

## Francesca Scarcella

# Prospects for primordial black hole detection with high redshift gravitational wave observations

Teórica

#### **Primordial black holes (PBHs)**

S. Hawking, MNRAS 152 (1971); Carr and Hawking, MNRAS 168 (1974)



#### **Primordial black holes as dark matter**

- Cold
- Collisionless
- Neutral
- Stable
- Non-baryonic



Small scale distribution of DM: not known!

#### Constraints



https://github.com/bradkav/PBHbounds

#### Constraints



https://github.com/bradkav/PBHbounds

Can primordial black holes be (a part of) the dark matter?

#### Constraints



https://github.com/bradkav/PBHbounds

Can primordial black holes be (a part of) the dark matter?



GWTC-3 Catalog







High redshift: no astrophysical background

### **Prospects for the Einstein Telescope**





*Forecast* for Einstein telescope to assess:

- ability to *detect* PBH
- ability to *measure* PBH abundance

#### MULTIDARK 24TH MAY 2022



PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JCAP

arXiv: 2205.02639

#### Dancing in the dark: detecting a population of distant primordial black holes

Matteo Martinelli,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Francesca Scarcella,<sup>*b*</sup> Natalie B. Hogg,<sup>*c,b*</sup> Bradley J. Kavanagh,<sup>*d*</sup> Daniele Gaggero,<sup>*e,f,b*</sup> and Pierre Fleury<sup>*c,b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma,

via Frascati 33, 00040 Monteporzio Catone (Roma), Italy

<sup>b</sup>Instituto de Física Teórica UAM-CSIC, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,

May 2022

S













#### Merger rate of primordial binaries

Nakamura et al. 9708060, Ali-Haimoud et al. 1709.06576, Vaskonen et al. 1812.01930

$$\tau(a,j) = \frac{3}{170} \frac{a^4}{M^3} j^7 \qquad j = \sqrt{1 - e^2} \qquad \qquad j \sim \left(\frac{x}{\bar{x}}\right)^3$$



Small separations *→*very *high eccentricity → short time to merger* 

#### **Prospects for the Einstein Telescope**





*Forecast* for Einstein telescope to asses:

- ability to *detect* PBH
- ability to measure PBH abundance



#### **Prospects for the Einstein Telescope**





*Forecast* for Einstein telescope to asses:

- ability to *detect* PBH
- ability to measure PBH abundance



Model the detector's response



## **ET mock data generation**

gitlab.com/matmartinelli/darksirens

- Compute expected number of events ( $T_{obs}$ )
- Each event (redshift, position, inclination)  $\rightarrow$  *waveform* (PyCBC)
- ET antenna patterns  $\rightarrow$  strain h(f)
- Compute *signal-to-noise ratio*  $\rho_i$
- Discard faint events (  $\rho_i < 8$  )
- Estimate *instrumental error on distance*  $\sigma_i^{\text{inst}}$
- Extract observed value of  $D_L$
- Obtain error on  $D_L$  including lensing effects

$$p_i = \left[4 \int_{f_{\text{lower}}}^{f_{\text{upper}}} \mathrm{d}f \, \frac{h_i(f)h_i^*(f)}{S_n(f)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

 $\sigma_i^{\rm inst} = 2\tilde{D}_i/\rho_i$ 



## **ET mock data generation**

gitlab.com/matmartinelli/darksirens

- Compute expected number of events ( $T_{obs}$ )
- Each event (redshift, position, inclination)  $\rightarrow$  *waveform* (PyCBC)
- ET antenna patterns  $\rightarrow$  strain h(f)
- Compute *signal-to-noise ratio*  $\rho_i$
- Discard faint events (  $\rho_i < 8$  )
- Estimate *instrumental error on distance*  $\sigma_i^{\text{inst}}$
- Extract observed value of  $D_L$
- Obtain error on  $D_L$  including lensing effects

$$p_i = \left[4 \int_{f_{\text{lower}}}^{f_{\text{upper}}} \mathrm{d}f \, \frac{h_i(f)h_i^*(f)}{S_n(f)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sigma_i^{\rm inst} = 2\tilde{D}_i/\rho_i$$

#### **Mock data generation**

gitlab.com/matmartinelli/darksirens



Final result: *mock catalog* ( $D_i, \sigma_i$ )

