







S statesP statesNormalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$



The system is nonrelativistic(NR) $\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$ $v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$



NR BOUND STATES HAVE AT LEAST 3 SCALES

 $m \gg mv \gg mv^2 \quad v \ll 1$

The system is nonrelativistic(NR) $\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$ $v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$

S statesP statesNormalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$





NR BOUND STATES HAVE AT LEAST 3 SCALES

$$m \gg mv \gg mv^2$$
 $v \ll 1$

 $mv \sim r^{-1}$

The system is nonrelativistic(NR) $\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$ $v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$

S statesP statesNormalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$





NR bound states have at least 3 scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2 \quad v \ll 1$ $mv \sim r^{-1}$ and Accd

The system is nonrelativistic(NR) $\Delta E \sim mv^2, \Delta_{fs} E \sim mv^4$ $v_b^2 \sim 0.1, v_c^2 \sim 0.3$

S statesP statesNormalized with respect to $\chi_b(1P)$ and $\chi_c(1P)$



The rich structure of separated energy scales makes QQbar an ideal probe

At zero temperature

• The different quarkonium radii provide different measures of the transition from a Coulombic to a confined bound state.



quarkonia probe the perturbative (high energy) and non perturbative region (low energy) as well as the transition region in dependence of their radius r

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening
$$m_D \sim gT$$

 $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$

Matsui Satz 1986

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening $m_D \sim gT$ $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$

Matsui Satz 1986

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening
$$m_D \sim gT$$

 $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$

Matsui Satz 1986

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening
$$m_D \sim gT$$

 $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$
 $r \sim \frac{1}{m_D} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Bound state} \\ \text{dissolves} \end{array}$
Matsui Satz 1986

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening
$$m_D \sim gT$$

 $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$
 $r \sim \frac{1}{m_D} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Bound state} \\ \text{dissolves} \end{array}$
Matsui Satz 1986

quarkonia dissociate at different temperature in dependence of their radius: they are a Quark Gluon Plasma thermometer

At finite temperature T they are sensitive to the formation of a quark gluon plasma via color screening



Debye charge screening
$$m_D \sim gT$$

 $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s \frac{e^{-m_D r}}{r}$
 $r \sim \frac{1}{m_D} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Bound state} \\ \text{dissolves} \end{array}$
Matsui Satz 1986

quarkonia dissociate at different temperature in dependence of their radius: they are a Quark Gluon Plasma thermometer



Quarkonium as an exploration tool of physics of Standard Model and beyond

Quarkonium can serve for the precise extraction of Standard Model parameters: heavy quark masses and strong coupling constant α_s

Quarkonium as an exploration tool of physics of Standard Model and beyond

Quarkonium can serve for the precise extraction of Standard Model parameters: heavy quark masses and strong coupling constant α_s

The large mass makes quarkonium an ideal probe of new particles

BaBar light-Higgs & dark-photon searches

Mode	Mass range (GeV)	BF upper limit (90% CL)
$\Upsilon(2S, 3S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$0.21 < m_A < 9.3$	$(0.3 - 8.3) \times 10^{-6}$
$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	$4.0 < m_A < 10.1$	$(1.5 - 16) \times 10^{-5}$
$\Upsilon(2S, 3S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to \text{hadrons}$	$0.3 < m_A < 7.0$	$(0.1 - 8) \times 10^{-5}$
$\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to \chi \bar{\chi}$	$m_{\chi} < 4.5 \text{GeV}$	$(0.5 - 24) \times 10^{-5}$
$\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to \text{ invisible}$	$m_A < 9.2 \mathrm{GeV}$	$(1.9 - 37) \times 10^{-6}$
$\Upsilon(3S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to \text{ invisible}$	$m_A < 9.2 \mathrm{GeV}$	$(0.7 - 31) \times 10^{-6}$
$\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to g\overline{g}$	$m_A < 9.0 \mathrm{GeV}$	$10^{-6} - 10^{-2}$
$\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma A^0, A^0 \to s\overline{s}$	$m_A < 9.0 \mathrm{GeV}$	$10^{-5} - 10^{-3}$

Close to the bound state $\, lpha_{ m s} \sim v \,$



Q

 \bar{Q}







$$\sim \frac{1}{E - \left(\frac{p^2}{m} + V\right)}$$
Close to the bound state $\, lpha_{ m s} \sim v \,$



Close to the bound state $\, lpha_{ m s} \sim v \,$





Close to the bound state $\alpha_{\rm s} \sim v$



 $E \sim mv^2$ multiscale diagrams have a complicate power counting and contribute to all orders in the coupling



Close to the bound state $\alpha_{\rm s} \sim v$



 $E \sim mv^2$ multiscale diagrams have a complicate power counting and contribute to all orders in the coupling





An effective field theory makes the expansion in λ/Λ explicit at the Lagrangian level.



An effective field theory makes the expansion in λ/Λ explicit at the Lagrangian level. The EFT Lagrangian, \mathcal{L}_{EFT} , suitable to describe *H* at scales lower than Λ is defined by (1) a cut off $\Lambda \gg \mu \gg \lambda$;

(2) by some degrees of freedom that exist at scales lower than μ



An effective field theory makes the expansion in λ/Λ explicit at the Lagrangian level. The EFT Lagrangian, \mathcal{L}_{EFT} , suitable to describe H at scales lower than Λ is defined by (1) a cut off $\Lambda \gg \mu \gg \lambda$;

(2) by some degrees of freedom that exist at scales lower than μ

range of validity of the EFT: energy < μ

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{EFT}$ is made of all operators O_n that may be built from the effective degrees of freedom and are consistent with the symmetries of \mathcal{L} .

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum c_n(\Lambda,\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$ n



 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum c_n(\Lambda,\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$ n

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda, \mu) \frac{O_n(\mu, \lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ/Λ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda,\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ/Λ .

Power counting

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda,\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ/Λ .

Power counting

• The EFT is renormalizable order by order in λ/Λ .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda,\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ/Λ .

Power counting

• The EFT is renormalizable order by order in λ/Λ . Modern view on "renormalization"

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda, \mu) \frac{O_n(\mu, \lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ/Λ .

Power counting

• The EFT is renormalizable order by order in λ/Λ . Modern view on "renormalization"

The matching coefficients c_n(Λ/μ) encode the non-analytic behaviour in Λ. They
are calculated by imposing that L_{EFT} and L describe the same physics at any
finite order in the expansion: matching procedure.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda, \mu) \frac{O_n(\mu, \lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ / Λ .

Power counting

• The EFT is renormalizable order by order in λ/Λ . Modern view on "renormalization"

• The matching coefficients $c_n(\Lambda/\mu)$ encode the non-analytic behaviour in Λ . They are calculated by imposing that \mathcal{L}_{EFT} and \mathcal{L} describe the same physics at any finite order in the expansion: matching procedure.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda, \mu) \frac{O_n(\mu, \lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ/Λ .

Power counting

• The EFT is renormalizable order by order in λ/Λ . Modern view on "renormalization"

• The matching coefficients $c_n(\Lambda/\mu)$ encode the non-analytic behaviour in Λ . They are calculated by imposing that \mathcal{L}_{EFT} and \mathcal{L} describe the same physics at any finite order in the expansion: matching procedure.

• If $\Lambda \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ then $c_n(\Lambda/\mu)$ may be calculated in perturbation theory.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \sum_{n} c_n(\Lambda, \mu) \frac{O_n(\mu, \lambda)}{\Lambda^n}$$

• Since $\langle O_n \rangle \sim \lambda^n$ the EFT is organized as an expansion in λ / Λ .

Power counting

• The EFT is renormalizable order by order in λ/Λ . Modern view on "renormalization"

• The matching coefficients $c_n(\Lambda/\mu)$ encode the non-analytic behaviour in Λ . They are calculated by imposing that \mathcal{L}_{EFT} and \mathcal{L} describe the same physics at any finite order in the expansion: matching procedure.

