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## - Outline -

1. Photo-production of vector mesons as a probe of low x evolution: the case of excited states
(with Alfredo Arroyo Garcia, UDLAP)
2. Lipatov's high energy effective action and the Color Glass Condensate formalism
3. TMD splitting functions from $k T$ factorization
(with Krzysztof Kutak, Aleksander Kusina, Cracow;
Mirko Serino; Beer Sheva)
photo-production of $J / \Psi$ and $\Upsilon$ : explore proton at ultra-small $x$


- measured at HERA (ep) and LHC ( $p p$, ultra-peripheral $p P b$ )
- charm and bottom mass provide hard scale $\rightarrow$ pQCD
- exclusive process, but allows to relate to inclusive gluon
reach values down to $x=4 \times 10^{-6} \rightarrow$ (unique ?) opportunity to explore the low $x$ gluon

- low x evolution with non-linear effects, dipole models: predict, compare to data, refit, ...
- DGLAP: evolution from $\mathrm{J} / \Psi\left(2.4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ to $\Upsilon\left(22.4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ $\rightarrow$ constrain pdfs at small $x$, not really a benchmark for saturation effects (effects die away fast, instability)
- Better: BFKL (linear low x evolution)

How to do that?
relate exclusive XSec. to inclusive gluon distribution (imitate pdf studies) proceaure:
a) calculate diff. Xsec. at $t=0$
$\rightarrow$ exclusive scattering amplitude can be expressed through inclusive gluon distribution
b) parametrize $t$ dependence $\frac{d \sigma(t)}{d t}=\frac{d \sigma(t=0)}{d t} \cdot e^{-|t| B_{D}(W)}$,
slope $B_{D}(W)=b_{0}+4 \alpha^{\prime} \ln \frac{W}{W_{0}}+$ fix parameters by (HERA) data
(here: values proposed by [Jones, Martin, Ryskin, Teubner; 1307.7099, 1312.6795])


## Studied so far: J/ $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ and $\mathbf{~}(1 s)$

[Bautista, Fernando Tellez, MH; 1607.05203]

Procedure in a nut-shell

- take light-cone wave function used for dipole/ saturation models (from literature) and calculate their transform to Mellin space
- combine with fit of NLO BFKL gluon
[MH, Salas, Sabio Vera; 1209.1353; 1301.5283]
- improve the calculation of the real part of the scattering amplitude


## The underlying NLO BFKL fit to DIS data



|  | virt. photon impact factor | $Q_{0} / \mathrm{GeV}$ | $\delta$ | $\mathcal{C}$ | $\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} / \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fit 1 | leading order (LO) | 0.28 | 8.4 | 1.50 | 0.21 |
| fit 2 | LO with kinematic improvements | 0.28 | 6.5 | 2.35 | 0.21 |

## Good description of cominbed HERA [МН, Salas, Sabio Vera; 1209.1353; 1301.5283]


data: [H1 \& ZEUS collab. 0911.0884]

## and good description $\mathrm{J} / \Psi$ and Y data



## there are also excited states: $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(2 \mathrm{~s})$ and $\mathbf{Y}(2 \mathrm{~s})$

and theory predictions both based on DGLAP and saturation models

[Jones et. al.; 1312.6795]

[Nestor et. al.; 1402.4831]

Photoproduction of $\mathrm{Y}(2 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{LHC}-\mathrm{s}^{1 / 2}=8.2 \mathrm{TeV}$

[Gay Ducati et. al.; 1610.06647]
to study it within the BFKL framework, follow the same path as before
= calculate the Mellin transform of the light-front wave function of excited states

