

Phenomenological aspects of neutrino mass models with flavour and CP symmetries

Claudia Hagedorn IFIC - UV/CSIC

NuTs 2022, IFT, Madrid, 16.05.-17.06.2022







Overview

- Introduction Flavour and CP symmetries
- Example of flavour and CP symmetries
- Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism
- Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism
- Summary and Outlook

Replication of fermion generations



- No explanation of three generations in the Standard Model (SM)
- Anomaly freedom of SM gauge group does not depend on number of generations
- Only first generation needed for `our world'
- Hints for more generations? ... maybe a sterile neutrino

C. Hagedorn



- Strong hierarchy among charged fermion masses, especially up-type quarks
- Neutrinos are much lighter and may have different hierarchy

C. Hagedorn

- Replication of fermion generations
- Fermion masses
- Neutrinos are much lighter and may have different hierarchy



- Replication of fermion generations
- Fermion masses
- Quark and lepton mixing



C. Hagedorn

- Replication of fermion generations
- Fermion masses
- Quark and lepton mixing
- Features can be described in the SM, but
 - Number of free parameters in flavour sector is by far the largest in the SM
 - Yukawa couplings span several orders of magnitude
 - Origin of neutrino masses is unclear
 - Striking differences among quarks and leptons are not understood

- Many ideas have been put forward in order to explain fermion masses and mixing and also (some of) the flavour anomalies.
- One very interesting approach is to assume a **new symmetry**, **acting on flavour space**, e.g.

$\left(\begin{array}{c} q_1 \end{array}\right)$		$\left(\begin{array}{c} q_2 \end{array}\right)$	
q_2	\rightarrow	q_3	
$\left(\begin{array}{c} q_3 \end{array} \right)$		$\langle q_1$)

with q_i being the *i*th quark generation. This constrains the couplings in the flavour sector.

• This approach is inspired by the success of gauge symmetries.

```
Properties of this new symmetry G_f?
```





Properties of this new symmetry G_f ?

 G_f could be ...

- ... abelian or non-abelian
- ... continuous or discrete
- ... local or global
- ... spontaneously broken or explicitly
- ... broken arbitrarily or to non-trivial subgroups
- ... broken at low or high energies

Its maximal possible size depends on the chosen gauge group.

Properties of this new symmetry G_f ?

 G_f could be ...

- ... abelian or **non-abelian**
- ... continuous or **discrete**
- ... local or global
- ... spontaneously broken or explicitly
- ... broken arbitrarily or to **non-trivial subgroups**
- ... broken at low or high energies

Its maximal possible size depends on the chosen gauge group.

Properties of this new symmetry G_f ?

 G_f could be ...

- ... abelian or **non-abelian** (three generations)
- ... continuous or **discrete** (**preferred directions**)
- ... local or global
- ... spontaneously broken or explicitly
- ... broken arbitrarily or to **non-trivial subgroups** (**predictive**)
- ... broken at low or high energies

Its maximal possible size depends on the chosen gauge group.

There are many options ...

- Dihedral symmetries D_n as well as D'_n
- Symmetric and alternating groups, S_n and A_n
- Discrete subgroups of modular group
- Groups $\Sigma(n \varphi)$
- Adding CP symmetries
- Series of groups $\Delta(3 n^2)$ and $\Delta(6 n^2)$ also with CP

•

Much research has been performed in this direction, see e.g. works by

Altarelli, Antusch, Branco, Calibbi, Centelles Chulia, Chen, Chu, Dasgupta, de Medeiros Varzielas, Ding, Everett, Feruglio, Gavela, Gehrlein, Girardi, Gonzalez Felipe, Grimus, CH, He, Hirsch, Joaquim, King, Lavoura, Luhn, Mahanthappa, Machado, Medina, Melis, Meloni, Merlo, Meroni, Mohapatra, Neder, Nilles, Nishi, Pas, Pascoli, Petcov, Rodejohann, Schumacher, Serodio, Shimizu, Smirnov, Spinrath, Srivastava, Stuart, Tanimoto, Titov, Valle, Vicente, Vien, Vives, Xu, Yamamoto, Ziegler, ... as well as the following reviews

Ishimori et al. ('10), King/Luhn ('13), Feruglio/Romanino ('19); Grimus/Ludl ('11)

There are many options ...

- Dihedral symmetries D_n as well as D'_n
- Symmetric and alternating groups, S_n and A_n
- Discrete subgroups of modular group
- Groups $\Sigma(n \varphi)$
- Adding CP symmetries
- Series of groups $\Delta(3n^2)$ and $\Delta(6n^2)$ also with CP

•

Series of groups $\Delta(3 n^2)$ **and** $\Delta(6 n^2)$

- Have 3-dim irrep(s)
- Can also offer 1-dim irreps and 2-dim irreps
- Are subgroups of SU(3)

$$\Delta(3 n^{2}) \qquad \qquad \text{Luhn/Nasri/Ramond ('07)} \\ a^{3} = e \ , \ c^{n} = e \ , \ d^{n} = e \ , \\ c d = d c \ , \ a c a^{-1} = c^{-1} d^{-1} \ , \ a d a^{-1} = c \\ \\ g = a^{\alpha} c^{\gamma} d^{\delta} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2 \ , \ 0 \le \gamma, \delta \le n - 1 \\ \end{cases}$$

