



Direct Detection of Dark Matter (intro and low-mass DM in SuperCDMS)





AM Universidad Autónor de Madrid



In this session...



- 1. Introduction + SuperCDMS
- 2. DarkSide/DEAP/DArT Vicente Pesudo
- 3. LZ and MIGDAL– Elías López Asamar
- 4. ANAIS María Martínez







A theorist's **PARADISE**.... an experimentalist's **PURGATORY**



Direct Detection experiments

(Underground*) detectors to look for "invisibles"

- weakly-interacting (that traverse the Earth)
- Neutral (or millicharged)
- Cosmological or astrophysical origin
- Stable enough

Interactions are (to say the least) rare

- Background attenuation (cleanliness + shielding)
- Increasing target size
- Increasing search window (**lower energy thresholds**)

Background/signal discrimination

- Discriminate nuclear recoils (NR) and electron recoils (ER)
- Morphology of the signal (energy spectrum)
- Time-dependence (annual modulation)
- Directionality



lonisation Scintillation Phonons (heat) Bubble nucleation ...



DIRECT DARK MATTER SEARCHES: What can we measure?

NUCLEAR SCATTERING

- "Canonical" signature
- Elastic or Inelastic scattering
- Sensitive to m >1 GeV



DIRECT DARK MATTER SEARCHES: What can we measure?

NUCLEAR SCATTERING

- "Canonical" signature
- Elastic or Inelastic scattering
- Sensitive to m >1 GeV

ELECTRON SCATTERING

• Sensitive to light WIMPs

ELECTRON ABSORBPTION

• Very light (non-WIMP)

EXOTIC SEARCHES

- Axion-photon conversion in the atomic EM field
- Light Ionising Particles



DIRECT DARK MATTER SEARCHES: What can we measure?

NUCLEAR SCATTERING

- "Canonical" signature
- Elastic or Inelastic scattering
- Sensitive to m >1 GeV

ELECTRON SCATTERING

• Sensitive to light WIMPs

ELECTRON ABSORBPTION

• Very light (non-WIMP)

EXOTIC SEARCHES

- Axion-photon conversion in the atomic EM field
- Light Ionising Particles

Conventional direct detection approach (WIMPs)

$$N = \int_{E_T} \epsilon \frac{\rho}{m_{\chi} m_N} \int_{v_{\min}} v f(\vec{v}) \frac{d\sigma_{WN}}{dE_R} d\vec{v} \, dE_R$$

Particle (+ nuclear) Physics

The scattering cross section contains the details about the microphysics of the DM model

The most general case can be described by means of an Effective Field Theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i=1,15} c_i \chi^* \mathcal{O}_{\chi} \chi \Psi_N^* \mathcal{O}_i \Psi_N$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{1} &= 1_{\chi} 1_{N} & \mathcal{O}_{10} &= i \vec{S}_{N} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \\ \mathcal{O}_{3} &= i \vec{S}_{N} \cdot \left[\frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \times \vec{v}^{\perp} \right] & \mathcal{O}_{10} &= i \vec{S}_{N} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \\ \mathcal{O}_{4} &= \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{S}_{N} & \mathcal{O}_{11} &= i \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left[\vec{S}_{N} \times \vec{v}^{\perp} \right] \\ \mathcal{O}_{5} &= i \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left[\frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \times \vec{v}^{\perp} \right] & \mathcal{O}_{12} &= \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left[\vec{S}_{N} \times \vec{v}^{\perp} \right] \\ \mathcal{O}_{6} &= \left[\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] \left[\vec{S}_{N} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] & \mathcal{O}_{13} &= i \left[\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp} \right] \left[\vec{S}_{N} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] \\ \mathcal{O}_{7} &= \vec{S}_{N} \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp} & \mathcal{O}_{14} &= i \left[\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] \left[\vec{S}_{N} \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp} \right] \\ \mathcal{O}_{8} &= \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp} & \mathcal{O}_{15} &= - \left[\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] \left[\left(\vec{S}_{N} \times \vec{v}^{\perp} \right) \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] \\ \mathcal{O}_{9} &= i \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left[\vec{S}_{N} \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] & \mathcal{O}_{15} &= - \left[\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] \left[\left(\vec{S}_{N} \times \vec{v}^{\perp} \right) \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_{N}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Discriminating a DM signal... Energy Spectrum

DM particles leave a recoil spectrum which is "exponential" if the interaction is momentum independent (general assumption in spin-independent analysis)



Nuclear recoil energy [keV]

Discriminating a DM signal... Annual Modulation

An annual modulation is expected due to the seasonal variation of the Earth's velocity inside the DM halo.