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{V, T}(\gamma, z, M)= & 8 \pi^{2} e \hat{e}_{f} N_{T} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(1-\gamma)}{m_{f}^{2}}\left(\frac{8 z(1-z)}{M^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}\right)^{\gamma} e^{-\frac{m_{f}^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{8 z(1-z)}+\frac{m_{f}^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{2}}\left(\frac{m_{f}^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{8 z(1-z)}\right)^{2} . \\
& \cdot\left[\left(1+\alpha_{2 s}\left(2+\frac{m_{f}^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{4 z(1-z)}-m_{f}^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}\right)\right) U\left(2-\gamma, 1, \frac{\epsilon^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{8 z(1-z)}\right)-\ldots\right] \\
& {\left[\ldots-2(2-\gamma)^{2} U\left(3-\gamma, 1, \frac{\epsilon^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{8 z(1-z)}\right)+\ldots\right] } \\
& {\left[+\left[z^{2}+(1-z)^{2}\right] \epsilon^{2}\left((2-\gamma)\left(1+\alpha_{2 s}\left(\frac{m_{f}^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{4 z(1-z)}-m_{f}^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}\right)\right) U\left(3-\gamma, 2, \frac{\epsilon^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{8 z(1-z)}\right)\right)+\right] } \\
& {\left[\ldots+2(2-\gamma)^{2}(3-\gamma) \alpha_{2 s} \cdot U\left(4-\gamma, 2, \frac{\epsilon^{2} R_{2 s}^{2}}{8 z(1-z)}\right)\right] } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$



- vary renormalization scale to check stability
$\rightarrow$ in general looks good
- don't trust normalization


## Preliminary results: $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(2 \mathrm{~s})$



- data: H 1 and LHCb; need to adjust normalization $\rightarrow$ problem already there for $J / \Psi$ \& Y: most likely correction to impact factor
- two choices of the hard scale are shown


## Summary vector boson

- perturbative low x evolution (=BFKL) appears to describe also excited states of vector mesons (within errors)
- need to fix normalization constant ( $\rightarrow$ similar to $\mathrm{J} / \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ and $\mathbf{\Upsilon ( 1 s ) ) ; ~}$
here problem: low energy points with huge error bars
- normalization issue: virtual photon impact factor used in the underlying DIS fit, is kinematically improved $\rightarrow$ should do the same for vector bosons

2. Lipatov's high energy effective action and the Color Glass Condensate formalism
theoretical descriptions in the high energy limit: 2 alternatives

- unintegrated gluon densities
more formally: formalism based on reggeized gluons \& effective production vertices - t-channel picture
- vs. dipole picture
more formally: formalism based on propagators which resum strong background field
- s-channel picture
- to relate both approaches: difficult at the level of the formalism, mainly done for evolution equations and/ or observables
- examples: BFKL evolution, BKP evolution, triple Pomeron vertex from JIMWLK or BK evolution

```
[Bartels, Lipatov, Vacca,
hep-ph/0404110]
[Chirilli, Szymanowski,
Wallon,1010.0285]
[Ayala, Cazaroto,
Hernandez, Jalilian-
Marian; 1408.3080] ...
```

- in general: very similar structure, but direct one-toone correspondence not obvious


## an action formalism for reggeized gluons: 

- idea: factorize QCD amplitudes in the high energy limit through introducing a new kind of field: the reggeized gluon
- the reggeized gluon is globally charged under $\mathrm{SU}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$, but invariant under local gauge transformation $\rightarrow$ gauge invariant factorization
- took a while, now we know Lipton's action can be used for NLO calculation within the BFKL framework
[MH, Sabio Vera;1110.6741]
[Chachamis, MH, Madrigal, Sabio Vera;
1202.064, 1212.4992, 1307.2591]
[MH, Madrigal, Murdaca, Sabio Vera;
1404.2937, 1406.5625, 1409.6704]
[Bartels, Fadin, Lipatov,Vacca;
1210.0797]
divide final state particles into clusters of particles "local in rapidity"
for each cluster
- integrate out specific details of fast $+/-$ fields
- dynamics in local cluster: QCD Lagrangian + universal eikonal factor (up to power suppressed corrections)

effective field theory for each cluster of particles local in rapidity

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}}=S_{\mathrm{QCD}}+S_{\mathrm{ind}} \quad \text { non-local emissions from Sind }
$$

$$
S_{\text {ind. }}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(W_{-}[v(x)]-A_{-}(x)\right) \partial_{\perp}^{2} A_{+}(x)\right]\right.
$$

eikonal

$$
\left.+\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(W_{+}[v(x)]-A_{+}(x)\right) \partial_{\perp}^{2} A_{-}(x)\right]\right\} .
$$