A well-known member is the permutation group A₄

Series of groups $\Delta(3 n^2)$ **and** $\Delta(6 n^2)$

- Have 3-dim irrep(s)
- Can also offer 1-dim irreps and 2-dim irreps
- Are subgroups of SU(3)

 $\Delta(6 n^2)$ Add to relations of $\Delta(3 n^2)$ Escobar/Luhn ('08)

$$\begin{split} b^2 &= e \ , \ (a \, b)^2 = e \ , \ b \, c \, b^{-1} = d^{-1} \ , \ b \, d \, b^{-1} = c^{-1} \\ g &= a^\alpha b^\beta c^\gamma d^\delta \ \text{ with } \ \alpha = 0, 1, 2 \ , \ \beta = 0, 1 \ , \ 0 \leq \gamma, \delta \leq n-1 \end{split}$$

A well-known member is the permutation group S_4

Add CP as further symmetry

• Motivation:

For more than one generation of certain particle species, define CP that also acts on generations of particles,

Grimus/Rebelo ('95),

Ecker/Grimus/Neufeld ('84,'87,'88)

e.g.

with

$$\Phi_i(x) \rightarrow X_{ij} \Phi_j^{\dagger}(x_P) \text{ with } (x_P)_{\mu} = x^{\mu}$$

$$XX^{\dagger} = XX^{\star} =$$

- Phenomenological viewpoint: Feruglio/CH/Ziegler ('12) adding CP and exploring the interplay between flavour and CP symmetries opens new avenues for description of mixing (new patterns and constraints on Majorana phases) Harrison/Scott ('02), Grimus/Lavoura ('03)
- CP is involution and corresponds to automorphism of flavour symmetry Holthausen/Lindner/Schmidt ('12), Chen et al. ('14)

C. Hagedorn

Breaking of symmetries

Feruglio/CH/Ziegler ('12)

Idea: Keep some residual symmetry among charged leptons and neutrinos, G_e and G_v , with $G_e \neq G_v$ Mismatch of symmetries corresponds to lepton mixing



C. Hagedorn

Breaking of symmetries

Feruglio/CH/Ziegler ('12)

Idea: Keep some residual symmetry among charged leptons and neutrinos, G_e and G_v , with $G_e \neq G_v$ Mismatch of symmetries corresponds to lepton mixing





Example of flavour and CP symmetries [M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)] Case 2)



v = 3t relevant mainly for Majorana phase

C. Hagedorn

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

Case 2)

n = 14

u	u = -1	u = 0	u = +1	
$ heta_L$	0.146	0 1 8 /	0.146	
	(0.148)	0.104	(0.148)	
$\sin^2 heta_{12}$	0.341	0.341	0.341	
$\sin^2 heta_{13}$	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	
	(0.0224)	(0.0224)	(0.0224)	
$\sin^2 heta_{23}$	0.437	0.5	0.563	
$\Delta\chi^2$	9.25	10.8	8.27	
	(11.2)	(12.5)	(8.62)	
$\frac{\sin \delta = -1 \text{ for } u = 0}{\sin \delta \approx -0.811 (-0.813) \text{ for } u = \pm 1}$				

several choices for *v* admitted

C. Hagedorn

 Consider a scenario of (3,3) ISS, i.e. 3 generations of LH doublets, 3 generations of N_i and S_j, all of them gauge singlets Valle ('86), Mohapatra ('86), Bernabeu et al. ('87), Gonzalez-Garcia/

$$-(y_D)_{\alpha i}\,\overline{L}^c_{\alpha}\,H\,N^c_i-(M_{NS})_{ij}\,\overline{N}_i\,S_j-\frac{1}{2}\,(\mu_S)_{kl}\,\overline{S}^c_k\,S_l+{\rm h.c.}$$

Mass matrix of neutral states

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{Maj}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{0} & m_D & \mathbb{0} \\ m_D^T & \mathbb{0} & M_{NS} \\ \mathbb{0} & M_{NS}^T & \mu_S \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } m_D = y_D \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$$

• Light neutrino masses $|\mu_S| \ll |m_D| \ll |M_{NS}|_{:}$

$$m_{\nu} = m_D \left(M_{NS}^{-1} \right)^T \mu_S \, M_{NS}^{-1} \, m_D^T$$

C. Hagedorn

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

$$L_{\alpha} \sim 3$$
, $N_i \sim 3$, $S_j \sim 3$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]



• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

$$L_{\alpha} \sim 3$$
, $N_i \sim 3$, $S_j \sim 3$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Charged lepton mass matrix

Generator Q=a

[detail: diagonal subgroup of Z₃ from flavour symmetry and additional Z₃]

$$\left(egin{array}{cccc} m_e & 0 & 0 \ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \ 0 & 0 & m_ au \end{array}
ight)$$

[basis choice: Q=a diagonal]

C. Hagedorn

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1 \qquad \qquad L_\alpha \sim 3 , N_i \sim 3 , S_j \sim 3$$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Neutrino mass matrix

Generator Z

CP X

$$\mathcal{M}_{ ext{Maj}} = egin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D & 0 \ m_D^T & 0 & M_{NS} \ 0 & M_{NS}^T & \mu_S \end{pmatrix} ext{ with } m_D = y_D \, rac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$$