• If $\Lambda \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ then $c_n(\Lambda/\mu)$ may be calculated in perturbation theory.

• Symmetries of the system become manifest; • Large log(Λ/λ) can be resummed via RG. (Renormalization group) QCD Effective Field Theories To address the research fronteer of strong interactions we need to construct effective field theories



- Heavy quark effective theory (HQET): $\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} = \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{m}$

Soft-Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)

Lattice QCD \equiv Effective Field Theory ($\Lambda = \pi/a$).



kinetic theory

hydrodynamics

QFT

 \overline{Q}





Color degrees of freedom 3X3=1+8 singlet and octet QQbar

Hard

Soft (relative momentum)

Ultrasoft (binding energy)



Color degrees of freedom 3X3=1+8 singlet and octet QQbar

Hard

Soft (relative momentum)

Ultrasoft (binding energy)



 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \sum c_n (E_\Lambda/\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{E_\Lambda}$ n

Color degrees of freedom 3X3=1+8 singlet and octet QQbar

Hard

Soft (relative momentum)

Ultrasoft (binding energy)



 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \sum c_n (E_\Lambda/\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{E_\Lambda}$ n

 $\langle O_n \rangle \sim E_\lambda^n$

Color degrees of freedom 3X3=1+8 singlet and octet QQbar



Color degrees of freedom 3X3=1+8 singlet and octet QQbar

 $\langle O_n \rangle \sim E_\lambda^n$



 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = \sum c_n (E_\Lambda/\mu) \frac{O_n(\mu,\lambda)}{E_\Lambda}$

Quarkonium with NR EFT: Non Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)



Quarkonium with NR EFT: Non Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)



Quarkonium with NR EFT: Non Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)



 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{NRQCD}} = \sum c(\alpha_{s}(m/\mu)) \times \frac{O_{n}(\mu, \lambda)}{m^{n}}$

n







 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{pNRQCD}} = \sum_{k} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{m^{k}} c_{k}(\alpha_{s}(m/\mu)) \times V(r\mu', r\mu) \times O_{n}(\mu', \lambda) r^{n}$



 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{pNRQCD}} = \sum_{k} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{m^{k}} c_{k}(\alpha_{s}(m/\mu)) \times V(r\mu', r\mu) \times O_{n}(\mu', \lambda) r^{n}$





In QCD another scale is relevant

 $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$



In QCD another scale is relevant

 $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$



In QCD another scale is relevant

 $\Lambda_{
m QCD}$


In QCD another scale is relevant

 $\Lambda_{
m QCD}$

Quarkonium with EFT



Caswell, Lepage 86, Lepage, Thacker 88 Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage 95.....

Physics at the scale m : NRQCD quarkonium production and decays

Physics at the scale m : NRQCD quarkonium production and decays

STUDIES of QUARKONIUM PRODUCTION IN VACUUM and in a MEDIUM are PROMINENT at the LARGE HADRON COLLIDER

Quarkonium production

Bodwin Braaten Lepage 1995 NRQCD factorization formula for quarkonium production valid for large p_T

 $\sigma(H) = \sum F_n \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_n^H | 0 \rangle.$

cross section

short distance coefficients partonic hard scattering cross section convoluted with parton distribution long distance matrix elements give the probability of a qqbar pair with certain quantum number to evolve into a final quarkonium H they are vacuum expectation values of four fermion operators and contain color singlet and color octet contribution

Quarkonium production



Explained the data at Fermilab on the cross section with the octet contribution (the singlet model failed)

Quarkonium production



Explained the data at Fermilab on the cross section with the octet contribution (the singlet model failed) Difficulties in explaining quarkonium polarization at Fermilab

Quarkonium production		
Terrific progress in production in the last few years		
Proof of NRQCD factorization at NNLO	Qiu, Nayak, Sterman 05-08	
Calculation of the differential singlet cross section at NLO and NNLO*	Gong, Wang 08 Artoisenet, Campbell,Lansberg, Maltoni, Tramontano 07	
 Development of fragmentation function approach/ SCET approach NLO calculation of J/psi photoproduction at HERA 	Qiu, Nayak, Sterman 050-014-S. Fleming et al 012-013 Artoisenet, et al.09, Butenschon Kniehl 09	
• Full NLO calculation of the direct J/psi hadroproduction in NRQCD Butenschon Kniehl 010		
Global fit of NRQCD color octet matrix elements	Kuang ta Chao et al 010,	
at NLO Butensche	on Kniehl 011 Kuang ta Chao et al 011,	
Polarization in hadroproduction at NLO Butenschon Kniehl 012, Chao et al 012, Gong et al 012		

Quarkonium production		
Terrific progress in production in the last few years		
Proof of NRQCD factorization at NNLO	Qiu, Nayak, Sterman 05-08	
Calculation of the differential singlet cross section at NLO and NNLO*	Gong, Wang 08 Artoisenet, Campbell,Lansberg, Maltoni, Tramontano 07	
 Development of fragmentation function approach/ SCET approach NLO calculation of J/psi photoproduction at HERA 	Qiu, Nayak, Sterman 050-014-S. Fleming et al 012-013 Artoisenet, et al.09, Butenschon Kniehl 09	
• Full NLO calculation of the direct J/psi hadroproduction in NRQCD Butenschon Kniehl 010		
Global fit of NRQCD color octet matrix elements at NLO Butensch	Kuang ta Chao et al 010, Ion Kniehl 011 Kuang ta Chao et al 011,	
Polarization in hadroproduction at NLO Butenschon Kniehl 012, Chao et al 012, Gong et al 012		
a coherent picture in NRQCD for quarkonium production at Tevatron, Rhic, Hera is emerging and is being scrutinized at LHC		

many more data are produced by LHC : polarizations (J/psi, psi(2s), Y(nS)), ratio of chi states, double quarkonium production, production of new states

NRQCD on the lattice for spectra calculations: many advances in the calculation of the matching coefficients in the lattice regularization and in considering higher order corrections in v^2: applications to bottomonium, hyperfine separation.... still a challenge the excited states

NRQCD for exclusive decays, implement collinear degrees of freedom with SCET

Physics at the scale mv and mv^2 : pNRQCD bound state formation

Physics at the scale mv and mv^2 : pNRQCD bound state formation

pNRQCD is today the theory used to address quarkonium bound states properties

The EFT has been constructed (away from the stong decay threshold)

*Work at calculating higher order perturbative corrections in v and alpha_s

*Resumming the log

*Calculating/extracting nonperturbatively the low energy quantities

*Extending the theory (electromagnetic effect, 3 bodies)

The EFT has been constructed (away from the stong decay threshold)

*Work at calculating higher order perturbative corrections in v and alpha_s

*Resumming the log

*Calculating/extracting nonperturbatively the low energy quantities

*Extending the theory (electromagnetic effect, 3 bodies)

The issue here is precision physics and the study of confinement

The EFT has been constructed (away from the stong decay threshold)

- *Work at calculating higher order perturbative corrections in v and alpha_s
- *Resumming the log
- *Calculating/extracting nonperturbatively the low energy quantities
- *Extending the theory (electromagnetic effect, 3 bodies)

The issue here is precision physics and the study of confinement

 Precise and systematic high order calculations allow the extraction of precise determinations of standard model parameters like the quark masses and alpha_s

 The eft has allowed to systematically factorize and to study the low energy nonperturbative contributions pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at handThe EFT is constructed (Finite T, small g)Laine et al, 2007, Escobedo, Soto
2007 N. B., A. Vairo et al. 2008-2015*Results on the static potential hint at a new physical picture of dissociation
*Mass and width of quarkonium at m alpha^5(Y(1S) bbar at LHC)
higlieri, Vairo Soto,
*Polyakov loop calculation N. B., Ghiglieri, Petreczky, Vairo 2010-2015N. B. Escobedo,
2010-2014