# Lipatov's effective action \& the CGC formalism 

- numerous attempts to compare both formalisms, mainly on the level of effective Lagrangians
[Jalilian-Marian, Kovner, Leonidov, Weigert; NPB504, 415 (1997)]
[Hatta; hep-ph/0607126]
[Bondarenko, Lipatov,Pozdnyakov,
Prygarin;1706.0027, 1708.05183]
[Bondarenko, Zubkov;1801.08066]
- here: pragmatic approach:
compare results for scattering amplitudes \& propagators
- to start: quasi-elastic i.e. dilute/ dense scattering in presence of strong reggeized gluon field

- quasi-elastic scattering = integrate out fields only from one side
- corresponds to: scattering of dilute projectile in strong gluon field of target
- effective action: resum interaction of QCD fields with $\infty$ \# of reggeized gluon fields (= transmit interaction with target)
quarks: relatively straightforward $\rightarrow$ high energy kinematics allows to resum interaction into Wilson line gluon: at first difficult ....


## a trick proposed by Lipatov in 1995

$$
V^{\mu}(x)=v^{\mu}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{-}\right)^{\mu} B_{+}\left[v_{-}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { use a special } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { parametrization of the } \\
\text { gluon field }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B_{ \pm}\left[v_{\mp}\right]=U\left[v_{\mp}\right] A_{ \pm} U^{-1}\left[v_{\mp}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { sort of: a gauge rotation of the } \\
& \text { reggeized gluon field } \mathrm{A}_{ \pm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Wilson line operator and its inverse ...

$$
U\left[v_{ \pm}\right]=\frac{1}{1+\frac{g}{\partial_{ \pm}} v_{ \pm}}
$$

$$
U^{-1}\left[v_{ \pm}\right]=1+\frac{g}{\partial_{ \pm}} v_{ \pm}
$$

why of interest?

## transformation properties

$V^{\mu}(x)=v^{\mu}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{-}\right)^{\mu} B_{+}\left[v_{-}\right]$
shifted field transforms like gauge field $\rightarrow$ consistent transformation properties

$$
\delta V_{ \pm}=\left[D_{ \pm}, \chi\right]+\left[g B_{ \pm}, \chi\right]=\left[D_{ \pm}+g B_{ \pm}, \chi\right]
$$

this would NOT be true for $v_{ \pm} \rightarrow V_{ \pm}=v_{ \pm}+A_{ \pm}$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\mathrm{L}} A_{ \pm} & =\frac{1}{g}\left[A_{ \pm}, \chi_{L}\right]=0 \\
\delta_{\mathrm{L}} V_{\mu} & =\frac{1}{g}\left[D_{\mu}, \chi_{L}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## a new gluon-gluonreggeized gluon vertex



$$
\Gamma_{+}^{\nu \mu}(r, p)=p^{+} g^{\mu \nu}-\left(n^{+}\right)^{\mu} p^{\nu}-\left(n^{+}\right)^{\nu} r^{\mu}+\frac{r \cdot p}{p^{+}}\left(n^{+}\right)^{\mu}\left(n^{+}\right)^{\nu}
$$

- already written down by Lipatov in 1995
- good properties: current conservation

$$
r_{\nu} \cdot \Gamma_{+}^{\nu \mu}(r, p)=0=\Gamma_{+}^{\nu \mu}(r, p) \cdot p_{\mu}
$$

- properties Lipatov didn't like: violates for individual Feynman diagrams Steinmann relations
argue: shifted version of a theory which respects Steinmann relations $\rightarrow$ OK for physical observables