C. Hagedorn

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

$$L_{\alpha} \sim 3 , N_i \sim 3 , S_j \sim 3$$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Neutrino mass matrix

$$-(y_D)_{lpha i}\,\overline{L}^c_lpha\,H\,N^c_i-(M_{NS})_{ij}\,\overline{N}_i\,S_j-rac{1}{2}\,(\mu_S)_{kl}\,\overline{S}^c_k\,S_l+ ext{h.c.}$$

No symmetry breaking

$$m_D = y_0 \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \ \text{with} \ y_0 > 0$$

C. Hagedorn

$$M_{NS} = M_0 \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight) \ ext{ with } M_0 > 0$$

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

$$L_{\alpha} \sim 3$$
, $N_i \sim 3$, $S_j \sim 3$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Neutrino mass matrix

$$-(y_D)_{\alpha i}\,\overline{L}^c_{\alpha}\,H\,N^c_i - (M_{NS})_{ij}\,\overline{N}_i\,S_j - \frac{1}{2}\,(\mu_S)_{kl}\,\overline{S}^c_k\,S_l + \text{h.c.}$$

Symmetry breaking

$$U_S^T \,\mu_S \,U_S = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_3 \end{array}\right)$$

$$U_S = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) \, R_{fh}(heta_S)$$
NuTs 2022

C. Hagedorn

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

$$L_{\alpha} \sim 3$$
, $N_i \sim 3$, $S_j \sim 3$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Light neutrino mass matrix

$$m_{\nu} = \frac{y_0^2 v^2}{2 M_0^2} \mu_S = \frac{y_0^2 v^2}{2 M_0^2} U_S^{\star} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mu_2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mu_3 \end{array}\right) U_S^{\dagger}$$

Neutrino masses

$$m_i = rac{y_0^2 \, v^2}{2 \, M_0^2} \, \mu_i \; \; {
m for} \; \; i=1,2,3$$

Lepton mixing

$$\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{PMNS}} = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{fh}(\theta_S)$$

at leading order

C. Hagedorn

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1 \qquad \qquad L_\alpha \sim 3 , N_i \sim 3 , S_j \sim 3$$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Heavy states

$$M_{h,i} = M_0 - rac{\mu_i}{2} \; ext{ and } \; M_{h,i+3} = M_0 + rac{\mu_i}{2} \; ext{ with } \; i=1,2,3 \, .$$

Back to light neutrinos

... go beyond leading order Hettmansperger/Lindner/Rode-

johann ('11)

- potentially new contributions to m_{ν}
- effects of non-unitarity

C. Hagedorn

Back to light neutrinos

... go beyond leading order

- potentially new contributions to m_{ν}
- effects of non-unitarity

$$m_{\nu}^{1} = -\frac{1}{2} m_{D} \left(M_{NS}^{-1} \right)^{T} \left[\mu_{S} M_{NS}^{-1} m_{D}^{T} m_{D}^{\star} \left(M_{NS}^{-1} \right)^{\dagger} + \left(M_{NS}^{-1} \right)^{\star} m_{D}^{\dagger} m_{D} \left(M_{NS}^{-1} \right)^{T} \mu_{S} \right] M_{NS}^{-1} m_{D}^{T}$$

$$m_{\nu}^{1} = -\frac{y_{0}^{4} v^{4}}{4 M_{0}^{4}} \mu_{S} = -\frac{y_{0}^{4} v^{4}}{4 M_{0}^{4}} U_{S}^{\star} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{3} \end{pmatrix} U_{S}^{\dagger}$$

Compare to

$$m_{\nu} = \frac{y_0^2 v^2}{2 M_0^2} \mu_S = \frac{y_0^2 v^2}{2 M_0^2} U_S^{\star} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mu_2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mu_3 \end{array}\right) U_S^{\dagger}$$

C. Hagedorn

Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism [CH, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, A.M. Teixeira ('21)] Option 1 Back to light neutrinos

Back to light neutrinos

... go beyond leading order

- potentially new contributions to m_{ν}
- effects of non-unitarity

$$\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{PMNS}} = \left(\mathbb{1} - \eta\right) U_0$$

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \, m_D^\star \left(M_{NS}^{-1} \right)^\dagger M_{NS}^{-1} \, m_D^T$$

$$\eta = \frac{y_0^2 \, v^2}{4 \, M_0^2} \, \mathbb{1} \equiv \eta_0 \, \mathbb{1}$$

Compare to

$$\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{PMNS}} = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{fh}(\theta_S)$$

C. Hagedorn

Universal effect in flavour *α* and for different patterns Case 1) Case 2) Case 3 a) Case 3 b.1)

Constraints from non-unitarity



Strongest bound comes from $\eta_{\mu\mu}$

$$|\eta_{\alpha\beta}| \le \begin{pmatrix} 1.3 \cdot 10^{-3} & 1.2 \cdot 10^{-5} & 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 1.2 \cdot 10^{-5} & 2.2 \cdot 10^{-4} & 6.0 \cdot 10^{-4} \\ 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} & 6.0 \cdot 10^{-4} & 2.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Fernandez-Martinez et al. ('16)



C. Hagedorn

Effect on lepton mixing

Case 1)





C. Hagedorn

Effect on lepton mixing

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

Effect on lepton mixing

Case 1)

$$\sin^2 \theta_{12} pprox rac{1}{3} \left(1 + \sin^2 \theta_{13}\right)$$



C. Hagedorn
[CH, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism A.M. Teixeira ('21)] **Option 1**

Effect on lepton mixing

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

[CH, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism A.M. Teixeira ('21)] **Option 1**