The eft allows us to discover new, unexpected and important facts:

• The potential is neither the color singlet free energy nor the internal energy

 The quarkonium dissociation is a consequence of the apparence of a thermal decay width rather than being due to the color screening of the real part of the potential

We have now a coherent and systematical setup to calculate masses and width of quarkonium at finite T for small coupling

We have provided inspiration for other approaches: lattice, strings etc that now find imaginary parts in the finite T potential

The EFT has not yet been constructed (Exotics close to threshold) *Degrees of freedom still to be identified

only in particular cases (X(3872)) a universal treatment is possible E. Braaten et al pNRQCD and quarkonium Several cases for the physics at hand The EFT has not yet been constructed (Exotics close to threshold) *Degrees of freedom still to be identified only in particular cases (X(3872)) a universal treatment is possible E. Braaten et al Important to understand the X,Y, Z puzzles of the dozens of unexpected states showing up at the LHC and other collider experiments

The EFT has not yet been constructed (Exotics close to threshold) *Degrees of freedom still to be identified

only in particular cases (X(3872)) a universal treatment is possible E. Braaten et al

Important to understand the X,Y,Z puzzles of the dozens of unexpected states showing up at the LHC and other collider experiments

Near theshold heavy-light mesons have to be included and many additional degrees of freedom considered No systematic treatment is available; lattice calculations are also challenging and in the infancy state in this case

pNRQCD treatment available at the moment for the exotics states made by excited glue: HYBRIDS

N. B., M. Berwein, J. Tarrus, A. Vairo 2015

Low energy (nonperturbative) factorized effects depend on the size of the physical system

The EFT factorizes the low energy nonperturbative part. Depending on the physical system:



The more extended the physical object, the more we probe the non-perturbative vacuum.



quarkonia states

excited

quarkonia states

Quarkonium systems with small radius $r \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$

pNRQCD for quarkonia with small radius $r \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$

Degrees of freedom that scale like mv are integrated out:



pNRQCD for quarkonia with small radius $r \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$

Degrees of freedom that scale like *mv* are integrated out:



- If $mv \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, the matching is perturbative
- Degrees of freedom: quarks and gluons •

Q- \bar{Q} states, with energy ~ $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, mv^2 and momentum < mv \Rightarrow (i) singlet S (ii) octet O

Gluons with energy and momentum $\sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, mv^2

Definite power counting: $r \sim \frac{1}{mv}$ and $t, R \sim \frac{1}{mv^2}, \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}$ •

The gauge fields are multipole expanded: $A(R, r, t) = A(R, t) + \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla A(R, t) + \dots$

Non-analytic behaviour in $r \rightarrow$ matching coefficients V

weak pNRQCD $r \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\,a} + \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathbf{S} \right\}$$

$$+ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \left(iD_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_o \right) \mathbf{O} \right\}$$
LO in r

S singlet field O octet field

singlet propagator octet propagator

Pineda, Soto 97; Brambilla, Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99-

weak pNRQCD $r \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\,a} + \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathbf{S} \right\}$$

$$+ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \left(iD_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_o \right) \mathbf{O} \right\}$$
LO in r

$$+V_{A}\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{O}\right\}$$
$$+\frac{V_{B}}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\right\}$$
$$+\cdots$$

NLO in r

S singlet field O octet field

singlet propagator octet propagator

Pineda, Soto 97; Brambilla, Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99-

weak pNRQCD $r \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$

Singlet static potential

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu a} + \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left(i\partial_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{s} \right) \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger} \left(iD_{0} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - V_{o} \right) \mathbf{O} \right\}$$
LO in r

Octet static potential

$$+V_{A}\operatorname{Tr}\left\{ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{O} \right\}$$
$$+\frac{V_{B}}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left\{ \mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}^{\dagger}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E} \right\}$$
$$+\cdots$$

NLO in r

S singlet field O octet field

singlet propagator octet propagator

Pineda, Soto 97; Brambilla, Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99-

pNRQCD

- PNRQCD provides a QM description from field theory: the Schroedinger equation and the potentials appear once all scales above the binding energy have been integrated out
- The EFT accounts for non-potential terms as well. They provide loop corrections to the leading potential picture. Retardation effects are typically related to the nonperturbative physics
- The Quantum Mechanical divergences are cancelled by the NRQCD matching coefficients.
- Poincare' invariance is intact and is realized via exact relations among the matching coefficients (potentials)









The potential is a Wilson coefficient of the EFT. In general, it undergoes renormalization, develops scale dependence and satisfies renormalization group equations, which allow to resum large logarithms.



The potential is a Wilson coefficient of the EFT. In general, it undergoes renormalization, develops scale dependence and satisfies renormalization group equations, which allow to resum large logarithms.



$$\begin{aligned} V_s(r,\mu) &= -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{16\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \ln r\mu + a_3 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^3 \\ &+ \left(a_4^{L2} \ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9} \pi^2 C_A^3 \beta_0(-5 + 6\ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_4 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} V_{s}(r,\mu) &= -C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_{1} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{2} \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} C_{A}^{3} \ln r\mu + a_{3} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{3} \\ &+ \left(a_{4}^{L2} \ln^{2} r\mu + \left(a_{4}^{L} + \frac{16}{9} \pi^{2} C_{A}^{3} \beta_{0}(-5 + 6 \ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_{4} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{4} \right] \end{split}$$

 a_1 Billoire 80

 a_2 Schroeder 99, Peter 97

 $\operatorname{coeff} lnr\mu$ N.B. Pineda, Soto, Vairo 99

 a_4^{L2}, a_4^L N.B., Garcia, Soto, Vairo 06

 $a_3\,$ Anzai, Kiyo, Sumino 09, Smirnov, Smirnov, Steinhauser 09

$$\begin{split} V_{s}(r,\mu) &= -C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_{1} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{2} \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} C_{A}^{3} \ln r \mu + a_{3} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{3} \\ &+ \left(a_{4}^{L2} \ln^{2} r \mu + \left(a_{4}^{L} + \frac{16}{9} \pi^{2} C_{A}^{3} \beta_{0}(-5 + 6 \ln 2) \right) \ln r \mu + a_{4} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{4} \right] \end{split}$$

 a_1 Billoire 80

 a_2 Schroeder 99, Peter 97

coeff $lnr\mu$ N.B. Pineda, Soto, **Sloops** REDUCES TO 1 LOOP IN THE EFT a_4^{L2}, a_4^L N.B., Garcia, Sot **4** LOOPS REDUCES TO 2 LOOPS IN THE EFT a_3 Anzai, Kiyo, Sumino 09, Smirnov, Smirnov, Steinhauser 09

$$\begin{aligned} V_s(r,\mu) &= -C_F \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_1 \frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{16\pi^2}{3} C_A^3 \ln r\mu + a_3 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^3 \\ &+ \left(a_4^{L2} \ln^2 r\mu + \left(a_4^L + \frac{16}{9} \pi^2 C_A^3 \beta_0(-5 + 6\ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_4 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^4 \end{aligned}$$

Two problems: 1)Bad convergence of the series due to large beta_0 terms 2) Large logs
Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

T١

1)

2)

$$V_{s}(r,\mu) = -C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_{1} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} C_{A}^{3} \ln r\mu + a_{3} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{3} + \left(a_{4}^{L2} \ln^{2} r\mu + \left(a_{4}^{L} + \frac{16}{9} \pi^{2} C_{A}^{3} \beta_{0}(-5 + 6 \ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_{4} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{4} \right]$$

WO problems: for long it was believed that such series was not convergent
Bad convergence of the series due to large beta_0 terms
Large logs