## another important property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{\nu}^{+} \cdot \Gamma_{+}^{\nu \mu}(r, p)=0=\Gamma_{+}^{\nu \mu}(r, p) \cdot n_{\mu}^{+} \\
& \Gamma_{+}^{\nu \alpha}(r, k) \cdot\left(-g_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \Gamma_{+}^{\alpha^{\prime} \mu}(k, p)=-p^{+} \Gamma_{+}^{\nu \mu}(r, p)
\end{aligned}
$$

- reggeization as defined by Bartels, Wüsthoff and Bartels, Ewerz $\rightarrow \mathrm{n}$ reggeized gluons $=1$ reggeized gluon $\times$ factor
- technical details aside: allows to sum up $\infty$ \# of reggeized gluons into a Wilson line of reggeized gluons


# the reggeized gluon field as a shock wave 

can argue:

$$
A_{+}(x)=2 \cdot \alpha(\boldsymbol{x}) \delta\left(x^{+}\right)
$$

- used all the time in CGC calculation
- Lipatov's action: reggeized gluon field = classical field for given cluster
- dynamics: reggeized gluon propagator = connect clusters $\rightarrow$ imposes such a parametrization
vertices which resum interaction with an arbitrary \# of reggeized gluon fields


$$
=\tau_{F}(q,-r)=2 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-r^{+}\right) \not \hbar^{+} \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{r})}
$$

$$
\left[\theta\left(p^{+}\right)[W(\boldsymbol{z})-1]-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right)\left[[W(\boldsymbol{z})]^{\dagger}-1\right]\right]
$$



$$
=\tau_{G, \nu \mu}^{a b}(p,-r)=-4 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-r^{+}\right) \Gamma_{\nu \mu}(r, p) \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{r})}
$$

$$
\cdot\left[\theta\left(p^{+}\right)\left[U^{b a}(\boldsymbol{z})-\delta^{a b}\right]-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right)\left[\left[U^{b a}(\boldsymbol{z})\right]^{\dagger}-\delta^{a b}\right]\right]
$$

interaction resumed into Wilson lines

$$
U^{a b}(\boldsymbol{z})=\mathrm{P} \exp \left(-\frac{g}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z^{+} \tilde{A}_{+}\right) \quad W(\boldsymbol{z})=\mathrm{P} \exp \left(-\frac{g}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z^{+} A_{+}\right)
$$

- vertices agree with CGC expressions for light-cone gauge $\rightarrow$ Lipatov's action: any gauge possible
- differs in content of Wilson line: reggeized gluon field vs. background field in light-cone gauge
- can show: $W[A](x)=e^{i g \alpha^{a}(\boldsymbol{x}) t^{a}}$. not possible for light-cone gauge background field
- for experts: induced vertices allow to reproduce the complete color structure (also anti-symmetric terms)


## Can we re-obtain Balitsky-JIMWLK evolution form Lipatov's action? <br> $\rightarrow$ Yes

- quantum fluctuations of Wilson lines within Lipatov's action $\rightarrow$ Balitsky-JIMWLK evolution (so far LL)
- effective action for central production processes $\rightarrow$ color decomposition imposed of effective action gives complication (similar problems in deriving the Triple Pomeron vertex [мн, 0908.2576])
- essential take away point: both formalisms are 100\% consistent; Lipatov's action provides an additional tool

3. TMD splitting functions from $\mathbf{k T}$ factorization

2 versions of partonic evolution

- DGLAP: ordering in $\mathrm{kT} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{kT}$ not conserved
- BFKL: ordering in momentum fraction z $\rightarrow$ z/"energy" not conserved
- evolution which conserve both possible?