Effect on lepton mixing

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

[CH, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism A.M. Teixeira ('21)] **Option 1**

Effect on lepton mixing

Case 2)



Analysis also performed for **Case 3 a) Case 3 b.1**) NuTs 2022 C. Hagedorn

Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism [CH, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, A.M. Teixeira ('21)] Option 1 Charged lepton flavour violation

Charged lepton flavour violation

Relevant points

• Lepton number and flavour breaking are both encoded in the matrix

$$U_S^T \,\mu_S \,U_S = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_3 \end{array}\right)$$

$$U_S = \Omega({f 3})\,R_{fh}(heta_S)$$

• Non-unitarity effects are flavour-diagonal and flavour-universal

$$\eta = \frac{y_0^2 \, v^2}{4 \, M_0^2} \, \mathbb{1} \equiv \eta_0 \, \mathbb{1}$$

 Mass spectrum of heavy states is peculiar: they form pseudo-Dirac pairs with very small mass splitting and all three such pairs have a common mass scale

$$M_{h,i} = M_0 - rac{\mu_i}{2} \; \; ext{and} \; \; M_{h,i+3} = M_0 + rac{\mu_i}{2} \; \; ext{with} \; \; i=1,2,3 \, .$$

C. Hagedorn

Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism [CH, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, A.M. Teixeira ('21)] Option 1 Charged Lepton flavour violation

Charged lepton flavour violation

Relevant points

- Lepton number and flavour breaking are both encoded in the matrix μ_S
- Non-unitarity effects are flavour-diagonal and flavour-universal
- Mass spectrum of heavy states is peculiar: they form pseudo-Dirac pairs with very small mass splitting and all three such pairs have a common mass scale

Conclusion

Rates of charged lepton flavour violating processes

 $\ell_{\beta} \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \gamma \qquad \ell_{\beta} \rightarrow 3 \ell_{\alpha} \qquad \mu - e \text{ conversion}$ are very suppressed!

for general formulae see Alonso et al. ('12), Ilakovac/Pilaftsis ('95)

C. Hagedorn

Scenario with inverse seesaw mechanism [CH, J. Kriewald, J. Orloff, A.M. Teixeira ('21)] Option 1

Some more comments

- Neutrinoless double beta decay Blennow et al. ('10)
- Effect of perturbations of symmetry breaking scenario
- Study other phenomenology, e.g. leptogenesis
- Scrutinise correlations between different observables
- Other interesting options
- Explore cases with different number of sterile states
- Building concrete model

Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

• Consider a scenario of **type I seesaw with 3 RH neutrinos**, i.e. 3 generations of LH doublets and Minkowski ('77), Glashow ('80), 3 generations of gauge singlets ν_{Ri} Gell-Mann/Ramond/Slansky ('79),

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \mathrm{i}\,\overline{\nu_R}\,\partial\!\!\!\!/\,\nu_R - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\nu_R^c}\,M_R\,\nu_R - \overline{l_L}\,Y_D\,\varepsilon H^*\,\nu_R + \mathrm{h.c.}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

/Iohapatra/Senjanovic ('80), • Yanagida ('80), Schechter/

Valle ('80)

$$m_{\nu} = -m_D M_R^{-1} m_D^T$$
 with

• Light neutrino masses

 $m_D = Y_D \left\langle H \right
angle$

 Heavy neutrino masses are (nearly) degenerate. This is achieved with the help of a symmetry and a suitable playground for low-scale leptogenesis



• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

$$l_{L\alpha}\sim 3\;, \nu_{Ri}\sim 3'$$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

> see also Dev/CH/Molinaro ('18); Chauhan/Dev ('22) NuTs 2022

C. Hagedorn

Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

$$l_{L\alpha} \sim 3 \nu_{Ri} \sim 3'$$

irreducible, faithful, complex

Reason: Fully explore the predictive power of flavour and CP symmetry CH/Meroni/Molinaro ('14)



Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1$$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

$$l_{L\alpha} \sim 3 , \nu_{Ri} \sim 3'$$

irreducible, in general unfaithful, real

Reason: (flavour-universal) mass term for ν_{Ri} w/o breaking flavour and CP symmetry

Requires *n* to be even [important detail: in some cases *n* not divisible by 4]

NuTs 2022

C. Hagedorn

C. Hagedorn

NuTs 2022

Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1 \qquad \qquad l_{L\alpha} \sim 3 , \nu_{Ri} \sim 3'$$

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Charged lepton mass matrix

Generator Q=a

[detail: diagonal subgroup of Z₃ from flavour symmetry and additional Z₃]

 $egin{array}{ccc} m_e & 0 & 0 \ 0 & m_\mu & 0 \ 0 & 0 & m_ au \end{array}
ight)$

[basis choice: Q=a diagonal]

C. Hagedorn

NuTs 2022

No symmetry breaking Symmetry breaking

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \mathrm{i}\,\overline{\nu_R}\,\partial\!\!\!\!\partial \nu_R - rac{1}{2}\overline{\nu_R^c}\,M_R\,\nu_R - \overline{l_L}\,Y_D\,arepsilon H^*\,
u_R + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

Generator Z

CP X

Neutral lepton sector

[detail: use additional Z_3 to distinguish e, μ, τ]