Quarkonium singlet static potential at N^4LO

$$V_{s}(r,\mu) = -C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{r} \left[1 + a_{1} \frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} + a_{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} C_{A}^{3} \ln r\mu + a_{3} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{3} + \left(a_{4}^{L2} \ln^{2} r\mu + \left(a_{4}^{L} + \frac{16}{9} \pi^{2} C_{A}^{3} \beta_{0}(-5 + 6 \ln 2) \right) \ln r\mu + a_{4} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(1/r)}{4\pi} \right)^{4} \right]$$

WO problems: for long it was believed that such series was not convergent for any phenomenological application
Bad convergence of the series due to large beta_0 terms
Large logs

The eft cures both:

2)

1) Renormalon subtracted scheme Beneke 98, Hoang, Lee 99, Pineda 01, N.B. Pineda

2) Renormalization group summation of the $\log^{\text{Soto, Vairo 09}}$ up to N^3LL $(\alpha_s^{4+n} \ln^n \alpha_s)$ N. B Garcia, Soto Vairo 2007, 2009, Pineda, Soto



















Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series



Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series

The lattice data are perfectly described from perturbation theory up to more than 0.2 fm



Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series

- The lattice data are perfectly described from perturbation theory up to more than 0.2 fm
- Allows to rule out models: no string contribution at small r !



Very good convergence of the QCD bound state perturbative series

- The lattice data are perfectly described from perturbation theory up to more than 0.2 fm
- Allows to rule out models: no string contribution at small r !

• Allows precise extraction of fundamental parameters of QCD $r_0\Lambda_{\bar{MS}}=0.622^{+0.019}_{-0.015}$ N. Brambilla, Garcia, Soto, Vairo 010)

QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points) Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012, 2014



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points) Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012, 2014



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points) Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012, 2014



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points) Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012, 2014



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points) Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012, 2014



QQbar singlet static energy at N^3Ll in comparison with unquenched (n_f=2+1) lattice data (red points,blue points) Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo , 2012, 2014



α_s extraction

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo, 2014

We obtain an extraction of alphas at N^3LO plus leading log resummation

$$\alpha_s(1.5 \text{GeV}, n_f = 3) = 0.336^{+0.012}_{-0.008}$$

corresponding to $\alpha_s(M_z, n_f = 5) = 0.1166^{+0.0012}_{-0.0008}$

α_s extraction

Bazanov, N. B., Garcia, Petreczky, Soto, Vairo, 2014

We obtain an extraction of alphas at N^3LO plus leading log resummation

$$\alpha_s(1.5 \text{GeV}, n_f = 3) = 0.336^{+0.012}_{-0.008}$$

corresponding to

 $\alpha_s(M_z, n_f = 5) = 0.1166^{+0.0012}_{-0.0008}$



Low-lying quarkonia

Physical observables of the $\Upsilon(1S)$, η_b , B_c , J/ψ , η_c , ... may be understood in terms of PT. E.g. the spectrum up to $\mathcal{O}(M\alpha_s^5)$

$$E_n = \langle n | \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{M} + V_s + \dots | n \rangle - i \frac{g^2}{3N_c} \int_0^\infty dt \, \langle n | \mathbf{r} e^{it(E_n^{(0)} - H_o)} \mathbf{r} | n \rangle \, \langle \mathbf{E}(t) \, \mathbf{E}(0) \rangle$$

Non-perturbative corrections are small and encoded in (local or non-local) condensates.

Applications to Quarkonium physics: systems with small radius

- c and b masses at NNLO, N³LO^{*}, NNLL^{*};
- B_c mass at NNLO; Penin et al 04
- B_c^* , η_c , η_b masses at NLL; Kniehl et al 04
- Quarkonium 1P fine splittings at NLO;
- $\Upsilon(1S)$, η_b electromagnetic decays at NNLL;
- $\Upsilon(1S)$ and J/ψ radiative decays at NLO;
- $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c$ at NNLO;
- $t\overline{t}$ cross section at NNLL;
- QQq and QQQ baryons: potentials at NNLO, masses, hyperfine splitting, ...; N. B. et al 010
- Thermal effects on quarkonium in medium: potential, masses (at $m\alpha_s^5$), widths, ...;

 $\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma \eta_c(1S)) = (1.6 \pm 1.1)\%$ $\dot{\mathcal{B}}(\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma \eta_b(1S)) = (2.85 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-4}$ N. B. Yu Jia A. Vairo 2005

 $\Gamma(\eta_b(1S) \to \gamma\gamma) = 0.54 \pm 0.15 \text{ keV}.$ $\Gamma(\eta_b(1S) \to \text{LH}) = 7\text{-}16 \text{ MeV}$ Y.

Y. Kiyo, A. Pineda, A. Signer 2010

for references see the QWG doc arXiv:1010.5827

Quarkonium systems with large radius $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

- Hitting the scale Λ_{QCD} $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$

Hitting the scale Λ_{QCD} $r \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$ g (QQ)8G $\frac{(Q\bar{Q})_1 + \text{Glueball}}{(G\bar{Q})_1 + Glueball}$ $(Q\bar{Q})_1$ hybrid



Quarkonium develops a gap to hybrids





• $mv \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$

•integrate out all scales above mv^2 • gluonic excitations develop a gap $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ and are integrated out

Quarkonium develops a gap to hybrids





• $mv \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$

•integrate out all scales above mv^2 • gluonic excitations develop a gap $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ and are integrated out

⇒ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv² is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

⇒ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv² is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathbf{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

⇒ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv² is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left(i\partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathbf{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

A potential description emerges from the EFT

⇒ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv² is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathbf{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

- A potential description emerges from the EFT
- The potentials $V = \operatorname{Re}V + ImV$ from QCD in the matching: get spectra and decays

⇒ The singlet quarkonium field S of energy mv² is the only the degree of freedom of pNRQCD (up to ultrasoft light quarks, e.g. pions).

$$\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{S}^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m} - V_s \right) \mathbf{S} \right\}$$

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 00

- A potential description emerges from the EFT
- The potentials $V = \operatorname{Re}V + ImV$ from QCD in the matching: get spectra and decays
- V to be calculated on the lattice or in QCD vacuum models

$$V = V_0 + \frac{1}{m}V_1 + \frac{1}{m^2}(V_{SD} + V_{VD})$$

$$V = V_0 + \frac{1}{m}V_1 + \frac{1}{m^2}(V_{SD} + V_{VD})$$

$$V_s^{(0)} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{i}{T} \ln \langle W(r \times T) \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{i}{T} \ln \langle \Box \rangle$$

$$W = \langle \exp\{ig \oint A^{\mu} dx_{\mu}\} \rangle$$



• Koma Koma NPB 769(07)79

Potentials are given in a factorized form as product of NRQCD matching coefficients and low energy terms. These are gauge invariant wilson loop with electric and magnetic insertions

Potentials are given in a factorized form as product of NRQCD matching coefficients and low energy terms. These are gauge invariant wilson loop with electric and magnetic insertions



Brambilla et al 00
QCD Spin dependent potentials

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm SD}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{r} \left(c_F \epsilon^{kij} \frac{2r^k}{r} i \int_0^\infty dt \, t \, \langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} V_s^{(0)\prime} \right) (\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2) \cdot \mathbf{L} \\ &- c_F^2 \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j i \int_0^\infty dt \left(\langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle \right) \\ &\times \left(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 - 3(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{3} c_F^2 i \int_0^\infty dt \langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle - 4(d_2 + C_F d_4) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \end{split}$$

Eichten Feinberg 81, Gromes 84, Chen et al. 95 Brambilla Vairo 99 Pineda, Vairo 00

QCD Spin dependent potentials

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm SD}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{r} \left(c_F \epsilon^{kij} \frac{2r^k}{r} i \int_0^\infty dt \, t \, \langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} V_s^{(0)\prime} \right) (\mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2) \cdot \mathbf{L} \\ &- c_F^2 \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j i \int_0^\infty dt \left(\langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle \right) \\ &\times \left(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 - 3(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{3} c_F^2 i \int_0^\infty dt \langle \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \rangle - 4(d_2 + C_F d_4) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \end{split}$$

Eichten Feinberg 81, Gromes 84, Chen et al. 95 Brambilla Vairo 99 Pineda, Vairo 00

-factorization; power counting; QM divergences absorbed by NRQCD matching coefficients

Spin dependent potentials



Terrific advance in the data precision with Lüscher multivel algorithm!