## Why to try such a thing?


plot taken from Hannes Jung's talk at RBRC
workshop, June 2017
$K^{P S}=\frac{N_{N L O-M C}^{(p s)}}{N_{N L O-M C}^{(0)}}$

- ratio: NLO with parton shower over NLO without parton shower
- theory: their the same, practice: not quite true
- message: kinematic effects are important


## Why to try such a thing?

- practical need for low x phenomenologist: many (forward) observables require integration over gluon $x \rightarrow$ sensitivity to large $x$ region
(e.g. fragmentation function, not completely exclusive final state, applications to MPI ...)
- need to model BFKL/BK gluon in large $\times$ region (error!) or introduce matching scheme (how?)
- BEST: low x pdf that works for all x
short history:

1. TMD Pgq by Catani-Hautmann (low resummed splitting kernels) [Catani, Hautmann, NPB427 (1994)]
2. reproduced using effective vertices (reggeized quarks) adapted to finite momentum fraction
[Hautmann, MH, Jung; 1205.1759]
3. Curci-Furmanski-Petrozini formalism for DGLAP (light-cone gauge!) + gauge invariance in presence of off-shell initial reggeized quarks (generalized Lipatov vertices) $\rightarrow$ quark splittings
[Gituliar, MH, Kutak, 1511.08439]
4. now: real part of TMD $\mathrm{P}_{\text {gg }}$ (gluon-to-gluon)
[MH, Kusina, Kutak, Serino; 1711.04587]

## $P_{\text {gg }}$ satisfies important constrains

$\checkmark$ from $2 \rightarrow 3$ scattering amplitude or Lipatov's action in light-cone gauge + generalized CFP projectors
$\checkmark$ current conservation
$\checkmark$ collinear limit: DGLAP splitting
$\checkmark$ low $\times$ limit: BFKL kernel

$\checkmark$ soft limit $\mathrm{p}_{\boldsymbol{T}} \rightarrow 0$ : CCFM kernel byproduct from requesting the first 3 points

## just the beginning not the end ...

- complete set of 4 real TMD splitting kernels $\rightarrow$ satisfies all necessary constraints so far
- virtual corrections = work in progress
- in general: need to properly develop the whole framework $\rightarrow$ what are we actually doing?
- at the very least: a consistent way to combine DGLAP and BFKL;
- hope: get a handle on kinematic corrections


## Conclusions \& Summary

- BFKL can be tested in exclusive vector meson production $\rightarrow$ the most appropriate theoretical framework
- Lipatov's action allows to obtain CGC propagators + Baltisky-JIMWLK evolution
- a definition of (real)TMD splitting kernels which obey correct DGLAP + BFKL + CCFM limits is possible


## Appendix

## Solve BFKL equation in conjugate ( $\gamma$ ) Mellin space

$$
G\left(x, \boldsymbol{k}^{2}, M\right)=\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{k}^{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-i \infty}^{\frac{1}{2}+i \infty} \frac{d \gamma}{2 \pi i} \hat{g}\left(x, \frac{M^{2}}{Q_{0}^{2}}, \frac{\bar{M}^{2}}{M^{2}}, \gamma\right)\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{k}^{2}}{Q_{0}^{2}}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

re-introduce two scales: hard scale of process ( $M$ ) and scale of running coupling ( $\bar{M}$ )
$\hat{g}$ : operator in $\gamma$ space!

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{g}\left(x, \frac{M^{2}}{Q_{0}^{2}}, \frac{\bar{M}^{2}}{M^{2}}, \gamma\right) & =\frac{\mathcal{C} \cdot \Gamma(\delta-\gamma)}{\pi \Gamma(\delta)} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\chi\left(\gamma, \frac{\bar{M}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)} \\
& \left\{1+\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{s}^{2} \beta_{0} \chi_{0}(\gamma)}{8 N_{c}} \log \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\left[-\psi(\delta-\gamma)+\log \frac{M^{2}}{Q_{0}^{2}}-\partial_{\gamma}\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

resummed NLO BFKL eigenvalue with optimal scale setting $\left(\rightarrow\right.$ modifies $\left.\chi_{1}(\gamma)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\gamma, \frac{\bar{M}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)=\bar{\alpha}_{s} \chi_{0}(\gamma)+ & \bar{\alpha}_{s}^{2} \tilde{\chi}_{1}(\gamma)-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha}_{s}^{2} \chi_{0}^{\prime}(\gamma) \chi_{0}(\gamma) \\
& +\chi_{R G}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{s}, \gamma, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\right)-\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{s}^{2} \beta_{0}}{8 N_{c}} \chi_{0}(\gamma) \log \frac{\bar{M}^{2}}{M^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theory: Propagators in background field

use light-cone gauge, with $\mathrm{k}^{-}=\mathrm{n}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{k},\left(\mathrm{n}^{+}\right)^{2}=0, \mathrm{n}^{+} \sim$ target momentum