 $\alpha_R \sim 1$

• We take

$$l_{L\alpha}\sim 3\;, \nu_{Ri}\sim 3'$$

M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

C. Hagedorn

CH/Molinaro ('16)

NuTs 2022

 Y_D^*

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

• We take

$$\alpha_R \sim 1 \qquad \qquad l_{L\alpha} \sim 3 , \nu_{Ri} \sim 3'$$

[detail: use additional Z₃ to distinguish e, μ, τ]

Neutral lepton sector

Generator Z

CP X

 \boldsymbol{N}

$$M_R = M_R^0 = M \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

$$\frac{Z(\mathbf{3})^{\dagger} Y_D Z(\mathbf{3}') = Y_D}{X(\mathbf{3})^* Y_D X(\mathbf{3}')} =$$

 $Y_D = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{ij}(\theta_L) \operatorname{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl}(-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger}$

Symmetry breaking

Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

Neutral lepton sector

$$Y_D = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{ij}(\theta_L) \operatorname{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl}(-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger}$$

$$X(\mathbf{3}) = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) \, \Omega(\mathbf{3})^T$$

 $X(\mathbf{3}') = \Omega(\mathbf{3}') \, \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^T$

C. Hagedorn



Neutral lepton sector
$$Y_D = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) (R_{ij}(\theta_L)) \operatorname{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} (R_{kl}(-\theta_R)) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger}$$
 $X(\mathbf{3}) = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) \Omega(\mathbf{3})^T$ $X(\mathbf{3}') = \Omega(\mathbf{3}') \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^T$

rotations in the (ij)- and (kl)-plane, i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3 with i < j and k < l,



Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism [M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)] Neutral lepton sector

$$Y_D = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{ij}(\theta_L) \operatorname{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl}(-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')$$

 $X(\mathbf{3}) = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) \, \Omega(\mathbf{3})^T$

 $X(\mathbf{3}') = \Omega(\mathbf{3}') \, \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^T$

rotations in the (ij)- and (kl)-plane, i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3 with i < j and k < l,

three real parameters, namely the couplings y_f , f = 1, 2, 3.

Neutral lepton sector

$$Y_D = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{ij}(\theta_L) \operatorname{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl}(-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger}$$

 $X(\mathbf{3}) = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) \, \Omega(\mathbf{3})^T$

 $X(\mathbf{3}') = \Omega(\mathbf{3}') \, \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^T$

rotations in the (ij)- and (kl)-plane, i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3 with i < j and k < l,

three real parameters, namely the couplings y_f , f = 1, 2, 3.

permutation matrix P_{kl}^{ij}

Only needed in certain instances

C. Hagedorn



Neutral lepton sector

 $Y_D = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{ij}(\theta_L) \operatorname{diag}(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl}(-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger}$

 $X(\mathbf{3}) = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) \, \Omega(\mathbf{3})^T$

$$X(\mathbf{3}') = \Omega(\mathbf{3}') \, \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^T$$

rotations in the (ij)- and (kl)-plane, i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3 with i < j and k < l,

three real parameters, namely the couplings y_f , f = 1, 2, 3.

permutation matrix P_{kl}^{ij}

In total five free real parameters corresponding to three light neutrino masses, one free parameter for lepton mixing and one free parameter related to RH neutrinos

C. Hagedorn

Briefly on lepton mixing

Charged leptons do not contribute to lepton mixing.

If diag $(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl} (-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger} M_R^{-1} \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^* R_{kl} (\theta_R) \left(P_{kl}^{ij} \right)^T$ diag (y_1, y_2, y_3)

 $U_{\rm PMNS} = \Omega(\mathbf{3}) R_{ij}(\theta_L) K_{\nu}$

If not, replace θ_L by $\tilde{\theta}_L$.

then



is diagonal,

Neutral lepton sector

$$M_R = M_R^0 = M \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Possible small symmetry breakingin order to generate small mass splitting betweenRH neutrinos at Lagrangian level

$$\delta M_R = \kappa M \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

due to residual symmetry among charged leptons

$$a(\mathbf{3}')^T \,\delta M_R \, a(\mathbf{3}') = \delta M_R$$

Then

$$M_1 = M (1 + 2\kappa)$$
 and $M_2 = M_3 = M (1 - \kappa)$

Note: in most of the analysis we use this mass spectrum of RH neutrinos, if splittings are induced at all.

C. Hagedorn

Neutral lepton sector

$$M_R = M_R^0 = M \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Possible small symmetry breakingin order to generate small mass splitting betweenRH neutrinos at Lagrangian level

$$\delta M_R = \kappa M \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

due to residual symmetry among charged leptons

$$a(\mathbf{3}')^T \,\delta M_R \, a(\mathbf{3}') = \delta M_R$$

Further splitting (example)

$$\Delta M_R = \lambda M \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 splits all RH neutrino masses
$$M_1 = M (1 + 2\kappa), \ M_2 = M (1 - \kappa + \lambda) \text{ and } M_3 = M (1 - \kappa - \lambda)$$

NuTs 2022

Solve quantum kinetic equations numerically.