N. B., Martinez, Vairo 2014

Spin dependent potentials



Terrific advance in the data precision with Lüscher multivel algorithm!

Such data can distinguish different models for the dynamics of low energy QCD e.g. effective string model N. B., Martinez, Vairo 2014

Confirmed in the spectrum, e.g. no long range spin-spin interaction

 h_c, h_b



$$\begin{split} M_{h_c} &= 3524.4 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4 \; \mathrm{MeV} & \circ \; \mathrm{CLEO} \; \mathrm{PRL} \; \; 95 \; (2005) \; \; 102003 \\ M_{h_c} &= 3525.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2 \; \mathrm{MeV}, & \Gamma < 1 \; \mathrm{MeV} & \circ \; \mathrm{E835} \; \mathrm{PRD} \; 72 \; (2005) \; \; 032001 \\ M_{h_c} &= 3525.40 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.18 \; \mathrm{MeV}, & \Gamma < 1.44 \; \mathrm{MeV} & \circ \; \mathrm{BES} \; \mathrm{PRL} \; 104 \; (2010) \; \; 132002 \\ \mathrm{To} \; \mathrm{be} \; \mathrm{compared} \; \mathrm{with} \; M_{\mathrm{c.o.g.}}(1P) = 3525.36 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2 \; \mathrm{MeV}. \end{split}$$

Also

 $M_{h_b} = 9902 \pm 4 \pm 1 \text{ MeV}$ • BABAR arXiv:1102.4565 To be compared with $M_{\text{c.o.g.}}(1P) = 9899.87 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.31 \text{ MeV}.$

Exact relations from Poincare' invariance

The EFT is still Poincare' invariant-> this induces relations among the potentials (this corresponds to reparameterization invariance--> one can reformulate it with Poincare' algebra)



e.g. $V_0'(r) = V_2'(r) - V_1'(r)$ It can be used a check of the lattice calculation

many other relations among potentials in the EFTN. B, D. Gromes, A. Vairo

Exact relations from Poincare' invariance The EFT is still Poincare' invariant-> this induces relations among the potentials (this corresponds to reparameterization invariance--> one can reformulate it with Poincare' algebra) e.g. $V'_0(r) = V'_2(r) - V'_1(r)$.2 $V_0'(r)$ It can be used a check of the $\beta = 6.0$.0 lattice calculation $\beta = 6.3$ many other relations among .8 $V_{2}'(r) - V_{1}'(r)$ potentials in the EFTN. B, D. Gromes, A. Vairo $\beta = 6.0$.6 \wedge 0.6 $V_c + 2V_e$ $\beta = 5.85$.4 0.4 $\beta = 6.00$ $(rV^{(0)})/2$ 2 0.2 GeV] $\beta = 5.85$ $\beta = 6.00$ 0.0 0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 -0.2 r [fm] -0.4 relations involving spin 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.6 1.0 independent potentials r [fm] Koma Koma Wittig PoS LAT2007 (2007) 111

Low energy physics factorized in Wilson loops: can be used to probe the confinement mechanism

Bali et al



Heating quarkonium systems T > 0

Quarkonium in a hot medium

Matsui Satz 86

 $e^{-m_D r}$ color screening of the potential $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s$ originates quarkonium dissociation **Bound state** Debye charge screening $r \sim$

(electromagnetic plasma)

 m_D

dissolves

Quarkonium in a hot medium

Matsui Satz 86

 $e^{-m_D r}$ color screening of the potential $V(r) \sim -\alpha_s$ originates quarkonium dissociation **Bound** state Debye charge screening $r \sim$

(electromagnetic plasma)

 m_D

dissolves

But what is the potential at finite T?

Quarkonium in a hot medium

Matsui Satz 86

color screening of the potential $V(r) \sim -c$ originates quarkonium dissociation

Debye charge screening (electromagnetic plasma)

But what is the potential at finite T?

up to few years ago phenonological potentials used or hints from other observable calculted on the lattice



Free energy vs potential

 $e^{-m_D r}$

- Either phenomenological potentials have been used so far or the free energy calculated on the lattice.
- The free energy is not the static potential: the average free energy (~ (Tr L[†](r)Tr L(0))) is an overlap of singlet and octet quark-antiquark states, what is called the singlet (~ (Tr L[†](r) L(0))) and the octet (~ (Tr L[†](r)Tr L(0)) -1/3 (Tr L[†](r) L(0))) free energy are gauge dependent;



The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

 $T \gg qT \gg q^2T \dots$

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

 $T \gg qT \gg q^2T \dots$

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

and $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$

 $T \gg gT \gg g^2T \dots$

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

and Λ_{QCD}

 $T \gg gT \gg g^2T \dots$ $m_D \sim qT$ Debye mass

Screening Scale

The potential V(r,T) dictates throught the Schroedinger equation the real time evolution of the QQbar pair in the medium-> use the EFT to define and calculate it

more scales $m \gg mv \gg mv^2$

and $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$

 $T \gg gT \gg g^2T \dots$ $m_D \sim gT$

Debye mass

Screening Scale

Vithout heavy quarks an EFT already exists that mes from integrating out hard gluon of p \sim T: Hard Thermal Loop EFT

-> obtain pNRQCD at finite T

Braaten Pisarski 90











We work under the conditions:

We assume that bound states exist for

- $T \ll m$
- $\langle 1/r \rangle \sim mv \gtrsim m_D$

We neglect smaller thermodynamical scales.

In the weak coupling regime:

- $v \sim \alpha_{\rm s} \ll 1$; valid for tightly bound states: $\Upsilon(1S)$, J/ψ , ...
- $T \gg gT \sim m_D$.

Effects due to the scale $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ will not be considered.



We work under the conditions:

We assume that bound states exist for

- $T \ll m$
- $\langle 1/r \rangle \sim mv \gtrsim m_D$

We neglect smaller thermodynamical scales.

In the weak coupling regime:

- $v \sim \alpha_{\rm s} \ll 1$; valid for tightly bound states: $\Upsilon(1S)$, J/ψ , ...
- $T \gg gT \sim m_D$.

Effects due to the scale Λ_{QCD} will not be considered.

pNRQCD supply the potential (weak coupling regime T>>gT) • The thermal part of the potential has a real and an imaginary part $ReV_{S}(r,T)$ lmV_s (r,T thermal width of QQ New effect, specific of QCD dominates for E/m D>>I Known from QED dominates for m_D/E>>I

Singlet-to-octet N.B Ghiglieri, Petreczky, Vairo 2008 Landau damping Laine et al 07, Escobedo Soto 07

pNRQCD supply the potential (weak coupling regime T>>gT) • The thermal part of the potential has a real and an imaginary part $\text{ReV}_{S}(r,T)$ lmV_s (r,T thermal width of QQNew effect, specific of QCD dominates for E/m D>>I Known from QED dominates for m_D/E>>I

Singlet-to-octet N.B Ghiglieri, Petreczky, Vairo 2008

(gluo dissociation) N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri , Vairo 2011 Landau damping Laine et al 07, Escobedo Soto 07

(inelastic parton scattering) N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri , Vairo 2013



Singlet-to-octet N.B Ghiglieri, Petreczky, Vairo 2008

> (gluo dissociation) N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri , Vairo 2011

Landau damping Laine et al 07, Escobedo Soto 07

(inelastic parton scattering) N. B. Escobedo, Ghiglieri , Vairo 2013 The singlet static potential and the static energy (pNRQCD)
Temperature effects can be other than screening

T > I/r and I/r ~ $m_D \sim gT$ exponential screening but $ImV \gg ReV$

T > I/r and $I/r > m_D \sim gT$

no exponential screening but power-like T corrections

 $T < E_{bin}$

no corrections to the potential, corrections to the energy

We calculated the potential in the EFT for all the different scales hierarchies. There are preliminary lattice attempts to obtain the static potential





offer a systematic framework to do the calculation for the first time, inpired calculations in lattice, strings ..

