Determining the Dark Matter distribution in galaxies with Deep Learning (2111.08725)

As part of the darkmachines projects challenges: https://darkmachines.org/

Martín de los Rios Mihael Petac Bryan Zaldivar Nina Bonaventura Francesca Calore Fabio locco

IFT/UAM (Madrid Spain) - ICTP/SAIFR (Sao Paulo Brasil) CAC (Slovenia) - LUPM (France) IFIC (Valencia Spain) Cosmic Dawn Center (Copenhagen Denmark) CNRS LAPTh (Annecy France) Dipartimento di Fisica INFN (Napoles Italy)



Outline

- Introduction
- Constructions of the dataset
 - TNG100 Simulations (1707.03401, 1707.03395, 1707.03395, ...)
 - SKIRT (2003.00721)
 - MARTINI (https://github.com/kyleaoman/martini)
- Results
 - Prediction of the Dark matter profile
 - Comparison between different architectures
 - Comparison between different inputs
 - Comparison with Rotation Curve method
- Conclusions and Future work

Introduction

Rotation Curves



NGC 6503 Rotation Curve

Katherine Freese 0812.4005



Brief introduction to Machine Learning

Supervised Learning





Construction of the Dataset



TNG100 Simulation

- Planck cosmology
- 106.5 Mpc by side
- 1820^3 DM particles
- 1820^3 hydrodynamic cells
- DM resolution 7.5 *10^6 Mo
- Baryon resolution 1.4*10^6 Mo
- 136 snapshots from z=127 to z=0

Property	Criterium
Simulation snapshot	99 $(z = 0)$
Stellar mass	$10^{10} \ M_{\odot} \le M_{\star} \le 10^{12} \ M_{\odot}$
Star formation rate	${ m SFR} \ge 0.1 \; M_{\odot} / { m yr}$
Central galaxy	SubhaloParent = 0
Cosmological origin	SubhaloFlag = 1

SKIRT* (2003.00721, skirt.ugent.be)

Radiative transfer code which emulates the stellar emissions and subsequent light-ray propagation to the observer, taking into account the absorption and re-emission by dust.

> SED interpolated from template family for each particle or cell

hydro simulation



MARTINI

Allows for the creation of synthetic resolved HI line observations (i.e. data cubes) directly from the snapshot of a hydrodynamic simulation, and its posterior analysis.





Results

Comparison between different architectures



Comparison between different inputs



Prediction of the dark matter profile



Conclusions and Future work

- Our algorithm is able to reconstruct the DM distribution profile with high performance throughout the extension of the galaxy.
- The highest performance is achieved in the intermediate regions with a mean square error below 0.2 using all the photometric and spectroscopic information.
- Even in the absence of spectroscopic information, our method is able to recover the dark matter profile with a mean square error below 0.3 in the intermediate regions.
- Our reconstruction of the DM distribution is completely data-driven, and does not need any assumption on the shape nor the functional form of the DM profile.
- The method developed here is applicable to different types of galaxies since it does not rely on explicit physical assumptions regarding the dynamical state of the system.

Conclusions and Future work

- We will make a comparison with the dark matter profile obtained through the traditional rotation curve analysis for the simulated galaxies.
- Study the robustness of our results to the hydrodynamical cosmological simulation.
- Apply our method to real galaxies and compare the results with other estimations.



Back-up Slides

Brief introduction to Machine Learning Neural Networks



Convolutional layers



Pooling layers

Max Pooling



Average Pooling

31	15	28	184
0	100	70	38
12	12	7	2
12	12	45	6
		2 poo	x 2 I size
	36	80	
	12	15	





Layer	Details
2D convolution	64 kernels, 5×5 px kernel size, 2 px stride, ReLU activation
2D max pooling	2 px pooling
Dropout	50% dropout fraction
Batch normalization	
2D convolution	128 kernels, 5×5 px kernel size, 2 px stride, ReLU activation
2D max pooling	2 px pooling
Dropout	50% dropout fraction
Batch normalization	
2D convolution	256 kernels, 5×5 px kernel size, 2 px stride, ReLU activation
Batch normalization	
Dense	256 units, ReLU activation
Dropout	50% dropout fraction
Batch normalization	
Dense	128 units, ReLU activation
Dropout	50% dropout fraction
Batch normalization	
Dense	64 units, ReLU activation
Dropout	50% dropout fraction
Batch normalization	
Dense (output)	20 units, linear activation

Results

Understanding the results







Results

Understanding the results



R = 48 kpc