$$\begin{split} &i\frac{dn_{\Delta_{\alpha}}}{dt} = -2i\frac{\mu_{\alpha}}{T}\int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Gamma_{\alpha}\right]f_{N}\left(1-f_{N}\right) + i\int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}}\operatorname{Tr}\left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}\left(\bar{\rho}_{N}-\rho_{N}\right)\right],\\ &i\frac{d\rho_{N}}{dt} = \left[H_{N},\rho_{N}\right] - \frac{i}{2}\left\{\Gamma,\rho_{N}-\rho_{N}^{eq}\right\} - \frac{i}{2}\sum_{\alpha}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}\left[2\frac{\mu_{\alpha}}{T}f_{N}\left(1-f_{N}\right)\right],\\ &i\frac{d\bar{\rho}_{N}}{dt} = -\left[H_{N},\bar{\rho}_{N}\right] - \frac{i}{2}\left\{\Gamma,\bar{\rho}_{N}-\rho_{N}^{eq}\right\} + \frac{i}{2}\sum_{\alpha}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}\left[2\frac{\mu_{\alpha}}{T}f_{N}\left(1-f_{N}\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

- *ρ_N*, *ρ_N* momentum averaged density matrices for two helicities of *N_i H_N* effective Hamiltonian
- f_N Fermi-Dirac distribution for N_i
- μ_{α} flavoured lepton chemical potentials
- $\Gamma, \Gamma_{\alpha}, \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}$ different thermal interaction rates
- $n_{\Delta_{\alpha}}$ comoving lepton number densities

for review see Garbrecht ('18)

see also Ghiglieri/Laine ('17), Klaric/Shaposhnikov/Timiryasov ('21) NuTs 2022

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



We consider two types of initial abundances of N_i

- Vanishing initial conditions are realised in e.g. ν MSM
- Thermal initial conditions are realised in framework with additional interactions of *N_i* below reheating temperature

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

Case 1)

Impact of free angle θ_R For illustration consider light neutrino masses with strong NO, i.e. $m_0 = 0$ and normally ordered light neutrino masses. This means

diag $(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl} (-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger} M_R^{-1} \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^* R_{kl} (\theta_R) \left(P_{kl}^{ij} \right)^T$ diag (y_1, y_2, y_3)

becomes diagonal

and

$$m_2 = \frac{y_2^2 \langle H \rangle^2}{M}$$
 $m_1 = 0$ and $m_3 = \frac{y_3^2 \langle H \rangle^2}{M} |\cos 2\theta_R|$

Case 1)

Impact of free angle θ_R For illustration consider light neutrino masses with strong NO, i.e. $m_0 = 0$ and normally ordered light neutrino masses. This means

diag $(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl} (-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger} M_R^{-1} \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^* R_{kl} (\theta_R) \left(P_{kl}^{ij} \right)^T$ diag (y_1, y_2, y_3)

becomes diagonal

and

$$m_2 = \frac{y_2^2 \langle H \rangle^2}{M}$$

$$m_1 = 0$$
 and $m_3 = rac{y_3^2 \langle H \rangle^2}{M} (\cos 2 \theta_R)$

For $\theta_R \approx \frac{\pi}{4}$ or odd multiples of it, $\cos 2\theta_R \approx 0$ and y_3 large for m_3 fixed.

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)

Impact of free angle θ_R For illustration consider light neutrino masses with strong NO, i.e. $m_0 = 0$ and normally ordered light neutrino masses. This means

diag $(y_1, y_2, y_3) P_{kl}^{ij} R_{kl} (-\theta_R) \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^{\dagger} M_R^{-1} \Omega(\mathbf{3}')^* R_{kl} (\theta_R) \left(P_{kl}^{ij} \right)^T$ diag (y_1, y_2, y_3)

becomes diagonal

and

$$m_2 = \frac{y_2^2 \langle H \rangle^2}{M}$$

$$m_1 = 0$$
 and $m_3 = rac{y_3^2 \langle H
angle^2}{M} |\cos 2 \theta_R|$

but then also check $m_3 \approx \frac{y_3^2 \langle H \rangle^2}{M} |\kappa + \cos 2\theta_R|$ i.e. assume $\kappa \ll |\cos 2\theta_R|$ C. Hagedorn Works also for some combinations in **Case 2) Case 3 a) Case 3 b.1)**

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



Values of θ_R so close to $\frac{\pi}{4}$ are not (always) a tuning, but related to enhanced residual symmetry, i.e. check $Y_D^{\dagger}Y_D$ NuTs 2022

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



Shaded areas are due to condition for κ and θ_R . Vanishing and thermal initial conditions are displayed. Line shapes reflect sign of BAU.

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

Way towards capturing main dependencies analytically

- CP-violating combinations
- washout parameter



Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

NuTs 2022

Way towards capturing main dependencies analytically

- CP-violating combinations
- washout parameter

CP-violating combinations:see for related work Hernandez et al. ('15)Perturbatively solve quantum kinetic equations in H_N and Γ Leading term for lepton asymmetries

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}(\bar{\rho}_{N}-\rho_{N})\right]\propto\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}\left[H_{N},\Gamma\right]\right)$$
 with $\alpha=e,\mu,\tau.$