The EFT supplies the potential and a scheme to calculate quarkonium energy levels at finite T



application to the study of Y(1S) in hot medium at LHC experiments below the melting temperature T_d

The EFT supplies the potential and a scheme to calculate quarkonium energy levels at finite T



application to the study of Y(1S) in hot medium at LHC experiments below the melting temperature T_d

The relative size of non-relativistic and thermal scales depends on the medium and on the quarkonium state.



The EFT supplies the potential and a scheme to calculate quarkonium energy levels at finite T



application to the study of Y(1S) in hot medium at LHC experiments below the melting temperature T_d

The relative size of non-relativistic and thermal scales depends on the medium and on the quarkonium state.

The bottomonium ground state , which is a weakly coupled non-relativistic bound state: $mv \sim m\alpha_s, mv^2 \sim m\alpha_s^2 \gtrsim \Lambda_{QCD}$, produced in the QCD medium of heavy-ion collisions at the LHC may possibly realize the hierarchy

 $m \approx 5 \text{ GeV} > m\alpha_{\rm s} \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV} > \pi T \approx 1 \text{ GeV} > m\alpha_{\rm s}^2 \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV} \geq m_D, \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$
case of interest for LHC: bottomonium 1S below the melting temperature T_d

The complete mass and width up to $\mathcal{O}(m\alpha_{\rm s}^5)$

$$\delta E_{1S}^{(\text{thermal})} = \frac{34\pi}{27} \alpha_{s}^{2} T^{2} a_{0} + \frac{7225}{324} \frac{E_{1} \alpha_{s}^{3}}{\pi} \left[\ln \left(\frac{2\pi T}{E_{1}} \right)^{2} - 2\gamma_{E} \right] \\ + \frac{128E_{1} \alpha_{s}^{3}}{81\pi} L_{1,0} - 3a_{0}^{2} \left\{ \left[\frac{6}{\pi} \zeta(3) + \frac{4\pi}{3} \right] \alpha_{s} T m_{D}^{2} - \frac{8}{3} \zeta(3) \alpha_{s}^{2} T^{3} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_{1S}^{\text{(thermal)}} = \frac{1156}{81} \alpha_{s}^{3} T + \frac{7225}{162} E_{1} \alpha_{s}^{3} + \frac{32}{9} \alpha_{s} T m_{D}^{2} a_{0}^{2} I_{1,0} - \left[\frac{4}{3} \alpha_{s} T m_{D}^{2} \left(\ln \frac{E_{1}^{2}}{T^{2}} + 2\gamma_{E} - 3 - \ln 4 - 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + \frac{32\pi}{3} \ln 2 \alpha_{s}^{2} T^{3} \right] a_{0}^{2}$$

where $E_1 = -\frac{4m\alpha_s^2}{9}$, $a_0 = \frac{3}{2m\alpha_s}$ and $L_{1,0}$ (similar $I_{1,0}$) is the Bethe logarithm. • Brambilla Escobedo Ghiglieri Soto Vairo JHEP 1009 (2010) 038

Consistent with lattice calculations of spectral functions

• Aarts Allton Kim Lombardo Oktay Ryan Sinclair Skullerud JHEP 1111 (2011) 103

Quarkonium systems close or above thresholdno gap: close and above threshold Λ_{QCD}

Quarkonium systems close or above thresholdno gap: close and above threshold Λ_{QCD}

Important to understand the X,Y,Z puzzles of the dozen of unexpected states showing up at the LHC and other collider experiments TABLE 12: Quarkonium-like states above the corresponding open flavor thresholds. For charged states, the C-parity is given for the neutral members of the corresponding isotriplets.

State	M, MeV	Γ , MeV	J^{PC}	Process (mode)	Experiment $(\#\sigma)$	Year	Status
Y(3915)	3918.4 ± 1.9	20 ± 5	$0/2^{?+}$	$B \to K(\omega J/\psi)$	Belle [1050] (8), BaBar [1000, 1051] (19)	2004	Ok
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-(\omega J/\psi)$	Belle [1052] (7.7), BaBar [1053] (7.6)	2009	Ok
$\chi_{c2}(2P)$	3927.2 ± 2.6	24 ± 6	2^{++}	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-(D\bar{D})$	Belle $[1054]$ (5.3), BaBar $[1055]$ (5.8)	2005	Ok
X(3940)	3942^{+9}_{-8}	37^{+27}_{-17}	??+	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \left(D\bar{D}^* \right)$	Belle [1048, 1049] (6)	2005	NC!
Y(4008)	3891 ± 42	255 ± 42	1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$	Belle [1008, 1056] (7.4)	2007	NC!
$\psi(4040)$	4039 ± 1	80 ± 10	1	$e^+e^- \to (D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}(\pi))$	PDG [1]	1978	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\eta J/\psi)$	Belle $[1057]$ (6.0)	2013	NC!
$Z(4050)^+$	4051^{+24}_{-43}	82^{+51}_{-55}	??+	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+\chi_{c1})$	Belle $[1058]$ (5.0), BaBar $[1059]$ (1.1)	2008	NC!
Y(4140)	4145.8 ± 2.6	18 ± 8	??+	$B^+ \to K^+(\phi J/\psi)$	CDF $[1060]$ (5.0), Belle $[1061]$ (1.9),	2009	NC!
					LHCb $[1062]$ (1.4) , CMS $[1063]$ (>5)		
					D0 $[1064]$ (3.1)		
$\psi(4160)$	4153 ± 3	103 ± 8	1	$e^+e^- \to (D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)})$	PDG [1]	1978	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\eta J/\psi)$	Belle $[1057]$ (6.5)	2013	NC!
X(4160)	4156^{+29}_{-25}	139^{+113}_{-65}	??+	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi \left(D^*\bar{D}^*\right)$	Belle $[1049]$ (5.5)	2007	NC!
$Z(4200)^+$	4196_{-30}^{+35}	370^{+99}_{-110}	1+-	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+ J/\psi)$	Belle $[1065]$ (7.2)	2014	NC!
$Z(4250)^+$	4248_{-45}^{+185}	177^{+321}_{-72}	??+	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+\chi_{c1})$	Belle $[1058]$ (5.0), BaBar $[1059]$ (2.0)	2008	NC!
Y(4260)	4250 ± 9	108 ± 12	1	$e^+e^- \to (\pi\pi J/\psi)$	BaBar [1066, 1067] (8), CLEO [1068, 1069] (11)	2005	Ok
					Belle $[1008, 1056]$ (15), BES III $[1007]$ (np)		
				$e^+e^- \to (f_0(980)J/\psi)$	BaBar $[1067]$ (np), Belle $[1008]$ (np)	2012	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi^- Z_c(3900)^+)$	BES III $[1007]$ (8), Belle $[1008]$ (5.2)	2013	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\gamma X(3872))$	BES III [1070] (5.3)	2013	NC!
Y(4274)	4293 ± 20	35 ± 16	??+	$B^+ \to K^+(\phi J/\psi)$	CDF $[1060]$ (3.1), LHCb $[1062]$ (1.0),	2011	NC!
					CMS [1063] (>3), D0 [1064] (np)		
X(4350)	$4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1}$	13^{+18}_{-10}	$0/2^{?+}$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-(\phi J/\psi)$	Belle $[1071]$ (3.2)	2009	NC!
Y(4360)	4354 ± 11	78 ± 16	1	$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S))$	Belle $[1072]$ (8), BaBar $[1073]$ (np)	2007	Ok
$Z(4430)^+$	4458 ± 15	166^{+37}_{-32}	1+-	$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+\psi(2S))$	Belle $[1074, 1075]$ (6.4), BaBar $[1076]$ (2.4)	2007	Ok
					LHCb $[1077]$ (13.9)		
				$\bar{B}^0 \to K^-(\pi^+ J/\psi)$	Belle $[1065]$ (4.0)	2014	NC!
X(4630)	4634_{-11}^{+9}	92^{+41}_{-32}	1	$e^+e^- \to (\Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-)$	Belle $[1078]$ (8.2)	2007	NC!
Y(4660)	4665 ± 10	53 ± 14	1	$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S))$	Belle $[1072]$ (5.8), BaBar $[1073]$ (5)	2007	Ok
$\Upsilon(10860)$	10876 ± 11	55 ± 28	1	$e^+e^- \to (B^{(*)}_{(s)}\bar{B}^{(*)}_{(s)}(\pi))$	PDG [1]	1985	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi\pi\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S))$	Belle [1013, 1014, 1079] (>10)	2007	Ok
				$e^+e^- \rightarrow (f_0(980)\Upsilon(1S))$	Belle [1013, 1014] (>5)	2011	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi Z_b(10610, 10650))$	Belle [1013, 1014] (>10)	2011	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\eta \Upsilon(1S, 2S))$	Belle [948] (10)	2012	Ok
				$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1D))$	Belle [948] (9)	2012	Ok
$Y_b(10888)$	10888.4 ± 3.0	$30.7^{+8.9}_{-7.7}$	1	$e^+e^- \to (\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS))$	Belle [1080] (2.3)	2008	NC!