[Balitsky, Belitsky; NPB 629 (2002) 290], [Ayala, Jalilian-Marian, McLerran, Venugopalan, PRD 52 (1995) 2935-2943], ...
interaction with the background field:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv V_{i j}(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \mathrm{P} \exp i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{+} A^{-, c}\left(x^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}\right) t^{c} \\
& U(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv U^{a b}(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \mathrm{P} \exp i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{+} A^{-, c}\left(x^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}\right) T^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

strong background field resummed into path ordered
$\xrightarrow{p} \rightarrow{ }^{q}=\tau_{F, i j}(p, q)=2 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right) \not 凤$ exponentials (Wilson lines)
$\times \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q})}\left\{\theta\left(p^{+}\right)\left[V_{i j}(\boldsymbol{z})-1_{i j}\right]-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right)\left[V_{i j}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{z})-1_{i j}\right]\right\}$

$$
A^{-}\left(x^{+}, x_{t}\right)=\delta\left(x^{+}\right) \alpha\left(x_{t}\right)
$$



$$
=\tau_{G}^{a b}(p, q)=2 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right)\left(-2 p^{+}\right)
$$

$$
\times \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q})}\left\{\theta\left(p^{+}\right)\left[U^{a b}(\boldsymbol{z})-1\right]-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right)\left[\left(U^{a b}\right)^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{z})-1\right]\right\}
$$

## reggeized gluon as log of Wilson line

- proposal made by S. Caron-Huot ${ }_{[1309.6521]:}$ : 2-dim reggeized gluon from Balitsky-JIMWLK evolution

$$
R^{a}(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \frac{1}{g N_{c}} f^{a b c} \log U^{b c}(\boldsymbol{z}) \quad \begin{gathered}
U \text { satisfies the } \\
\text { evolution }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Lipatov's effective action: agrees in this sense with this definition

$$
R^{a}(\boldsymbol{z})=\frac{1}{g N_{c}} f^{a b c}\left[i g \alpha^{d}(\boldsymbol{z}) T_{b c}^{d}\right]=\alpha^{a}(\boldsymbol{z})=\frac{1}{2} \int d x^{+} A_{+}^{a}\left(x^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}\right)
$$

## angular averaged TMD splitting functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{P}_{q g}^{(0)}= & T_{R}\left(\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+z(1-z) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left[z^{2}+(1-z)^{2}+4 z^{2}(1-z)^{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^{2}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}}\right] \\
\bar{P}_{g q}^{(0)}= & C_{F}\left[\frac{2 \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}}{z\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}-(1-z)^{2} \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right|}-\frac{(2-z) \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{4}+z\left(1-z^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{k}^{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}}{\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+z(1-z) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right], \\
\bar{P}_{q q}^{(0)}= & C_{F} \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+z(1-z) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}} \\
\times & {\left[\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+\left(1-z^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}{(1-z)\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}-(1-z)^{2} \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right|}+\frac{z^{2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}-z(1-z)\left(1-3 z+z^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}{(1-z)\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+z(1-z) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right)}\right] . } \\
\bar{P}_{g g}^{(0)}\left(z, \frac{\boldsymbol{k}^{2}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}}\right)= & C_{A} \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+z(1-z) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}\left[\frac{(2-z) \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+\left(z^{3}-4 z^{2}+3 z\right) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}{z(1-z)\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}-(1-z)^{2} \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right|}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(2 z^{3}-4 z^{2}+6 z-3\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+z\left(4 z^{4}-12 z^{3}+9 z^{2}+z-2\right) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}}{(1-z)\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}+z(1-z) \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