Three types of CP-violating combinations are found

$$\begin{split} C_{\mathrm{LFV},\alpha} &= i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{M}_{R}^{2}, \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_{D} \right] \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} P_{\alpha} \hat{Y}_{D} \right), \\ C_{\mathrm{LNV},\alpha} &= i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{M}_{R}^{2}, \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_{D} \right] \hat{Y}_{D}^{T} P_{\alpha} \hat{Y}_{D}^{*} \right), \\ C_{\mathrm{DEG},\alpha} &= i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{Y}_{D}^{T} \hat{Y}_{D}^{*}, \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_{D} \right] \hat{Y}_{D}^{T} P_{\alpha} \hat{Y}_{D}^{*} \right) \end{split}$$

C. Hagedorn
$$\begin{split} C_{\mathrm{LFV},\alpha} &= i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{M}_{R}^{2}, \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_{D} \right] \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} P_{\alpha} \hat{Y}_{D} \right), \\ C_{\mathrm{LNV},\alpha} &= i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{M}_{R}^{2}, \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_{D} \right] \hat{Y}_{D}^{T} P_{\alpha} \hat{Y}_{D}^{*} \right), \\ C_{\mathrm{DEG},\alpha} &= i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{Y}_{D}^{T} \hat{Y}_{D}^{*}, \hat{Y}_{D}^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_{D} \right] \hat{Y}_{D}^{T} P_{\alpha} \hat{Y}_{D}^{*} \right) \end{split}$$

with

$$P_e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , P_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , P_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in mass basis of heavy states, i.e.

$$\hat{Y}_D = Y_D U_R$$

$$U_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \\ 0 & 1 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

C. Hagedorn

$$C_{
m LFV,lpha} \;\; = \;\; i \, {
m Tr} \Big(\left[\hat{M}_R^2, \hat{Y}_D^\dagger \, \hat{Y}_D
ight] \, \hat{Y}_D^\dagger \, P_lpha \, \hat{Y}_D \Big)$$

Note the following

- Dominant combination when *N_i* are in relativistic regime
- Only leads to lepton flavour asymmetry, since

$$\sum_{\alpha} C_{\rm LFV,\alpha} = 0.$$

• Crucially depends on a flavoured washout

$$C_{
m LFV,lpha} \;\; = \;\; i \, {
m Tr} \Big(\left[\hat{M}_R^2, \hat{Y}_D^\dagger \, \hat{Y}_D
ight] \, \hat{Y}_D^\dagger \, P_lpha \, \hat{Y}_D \Big)$$

Note the following

- Dominant combination when *N_i* are in relativistic regime
- Only leads to lepton flavour asymmetry, since

$$\sum_{lpha} C_{
m LFV,lpha} = 0.$$

Crucially depends on a flavoured washout

$$C_{\mathrm{LNV},lpha} \;\; = \;\; i \, \mathrm{Tr} \Big(\left[\hat{M}_R^2, \hat{Y}_D^\dagger \, \hat{Y}_D
ight] \, \hat{Y}_D^T \, P_lpha \, \hat{Y}_D^* \Big)$$

Note the following

- Sizeable for intermediate / larger masses of N_i
- Directly violates lepton number with

$$C_{\rm LNV} = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\rm LNV,\alpha} \neq 0$$

compare to flavoured decay asymmetries $\epsilon_{i\alpha}$ see Dev et al. ('17)

C. Hagedorn

$$C_{\text{DEG},\alpha} = i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{Y}_D^T \, \hat{Y}_D^*, \hat{Y}_D^\dagger \, \hat{Y}_D \right] \, \hat{Y}_D^T \, P_\alpha \, \hat{Y}_D^* \right)$$

Note the following

- Only this CP-violating combination could be non-zero for zero κ and λ
- Only possible at intermediate temperatures $M/T \sim 1$
- Only leads to lepton flavour asymmetry, since

 $\sum_{\alpha} C_{\text{DEG},\alpha} = 0.$

Furthermore, for the limit $\lambda \ll \kappa \lesssim 1$ consider subset of two mass-degerate states. Define $(\hat{Y}_{(23)})_{\alpha i} = (\hat{Y}_D)_{\alpha i}$ for $i \in \{2, 3\}$

For $\lambda = 0$ we only need

$$C_{\text{DEG},\alpha}^{(23)} = i \operatorname{Tr} \left(\left[\hat{Y}_{(23)}^T \, \hat{Y}_{(23)}^*, \hat{Y}_{(23)}^\dagger \, \hat{Y}_{(23)} \right] \, \hat{Y}_{(23)}^T \, P_\alpha \, \hat{Y}_{(23)}^* \right)$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{\alpha} C^{(23)}_{\mathrm{DEG},\alpha} = 0.$$

C. Hagedorn

Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, J. Klaric ('22)]

Way towards capturing main dependencies analytically

- CP-violating combinations
- washout parameter

Flavoured washout parameter:

$$f_{\alpha} = \frac{(\hat{Y}_D \hat{Y}_D^{\dagger})_{\alpha \alpha}}{\operatorname{Tr} \left(\hat{Y}_D \hat{Y}_D^{\dagger} \right)}$$

Case 1)



C. Hagedorn

Case 1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq i \\ n \neq i$$

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



Case 1)



$$f_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta y_{13}^2}{\Sigma y^2} \cos 2\theta_{L,\alpha} \right)$$
 with $\Sigma y^2 = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2$

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



Majorana phase α fulfils

$$|\sin\alpha| = |\sin\left(\frac{6\pi s}{n}\right)|$$

C. Hagedorn

Case 1)



Case 1)