State	M, MeV	Γ , MeV	J^{PC}	Process (mode)	Experiment $(\#\sigma)$	Year	Statu
X(3872)	3871.68 ± 0.17	< 1.2	1++	$B \to K(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$	Belle [772, 992] (>10), BaBar [993] (8.6)	2003	Ok
× ,				$p\bar{p} \rightarrow (\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi) \dots$	CDF [994, 995] (11.6), D0 [996] (5.2)	2003	Ok
				$pp \rightarrow (\pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi) \dots$	LHCb [997, 998] (np)	2012	Ok
				$B \to K(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi)$	Belle $[999]$ (4.3), BaBar $[1000]$ (4.0)	2005	Ok
				$B \to K(\gamma J/\psi)$	Belle $[1001]$ (5.5), BaBar $[1002]$ (3.5)	2005	Ok
					LHCb $[1003]$ (> 10)		
				$B \to K(\gamma \psi(2S))$	BaBar $[1002]$ (3.6) , Belle $[1001]$ (0.2)	2008	NC!
					LHCb $[1003]$ (4.4)		
				$B \to K(D\bar{D}^*)$	Belle $[1004]$ (6.4), BaBar $[1005]$ (4.9)	2006	Ok
$Z_c(3885)^+$	3883.9 ± 4.5	25 ± 12	1+-	$Y(4260) \to \pi^- (D\bar{D}^*)^+$	BES III $[1006]$ (np)	2013	NC!
$Z_c(3900)^+$	3891.2 ± 3.3	40 ± 8	??-	$Y(4260) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ J/\psi)$	BES III $[1007]$ (8), Belle $[1008]$ (5.2)	2013	Ok
					T. Xiao <i>et al.</i> [CLEO data] $[1009]$ (>5)		
$Z_c(4020)^+$	4022.9 ± 2.8	7.9 ± 3.7	??-	$Y(4260, 4360) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_c)$	BES III $[1010]$ (8.9)	2013	NC!
$Z_c(4025)^+$	4026.3 ± 4.5	24.8 ± 9.5	??-	$Y(4260) \to \pi^- (D^* \bar{D}^*)^+$	BES III [1011] (10)	2013	NC!
$Z_b(10610)^+$	10607.2 ± 2.0	18.4 ± 2.4	1+-	$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi(\pi\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S))$	Belle $[1012-1014]$ (>10)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P))$	Belle [1013] (16)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^- (B\bar{B}^*)^+$	Belle $[1015]$ (8)	2012	NC!
$Z_b(10650)^+$	10652.2 ± 1.5	11.5 ± 2.2	1+-	$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S))$	Belle $[1012, 1013]$ (>10)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P))$	Belle [1013] (16)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^- (B^* \bar{B}^*)^+$	Belle $[1015]$ (6.8)	2012	NC!

TABLE 10: Quarkonium-like states at the open flavor thresholds. For charged states, the C-parity is given for the neutr members of the corresponding isotriplets.

State	M, MeV	Γ , MeV	J^{PC}	Process (mode)	Experiment $(\#\sigma)$	Year	Statu
$\overline{X(3872)}$	3871.68 ± 0.17	< 1.2	1^{++}	$B \to K(\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi)$	Belle $[772, 992]$ (>10), BaBar $[993]$ (8.6)	2003	Ok
				$p\bar{p} \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) \dots$	CDF [994, 995] (11.6), D0 [996] (5.2)	2003	Ok
				$pp \to (\pi^+\pi^- J/\psi) \dots$	LHCb [997, 998] (np)	2012	Ok
				$B \to K(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0 J/\psi)$	Belle $[999]$ (4.3), BaBar $[1000]$ (4.0)	2005	Ok
				$B \to K(\gamma J/\psi)$	Belle $[1001]$ (5.5), BaBar $[1002]$ (3.5)	2005	Ok
					LHCb $[1003] (> 10)$		
				$B \to K(\gamma \psi(2S))$	BaBar $[1002]$ (3.6) , Belle $[1001]$ (0.2)	2008	NC!
					LHCb [1003] (4.4)		
				$B \to K(D\bar{D}^*)$	Belle $[1004]$ (6.4), BaBar $[1005]$ (4.9)	2006	Ok
$Z_c(3885)^+$	3883.9 ± 4.5	25 ± 12	1^{+-}	$Y(4260) \to \pi^- (D\bar{D}^*)^+$	BES III [1006] (np)	2013	NC!
$Z_c(3900)^+$	3891.2 ± 3.3	40 ± 8	??-	$Y(4260) \to \pi^{-}(\pi^{+}J/\psi)$	BES III [1007] (8), Belle [1008] (5.2)	2013	Ok
					T. Xiao <i>et al.</i> [CLEO data] [1009] (>5)		
$Z_c(4020)^+$	4022.9 ± 2.8	7.9 ± 3.7	??-	$Y(4260, 4360) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_c)$	BES III [1010] (8.9)	2013	NC!
$Z_c(4025)^+$	4026.3 ± 4.5	24.8 ± 9.5	??-	$Y(4260) \to \pi^- (D^* \bar{D}^*)^+$	BES III [1011] (10)	2013	NC!
$Z_b(10610)^+$	10607.2 ± 2.0	18.4 ± 2.4	1+-	$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi(\pi\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S))$	Belle $[1012-1014]$ (>10)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P))$	Belle [1013] (16)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^- (B\bar{B}^*)^+$	Belle [1015] (8)	2012	NC!
$Z_b(10650)^+$	10652.2 ± 1.5	11.5 ± 2.2	1+-	$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S))$	Belle $[1012, 1013]$ (>10)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^-(\pi^+ h_b(1P, 2P))$	Belle [1013] (16)	2011	Ok
				$\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi^- (B^* \bar{B}^*)^+$	Belle $[1015]$ (6.8)	2012	NC!