#### **Mock data generation**

#### gitlab.com/matmartinelli/darksirens



#### Data analysis - 1

#### **Cut-and-count**

- Divide data in two bins, evaluate  $N_>$ : # events with  $z > z^*$
- Generate catalogs for *different values of*  $f_{\text{PBH}}$ , evaluate  $N_{>}$
- Compare with null hypothesis: **ABH only** data set  $\rightarrow N_{>} = 1 \pm 1.7$



• Smallest detectable fraction (3 $\sigma$ ):  $f_{\text{PBH}} \approx 10^{-5} \rightarrow N_{>} = 16 \pm 5$ 

#### Likelihood analysis

Unbinned likelihood - probability of a set of observed events

$$\mathscr{L}(f_{\text{PBH}} | \mathscr{D}) = \frac{\bar{N}_{\text{obs}}(f_{\text{PBH}})^{N_{\text{obs}}} e^{-N_{\text{obs}}(f_{\text{PBH}})}}{N_{\text{obs}}!} \times \prod_{i=1,N_{\text{obs}}} p(D_i | f_{\text{PBH}})$$

• **Posterior distribution for**  $f_{\text{PBH}}$ 

#### $p(f_{\mathsf{PBH}} | \mathcal{D}) \propto \mathcal{L}(f_{\mathsf{PBH}} | \mathcal{D}) \mathsf{Pr}(f_{\mathsf{PBH}})$

## **Posterior on** $f_{\text{PBH}}$



# **Posterior on** $f_{\rm PBH}$



#### Conclusions

- Future observatories powerful tool to for identify PBH signal over astrophysical background
- Identify PBHs in *abundance as small as*  $f_{\rm PBH} \approx 10^{-5}$



- Signal modelling
  - Initial clustering

- Astrophysical background
  - Population III stars
- Broad / multi peaked mass function

# Thankyour

Impact of late-time *clustering* on merger rate : Jedamzik 2006.11172



- early formation of structures Inman et al. 1907.08129
- gravo-thermal instability Vaskone

Vaskonen et al. 1908.09752 De Luca et al. 2009.04731

• negligible for  $f_{\rm PBH} \lesssim 10^{-3}$ 



MULTIDARK 24<sup>TH</sup> MAY 2022

#### **Black hole observations**



#### X-ray binaries

Gravitational waves







Quasars

**Event Horizon** 

Telescope

# **Accretion: textbook approach**



- Simple textbook model for accretion onto a moving compact object
- Ruled out by observations
- suppression factor

 $\lambda \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$ 

• Does not take into account radiative feedback

# Accretion: analytical model - I

 BHL accretion within the ionized region

$$\dot{M}_{\rm BHL} = 4\pi \frac{(GM)^2 \rho}{(v_{\rm BH}^2 + c_{\rm s}^2)^{3/2}} ,$$

 Euler's equations at ionization front:

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_{\mathrm{in}} \, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}} \, = 
ho \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BH}} \ &
ho_{\mathrm{in}} \left( \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{in}}^2 + c_{\mathrm{s,\,in}}^2 
ight) = 
ho ig( \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{BH}}^2 + c_{\mathrm{s}}^2 ig) \end{aligned}$$

At low velocities, a bow shock is formed in front of the ionization

/

Flux is deflected and accretion rate lowers



ancesca Scarcella (IFT UAM/CSIC)

MultiDark - 27th Jan 2020

# Accretion: analytical model -II

Solving Euler's equations at the ionization front:

Valid for  $V_{BH} \leq V_D$  or  $V_{BH} \geq V_R$ , where:

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\rm in} &= \rho_{\rm in}^{\pm} \equiv \rho \frac{\mathrm{v}_{\rm BH}^2 + c_{\rm s}^2 \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2 \, c_{\rm s,in}^2} , \qquad \Delta \equiv (\mathrm{v}_{\rm BH}^2 + c_{\rm s}^2)^2 - 4 \, \mathrm{v}_{\rm BH}^2 \, c_{\rm s,in}^2 \\ \mathrm{v}_{\rm in} &= \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\rm in}} \mathrm{v}_{\rm BH} \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} 
ho_{\mathrm{in}} \, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}} &= 
ho \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BH}} \ 
ho_{\mathrm{in}} \left( \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{in}}^2 + c_{\mathrm{s,\,in}}^2 
ight) &= 
ho ig( \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{BH}}^2 + c_{\mathrm{s}}^2 ig) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{R}} &= c_{\mathrm{s,in}} + \sqrt{c_{\mathrm{s,in}}^2 - c_{\mathrm{s}}^2} \ \approx 2 c_{\mathrm{s,in}} \,, \\ \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{D}} &= c_{\mathrm{s,in}} - \sqrt{c_{\mathrm{s,in}}^2 - c_{\mathrm{s}}^2} \ \approx \frac{c_{\mathrm{s}}^2}{2 c_{\mathrm{s,in}}} \ \ll 1 \, \mathrm{km/s} \,. \end{split}$$