Effect of switching on λ



C. Hagedorn

 $\Delta \sigma_{12} = (3\kappa - \lambda)(2 + \kappa + \lambda)$



C. Hagedorn



C. Hagedorn



C. Hagedorn

[M. Drewes, Y. Georis, CH, Scenario with type I seesaw mechanism J. Klaric ('22)]

Case 3 b.1), *m* even and *s* odd



Case 3 b.1), *m* even and *s* odd Focus on vanishing κ and λ and also $m_0 = 0$ Case 3b.1), NO, M = 10 GeV, $\theta_R = \frac{\pi}{11}$, $\phi_m = \frac{10\pi}{20}$ s odd, $\kappa = 0$, $m_0 = 0$ eV Case 3b.1), NO, M = 10 GeV, $\theta_R = \frac{\pi}{12}$, $\phi_m = \frac{24\pi}{50}$ s odd, k = 0, $m_0 = 0$ eV 10^{-10} 10^{-10} $\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ $\gamma_{_B}$ 10^{-11} 10^{-11} $sin(6\pi_{n}^{s})$ 10⁻¹² 10⁻¹² 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 s/n s/n (a) Vanishing initial conditions. (b) Vanishing initial conditions.

$$C_{\text{DEG},\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} y_1 y_2 (\Delta y_{12}^2)^2 \sin 4\theta_R \cos \phi_{m,\alpha} \sin 3\phi_s$$
$$f_\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\Delta y_{13}^2 - \Delta y_{12}^2 \sin^2 \theta_L}{\Sigma y^2} \right) \cos 2\phi_{m,\alpha} - \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\Delta y_{12}^2}{\Sigma y^2} \right) \sin 2\theta_L \cos \phi_{m,\alpha} \cos 3\phi_s \right)$$

C. Hagedorn

Case 3 b.1), *m* even and *s* odd Focus on vanishing κ and λ and also $m_0 = 0$ Case 3b.1), NO, M = 10 GeV, $\theta_R = \frac{\pi}{11}$ ($\phi_m = \frac{10\pi}{20}$) s odd, $\kappa = 0, m_0 = 0$ eV Case 3b.1), NO, M = 10 GeV, $\theta_R = \frac{\pi}{11}, \phi_m = \frac{24\pi}{50}$ s odd, $\kappa = 0, m_0 = 0$ eV 10^{-10} 10^{-10} $\gamma_{_B}$ γ_{B} 10-11 10^{-11} $sin(6\pi \frac{s}{n})$ 10⁻¹² 10⁻¹² 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 s/n s/n (a) Vanishing initial conditions. (b) Vanishing initial conditions. If $m = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{\alpha} C_{\text{DEG},\alpha} f_{\alpha} = -\left(\frac{y_1 y_2 (\Delta y_{12}^2)^3}{6 \Sigma y^2}\right) \sin 2\theta_L \sin 4\theta_R (\sin 6\phi_s)$

C. Hagedorn

Case 3 b.1), *m* even and *s* odd





$$C_{\text{DEG},\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} y_1 y_2 (\Delta y_{12}^2)^2 (\sin 4\theta_R) \cos \phi_{m,\alpha} \sin 3\phi_s$$

C. Hagedorn

Case 3 b.1), *m* odd and *s* even



$$C_{\text{DEG},\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} (y_1 y_2) (\Delta y_{12}^2)^2 \sin 4\theta_R \cos \phi_{m,\alpha} \sin 3\phi_s$$

C. Hagedorn

Case 3 b.1), *m* odd and *s* even

Focus on vanishing κ and λ and also $m_0 = 0$



C. Hagedorn

Overview over results

Type of mixing pattern	BAU non-zero	BAU non-zero	Large total mixing
	for $\kappa = 0$?	for large κ ?	angle U^2 possible?
Case 1)	No, see Fig. 4	Yes, see Fig. 4	Yes, for $\cos 2\theta_R \approx 0$
			see Fig. 9
Case 2), t even	No, see Fig. 12	No, see Fig. 12	No
Case 2), t odd	Yes, for $m_0 \neq 0$	Yes, see Fig. 16	Yes, for $\sin 2\theta_R \approx 0$
	see Fig. 17, plot (a)		see Fig. 19
Case 3 b.1), m and s even	No, see Fig. 20	No, see Fig. 20	No
Case 3 b.1), m even, s odd	Yes, see Fig. 22	No, see Fig. 22	Yes, for $\cos 2\theta_R \approx 0$
	except for strong IO		see Fig. 25
Case 3 b.1), m odd, s even	Yes, see Fig. 26	Yes, see Fig. 26	Yes, for $\cos 2 \theta_R \approx 0$
	except for strong IO		
Case 3 b.1), m and s odd	No	No	No

Summary and Outlook

- Flavour and CP symmetries are useful for understanding fermion mixing and potentially also fermion masses
- Interesting application to scenarios that are testable (directly)
- Two examples discussed inverse seesaw mechanism

low-scale type I seesaw mechanism

Summary and Outlook

- Flavour and CP symmetries are useful for understanding fermion mixing and potentially also fermion masses
- Interesting application to scenarios that are testable (directly)
- Two examples discussed inverse seesaw mechanism

low-scale type I seesaw mechanism

- More signals are to be explored
- More options/variants of such scenarios are to be considered
- Embedding in larger framework could be interesting

•

Many thanks for your attention!

NuTs 2022

C. Hagedorn