This signature is currently being currently probed by detectors such as ANAIS.

Constraints on the DM-nucleus scattering cross section

Single or double phase noble gas detectors excel in searches at large DM masses XENON1T, LUX, Panda-X (Xe), DARKSIDE, DEAP (Ar) Easily scalable DARKSIDE 1802.07198



~10000 kg day DEAP 1707.08042 9870 kg day PANDAX 1708.06917 54000 kg day LUX 1608.07648 33500 kg day

XENON1T 1805.12562 362000 kg day

Constraints on low-mass WIMPs

CDMSlite, SuperCDMS, Edelweiss, CDEX (Ge), CRESST (CaWO₄), NEWS-G (Ne) complete the search for WIMPs at low masses.

Low-threshold experiments (with smaller targets) are probing large areas of parameter space



Low-mass DM searches at SuperCDMS

- 1. Athermal (Si) surface detector
- 2. HVeV (Si) detector

Detectors with **eV-scale** threshold can probe light WIMPS through Nuclear Recoils



¹⁵

Sensitivity to "WIMP" DM reaching ~10 MeV



- Plans to operate underground to reduce Compton background
- This detector not optimal (too much surface vs volume reduces the resolution) but demonstrates the potential
- Future detectors ~ 1cm³

Electron recoil data allows to test very low DM masses

$$E_R = \frac{m_{e^2} v^2 (1 - \cos \theta^*)}{m_e}$$





Excite bound electrons into excited states (in liquid noble gas experiments) or promote them to the conductive band (in solid state detectors)

Semiconductor detectors with sensitivity to single electron-hole (e^-h^+) pairs can be competitive with bigger experiments Essig et al (2016)

$$\frac{dR}{d\ln E_R} = V_{det} \frac{\rho_{DM}}{m_{\chi}} \frac{\rho_{\rm Si}}{2m_{\rm Si}} \bar{\sigma}_e \alpha \frac{m_e^2}{\mu_{\chi}^2} I_{\rm crystal}(E_e; F_{\chi})$$

DAMIC, SuperCDMS and SENSEI

CDMS HVeV

First generation Si HVeV detector (0.93 g) - $1 \times 1 \times 0.4$ cm³



SuperCDMS 1804.10697

CDMS HVeV

First generation Si HVeV detector (0.93 g) - $1 \times 1 \times 0.4$ cm³



SuperCDMS 1804.10697



The measurement of single e^{-h^+} pairs brings the threshold to the ~3 eV scale

Excellent resolution ~ 3% of single e^-h^+ pair

CDMS HVeV

Above-ground Search with an improved 2nd generation detector

1.2 g day exposure

(North Western Apr. 2019)

resolution $\sigma_E = 3 \text{ eV}$ $E_T = 0.4 \text{ e}^-\text{h}^+$

threshold

Background events from charge leakage and subgap infrared photons





Direct Detection of MeV Dark Matter

-

DAMIC at SNOLAB 1907.12628 SuperCDMS HVeV 1804.10697 SENSEI 1901.10478 XENON10, Essig et al 1206.2644



MeV boson or fermion DM thermally produced (e.g.

Direct Detection of eV Dark Matter

- Dark Photon (kinetic mixing and axioelectric coupling)





SuperCDMS (HVeV) 2005.14067 22

Improves previous constraints (iZIP)

DARK PHOTONS

$$\sigma_V(E_V) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{\beta_V} \sigma_{pe}(E_V),$$

ALPS

$$\sigma_a(E_a) = \sigma_{pe}(E_a) \frac{g_{ae}^2}{\beta_a} \frac{3E_a^2}{16\pi \,\alpha \, m_e^2 c^4} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_a^{2/3}}{3}\right)$$



SuperCDMS (iZIP) 1911.11905

Summary

- Low-threshold devices (E_T~eV) are excellent probes of low-mass DM, testing more general models (freeze-in, ALPs, dark photons)
 - Nuclear recoil searches allow to constrain ~**10 MeV** scale DM
 - Electron inelastic scattering sets limits on **MeV** candidates
 - Electron absorption probes **eV** scale ALPs and Dark Photons

... we'll have to keep trying until we get a better result.