TABLE 10: Quarkonium-like states at the open flavor thresholds. For charged states, the C-parity is given for the neutr members of the corresponding isotriplets.

Near theshold heavy-light mesons have to be included and many additional degrees of freedom considered

No systematic treatment is available; lattice calculations are also challenging and in the infancy state in this case We need a description of states close or above threshold from QCD Already the case of QCD without light quark is very interesting. The degrees of freedom are heavy quarkonium, heavy hybrids and glueballs We need a description of states close or above threshold from QCD Already the case of QCD without light quark is very interesting. The degrees of freedom are heavy quarkonium,

heavy hybrids and glueballs

Static Lattice energies

Juge Kuti Morningstar 2003



Symmetries

Static states classified by symmetry group $D_{\infty h}$ Representations labeled Λ_n^{σ}

- Λ rotational quantum number $|\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{K}| = 0, 1, 2...$ corresponds to $\Lambda = \Sigma, \Pi, \Delta ...$
- η eigenvalue of CP:
 g = +1 (gerade), u = -1 (ungerade)
- σ eigenvalue of reflections
- σ label only displayed on Σ states (others are degenerate)



- The static energies correspond to the irreducible representations of D_c
- In general it can be more than one state for each irreducible represent
 D_{∞ h}, usually denoted by primes, e.g. Π_u, Π'_u, Π''_u...

Gluonic excitations in pNRQCD:more symmetry!

In the limit $r \to 0$ more symmetry: $D_{\infty h} \to O(3) \times C$

- Several Λ_{η}^{σ} representations contained in one J^{PC} representation:
- Static energies in these multiplets have same $r \rightarrow 0$ limit.



	L = 1	L = 2
$\Sigma_g^{+\prime}$	$\mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{E})$	
Σ_g^-		$(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{D})(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{B})$
Π_{g}	$\mathbf{r} imes (\mathbf{E})$	
$\Pi'_{\boldsymbol{g}}$		$\mathbf{r} \times ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{D})\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{B}))$
Δ_g		$(\mathbf{r} imes \mathbf{D})^i (\mathbf{r} imes \mathbf{B})^j +$
		$+(\mathbf{r} imes \mathbf{D})^{j}(\mathbf{r} imes \mathbf{B})^{i}$
Σ_u^+		$(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{D})(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E})$
Σ_u^-	$\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{B}$	
Π_u	$\mathbf{r} imes \mathbf{B}$	
Π'_{u}		$\mathbf{r} \times ((\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{D})\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}))$
Δ_u		$(\mathbf{r} imes \mathbf{D})^i (\mathbf{r} imes \mathbf{E})^j +$
		$+({f r} imes {f D})^j ({f r} imes {f E})^i$

pNRQCD predicts the structure of multiplets at short distance and the ordering

Brambilla Pineda Soto Vairo 0

We define symmetries and states in NRQCD

We match the energy and the states to pNRQCD at order 1/m in the expansion (but no spin for now) and identify coupled Schroedinger equations for Sigma_u and Pi_u

> These are nonperturbative but would require lattice calculations of matrix elements

> > Lacking the lattice calculation, we identify the potentials with a multipole expansion in pNRQCD, solve the coupled equations and get the lowest ccbar, bbar and bcbar muliplets

Gluonic excitations in pNRQCD: one can determine the form of the potential

• At lowest order in the multipole expansion, the singlet decouples

while the octet is still coupled to aluons.

Static hybrids at short distance are called gluelumps and are described by a static adjoint source (O) in the presence of a gluonic field (H):

$$\mathbf{H}(R, r, t) = \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathbf{O}H\}$$



Gluonic excitations in pNRQCD: one can determine the form of the potential

• At lowest order in the multipole expansion, the singlet decouples

while the octet is still coupled to aluons.

Static hybrids at short distance are called gluelumps and are described by a static adjoint source (O) in the presence of a gluonic field (H):

$$\mathbf{H}(R, r, t) = \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathbf{O}H\}$$



Lowest energy multiplet $\Sigma_u^- - \Pi_u$

- The two lowest laying hybrid static energies are Π_u and Σ_u^- .
- They are generated by a gluelump with quantum numbers 1⁺⁻ and thus are degenerate at short distances.
- The kinetic operator mixes them but not with other multiplets.
- \blacktriangleright Well separated by a gap of ~ 1 GeV from the next multiplet with the same CP.

 Λ_H and b_H are nonperturbative and should be obtained from lattice calculations

TABLE II. J^{PC} multiplets with $l \leq 2$ for the Σ_u^- and Π_u gluonic states. We follow the naming notation H_i used in [20, 26], which orders the multiplets from lower to higher mass. The last column shows the gluonic static energies that appear in the Schrödinger equation of the respective

 $V_H = V_o + \Lambda_H + b_H r^2$

Identification with experimental states

Most of the candidates have 1^{--} or $0^{++}/2^{++}$ since the main observation channels are production by e^+e^- or $\gamma\gamma$ annihilation respectively.



Charmonium states (Belle, CDF, BESIII, Babar):

Bottomonium states: $Y_b(10890)[1^{--}]$, $m = 10.8884 \pm 3.0$ (Belle). Possible H_1 candidate, $m_{H_1} = 10.79 \pm 0.15$.

E

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

However, except for Y(4220), all other candidates observed decay modes violate Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry.

Berwein, N.B., Tarrus, Vairo 2015





FIG. 5. Comparison of the results from direct lattice computations of the masses for charmonium hybrids [48] with our results using the $V^{(0.25)}$ potential. The direct lattice mass predictions are plotted in solid lines with error bars corresponding the mass uncertainties. Our results for the H_1 , H_2 , H_3 , and H_4 multiplets have been plotted in error bands corresponding to the gluelump mass uncertainty of ± 0.15 GeV.

We observe the same Lambda-doubling pattern in lattice calculations, multiplets that receive mixed contributions from Sigma_u and Pi_u have lower masses then those that remain pure Pi_u states

L. Liu et al. [Hadron Spectrum Collaboration], JHEP 1207, 126 (2012) [arXiv:1204.5425

Conclusions

Quarkonium is a golden system to study strong interactions

Nonrelativistic Effective Field Theories provide a systematic tool to investigate a wide range of heavy quarkonium observables in the realm of QCD

At T=0, away from threshold, EFTs allow us to make calculations with unprecented precision, where high order perturbative calculations are possible and to systematically factorize short from long range contributions where observables are sentitive to the nonperturbative dynamics of QCD.

Some lattice calculations are still needed (glue correlators, quenched and unquenched Wilson loops with field insertions).

At finite T allow us to give the appropriate definition and define a calculational scheme for quantities of huge phenomenological interest like the qqbar potential and energies at finite T

In the EFT framework heavy quark bound states become a unique laboratory for the study of strong interaction from the high energy to the low energy scales

Outlook

the EFT gives us a definition of physical objects that can then be evaluated with other tools

the EFT gives us a factorization between high energy and low energy contributions: tools to evaluate the nonperturbative physics should be applied only to the low energy part

the EFT approach is very versatile and flexible can be applied to many different problems in

in quarkonium (the low energy part will be typically contained in some type of Wilson loops), in QCD, in QED, in atomic physics, condensed matter, etc..

EU BET

European Bridges with Effective Theories:

from high energy to condensed matter

FU BFT

The intended network brings together leading scientists in the fields of particle, nuclear, atomic, condensed-matter quantum optics, and computational physics. The resulting group of researchers will have the capacity to address some of the most interesting open physics problems such as, for instance, the understanding of universal features in the equilibrium and transport properties of novel states of matter found in contexts as diverse as graphene, cold atom systems, and the quark-gluon plasma. It will develop needed novel numerical, analytic and computational tools.