In the intermediate velocity regime eq. A not valid (shock). We have instead:

$$v_{in} \approx c_{s,in}$$
 (observed from simulations)  $\rightarrow$   $\rho_{in} = \rho_{in}^0 \equiv \rho \frac{v_{BH}^2 + c_s^2}{2 c_{s,in}^2}$   
From eq. B  
ancesca Scarcella (IFT UAM/CSIC) MultiDark - 27th Jan 2020

# X-ray and radio fluxes: CMZ



Detectable sources -> different in the two scenarios Large population could be unveiled by SKA

ancesca Scarcella (IFT UAM/CSIC)

MultiDark - 27th Jan 2020





$$\mathscr{R}_{ABH}(z[t], M) = \mathscr{N} \int_{t-\Delta t_{\min}}^{t-\Delta t_{\max}} dt_{f} P(t-t_{f}) \mathscr{R}_{SF}(t_{f})$$



#### **Einstein telescope**



#### **Observed merger rate**



# **Mock data generation**

$$F_{+} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^{2}\theta) \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\psi) - \cos\theta \sin(2\phi) \sin(2\psi) \right],$$
  
$$F_{\times} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^{2}\theta) \cos(2\phi) \sin(2\psi) + \cos\theta \sin(2\phi) \cos(2\psi) \right].$$

 $h(t) = F_{+}(\theta, \phi) h_{+}(t) + F_{\times}(\theta, \phi) h_{\times}(t)$ 

$$\rho_i = \left[4 \int_{f_{\text{lower}}}^{f_{\text{upper}}} \mathrm{d}f \, \frac{h_i(f)h_i^*(f)}{S_n(f)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sigma_i^{\rm inst} = 2\tilde{D}_i/\rho_i$$

$$p(\bar{D} \mid D_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \exp\left[-\frac{(\bar{D} - D_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right]$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = \left(\sigma_i^{\text{inst}}\right)^2 + \left(\sigma_i^{\text{lens}}\right)^2$$

## **Cut and count**

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_{f_{\text{PBH}}}, z_*) \equiv \frac{\left| N_{>}(\mathcal{D}_{f_{\text{PBH}}}, z_*) - N_{>}(\mathcal{D}_{0}, z_*) \right|}{\sqrt{\sigma_{>}^2(\mathcal{D}_{f_{\text{PBH}}}, z_*) + \sigma_{>}^2(\mathcal{D}_{0}, z_*)}}$$

## **Cut and count**



#### Likelihood analysis

 $p(f_{\text{PBH}} | \mathcal{D}) \propto \mathcal{L}(f_{\text{PBH}} | \mathcal{D}) \text{Pr}(f_{\text{PBH}})$ 

Probability of a set of observed events

$$\mathscr{L}(f_{\text{PBH}}|\mathscr{D}) = \frac{\bar{N}_{\text{obs}}(f_{\text{PBH}})^{N_{\text{obs}}}e^{-\bar{N}_{\text{obs}}(f_{\text{PBH}})}}{N_{\text{obs}}!} \times \prod_{i=1,N_{\text{obs}}} p(D_i|f_{\text{PBH}})$$

 $p(D_i | f_{\text{PBH}}) \propto \int \frac{p(\bar{D}_i | D_i)}{\tilde{p}(\bar{D}_i)} p(\bar{D}_i | f_{\text{PBH}}) \, \mathrm{d}\bar{D}_i$ 

$$p(\bar{D} \mid f_{\text{PBH}}) \,\mathrm{d}\bar{D} = \frac{N_{\text{ABH}}}{\bar{N}_{\text{obs}}} \, p_{\text{ABH}}(\bar{D}) \,\mathrm{d}\bar{D} + \frac{N_{\text{PBH}}}{\bar{N}_{\text{obs}}} \, p_{\text{PBH}}(\bar{D} \mid f_{\text{PBH}}) \,\mathrm{d}\bar{D}$$

$$p(D \,|\, \bar{D}) = \frac{p(\bar{D} \,|\, D)}{\tilde{p}(\bar{D})} \,\tilde{p}(D)$$

#### **Merger rate**



MULTIDARK 24TH MAY 2022